



Advancement Of Human Resources Management Theories And Corporate Achievement

Geoffrey B. E. Obinna, Ph.D

**Department of Management,
Faculty of Management Sciences,
Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Corresponding Author: obinna.geoffrey@ust.edu.ng**

ABSTRACT

It is highly advantageous to look at the creation of human resource management (HRM) strategies in a group setting. The use of HRM to achieve corporate success has long been a cause for concern. HRM models have changed over time, however in contrast to previous concepts, current theories strongly emphasize strategic HRM as the foundation for competitive advantage. Corporate groupings would do things that individuals could not achieve by themselves, but they would require their workforce's commitment, expertise, and productivity. The ability of a business organization to comprehend its own needs as well as those of its employees, particularly those of highly productive people, is one of its most important competitive advantages. Modern HRM techniques emphasize that strategic HRM is essential to enhancing business organizations' capacity for survival and success via human capital development. In light of this, the current study makes the assumption that corporate management would be better able to implement strategies that would ensure the company's survival and success if they adopted modern HRM ideas. The study is intended to provide a better understanding of the nature of HRM practices and their significant impact on business success.

Keywords: Human Resource Management, Corporate Achievement, Human Capital Management, Talent Deployment

INTRODUCTION

Previously, controlled competition, capital availability, and economies of scale were sources of competitive advantage. Nonetheless, modern models stress that the foundation of competitive advantage is strategic HRM (Bamberger & Meshoulam, 2000; Delery & Roumpi, 2017). Corporate organizations must devise strategies to enhance human resource performance, as this will help them achieve their objectives, given the swift ups and downs of the modern world and the rapid technological advancements that are changing the modern workplace. This is very important since a corporate organization's ability to recognize its requirements as well as those of its employees, particularly those who are very productive, is a competitive advantage that is carefully examined. HRM has the capacity to improve the viability and efficiency of commercial organizations via the use of human capital (Armstrong, 2005).

The knowledge, abilities, and traits that allow people to improve their personal and society's well-being as well as the well-being of their nations is known as human capital. is vital to economic success." based on 2007.

COMPREHENDING THE HRM THEORIES

In terms of basic structure, organizations throughout the Industrial Revolution resembled Adam Smith's pin factory (Kaplan & Norton, 2006; Feldberger, 2008). Additionally, the greatest economic sector—production and sales—was impacted by the second Industrial Revolution in the middle of the nineteenth century, which harmed capital-demanding sectors (Kaplan & Norton, 2006). After a while, employee-related accounting ideas started to surface. Businesses viewed their personnel as assets at the same time period (Paton, 1962; Feldberger, 2008). Flamholtz, Bullen, and Hua (2002) state that Likert (1961) and other writers developed a technique that saw organizational personnel as essential organizational resources. During this time, human resource management became more significant. After translating their early study on human capital, Nobel Prize winners Schultz and Becker then offered a hypothesis emphasizing the relationship between equipment purchases and employee education (Becker, 1964; Schultz, 1961).

Additionally, Hermanson's 1964 introduction of a technique to measure the value of human resources in external financial reporting led to corporate organizations beginning to invest in the skill, knowledge, and abilities of their workforce (Flamholtz Bullen, & Hua, 2002). Researchers and academics advanced dimension techniques of human resources in 1967, before Flamholtz (1976) presented the theory and dimension of an individual's worth to an organization (Flamholtz, Bullen, & Hua, 2002). Theeke (2005). Changing HR-related trends and fierce worldwide competition led to the emergence of HR Management (HRM) as a field of study. The increasing understanding of the importance of human capital is leading to the development of new techniques for assessing and recording its worth inside business organizations (Seetharaman et al., 2004).

According to Coyle-Shapiro et al. (2013), Boxall and Purcell pioneered the current strategic HRM paradigm in their book *Strategy and Human Resource Management* (Palgrave Macmillan, third edition, 2011). The two scholars published the following hypotheses on employee performance: corporate organization; motivation; and capabilities, or the skills, abilities, and knowledge required for workers to execute their jobs. Workers must believe in their own potential in order to inspire themselves to give their utmost at work. The HRM function's major role for employee relationship management led to the evolution of this paradigm to include employment relations (the policies, programs, and procedures that control the relationship between workers and their employers) (Coyle-Shapiro et al., 2013). Specifics are displayed in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Organizing Framework

Source: Coyle-Shapiro *et al.*, (2013)

Table 1: Previous Studies on Advancement of Human Resource Management

Authors	Summary of Contributions
Adam Smith (1776)	He emphasized the value and benefits of the division of labor, which divides the manufacturing process into several smaller jobs completed by various employees.
Robert Owen (1771-1858) Charles Babbage (1791-1871)	Industrial revolution
Frederick Winslow Taylor (1856–1915). Scientific management (1911) -	-Mass manufacturing - Modular components -Dividing up the work This aided in completing several duties in the sector.
Elton Mayo's (1920)	Elton Mayo's (1920) initiative to enhance interpersonal ties in the industrial sector (1920–60). In addition to the tangible surroundings, social conventions, and feelings of oneself can impact productivity (see, for example, the Western Electric-owned Hawthorne site). a psychologist with expertise in the human aspect of work-related weariness and motivation.
Harris1915-inventorymodel,1960-70's Charles Babbage (1832)	Decision models Charles Babbage (1832) He advocated for an economic evaluation of labor and compensation based on skill requirements. From 1832 onward, the division of labor and

	specialization of employment became commonplace.
Charles Samuel Myers (1921)	Charles Samuel Myers (1921) Inspired by unanticipated issues among troops during World War I (1914–1918), which had frightened generals and politicians, he co-founded the National Institute of Industrial Psychology (NIIP) in 1921. He planted the seeds for the human relations movement by doing this.
	stimuli, independent of monetary reward, and Hawthorne studies (1924–1932) working circumstances, which might result in more effective employees
Abraham Maslow (1908–1970), Kurt Lewin (1890–1947), Max Weber 1864–1920), Frederick Herzberg (1923–2000),	They serve as the foundation for research in organizational and industrial society for human resource management(SHRM) (1948)
David McClelland (1917–1998) Cornell University School of Industrial and Labor Relations (1945) Pipko, Simona (2002) & Hale, Henry E. (2014), Joseph Vissarionovich Stalin (1953)	The interpretation of organizational theory, organizational behavior, and psychology was done so as to support the claims of legitimacy for an applied discipline for workers. Similarly, the first university in the world devoted to workplace studies is located in the United States. At Cornell University, the School of Industrial and Labor Relations was established in 1945. As the American Society for Personnel Administration (ASPA), the Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM), which would grow to be the largest professional HR association, was founded in 1948. The efficacy and sway of human resource policies and procedures was proved in the Soviet Union by Stalin's use of patronage, which was carried out through the Bolshevik Party's "HR Department" equivalent.

CONTEMPORARY HUMAN RESOURCE METHODS

Scholzetal (2007) gave five diverse methods to human resource measurement.

A. The cost method

The cost method was based on Engel's (1883) cost of production strategy, which evaluated human capital by accounting for the expenses associated with raising children. However, as Dagum and Slotte (2000) noted, this approach should not be seen as assessing a person's human capital as it simply compiles historical expenses without taking into account the time value of money and the societal costs related to investing in people. Imperceptible investments, as defined by the cost-based approach, are the expenses related to raising labor productivity or quality (McCracken, McIvor, Treacy, & Wall, 2017).

B. The market value method

The market value, book value, and workforce size of the company are all used by techniques based on the corporate organization's market value to evaluate human capital. The company organization's book value and market value are contrasted in order to determine illusive resources. However, as Scholz et al. (2007) note, early estimates (see, for example, Stewart 1997) became overly cautious, including the link between market value and book value and the gap between book value and present market value. Moreover, there may be other variables influencing changes in the market value of the company organization. Therefore, the market approach performs best when paired with other performance metrics, as per McCracken et al. (2017).

C. The accounting method

Five primary criteria are used by the accounting approach to evaluate the human investment made by the firm organization: hiring, acquisition, formal and informal familiarization, training and development, experience and growth. According to this theory, expenses need to be amortized on the balance sheet rather than being charged to the income statement (Hermanson 1963, Chen and Lin 2004). However, implementing such a model has proven challenging since it calls for companies to standardize their measurement procedures and poses challenging balance sheet depreciation issues (Scholz et al 2007; McCracken, McIvor, Treacy, & Wall, 2017).

D. The value-added method

The value-added approach aims to establish a link between worker value addition and human capital. This might entail monitoring sales per worker or profit per worker (i.e., worker productivity). One drawback of this strategy is that the metrics are frequently connected to sales success or profitability, which leaves out a large portion of the picture of how human capital affects other metrics (Bayo & Kayii, 2022). What effect does human capital have on innovation outputs as opposed to sales outputs, according to McCracken et al. (2017)?

E. The human resource indicator method.

Strategies based on HR indicators seek to identify the HR dynamics that propel business performance. This generates a variety of performance indicators and key performance indicators (KPIs), such as the amount of variable remuneration or the number of annual training hours, that may be used to maximize human resource management efforts (see, for instance, Becker et al., 2001). This approach defines, combines, and controls the key performance criteria for human capital management. This method links the value of an organization's human resources to their efficacy. It should be able to ascertain the directly induced impacts on company value if better management of human capital leads to enhanced business performance (see Fitz-Enz, 2000, for example).(Colleagues McCracken (2017).

Crucial Parts, Of Contemporary Human Resource Management System

According to writers like (Abdullah, 2009; Hamid & Osman-Gani, 2011; Ismail, Asillam, & Zin, 2014; Nabi et al., 2016), there are essential components of the modern HRM system that include:

- 1) Productivity.
- 2) Human capital.
- 3) Working conditions.
- 4) Designing work processes.
- 5) Labour assessment.
- 6) Staff planning.
- 7) Selection, training and certification of personnel.
- 8) Motivation and encouragement of staff.
- 9) Income and wages generation.
- 10) Relationships in a group of employees.
- 11) Personnel promotion.
- 12) Personnel controlling.
- 13) Organization and improvement of personnel.

CONCLUSION

The current strategic HRM model conceptualizes that worker skills, motivation, employment relations, and work organization all impact employee performance, despite the fact that theories of human resource management have changed throughout time (Coyle-Shapiro et al., 2013). Conversely, modern concepts have placed a strong emphasis on strategic HRM as the cornerstone of competitive advantage. Corporate entities would achieve goals or objectives that people cannot achieve on their own, but this would only be possible with the skill, commitment, and output of their personnel. Knowing what the firm and its personnel require is one of a corporate organization's strategic and practical competitive advantages,

especially when it comes to highly productive employees. Strategic HRM is positioned to boost commercial organizations' viability and efficiency through the development of human capital, according to modern HRM techniques (Armstrong, 2005). It is widely expected that the study would offer a clearer understanding of the nature of HRM practices and how important they are to corporate companies' performance.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, H.(2009).Major Challenges To the Effective Management of Human Resource Training and Development Activities. *The Journal of International Social Research*, 2, 11–21.
- Armstrong, M.(2005). A handbook of human resource management practices (5th ed.) Ohio: Kogan Page.
- Bamberger,P.,& Meshoulam, I. (2000).Advanced Topics in Organizational Behavior: Human resource strategy: Formulation, implementation, and impact. Thousand Oaks ,CA:SAGE Publications, Inc. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781452204680>.
- Becker,B.E., Huselid, M.A., &Ulrich, D. (2001).The HR scorecard: linking people ,strategy, and performance. Boston, MA; United Kingdom: Harvard Business School Press.
- Chen, H.M., and Lin, K.J. (2004) The Role of Human Capital Cost Accounting *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 116–30.
- Coyle-Shapiro,J.,Hoque,K.,Kessler,I.,Pepper,A.,Richardson, R.,& Walker,L.(2013). Human resource management, University of London (pp. 1–66).
- Dagum,C.&Slottje,D.J.(2000)A new method to estimate the level and distribution of household human capital with application. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*. 11(2),67–94.
- Delery,J.E.,& Roumpi,D. (2017). Strategic human resource management, human capital and competitive advantage: is the field going in circles? *Human Resource Management Journal*,27(1), 1-21.
- Engel, E. (1883), *Der Werthdesmenschen*. Berlin: Verlagvon Leonhard Simion. Feldberger, M. (2008). *Modern Human Capital Management*.
- FitzEnz,J.(2000) The ROI of human capital: measuring the economic value of employee performance. New York: Amacom Books.
- Flamholtz,E.(1976).The impact of human resource evaluation on management decision: a laboratory experiment. *Accounting, Organisations, and Society*, 1, 153–164.
- Flamholtz,E.G.,Bullen, M. L.,& Hua,W.(2002). Human resource accounting: a historical perspective eand future implications. *Management Decision*,40(10), 947-954.
- Hamid,Z.A.,&Osman-Gani,A.M.(2011). Contemporary Issues in Human Resource Development. In *Contemporary Issues in Human Resource Development* (pp. 1–27),
- Hermanson R. H. (1964). *Accounting for Human Assets*.
- Hermanson, R. H. (1963)A method for recording all assets and the resulting accounting and economic implications. PhD dissertation. Michigan State University.
- Ismail, K., Asillam, F., & Zin, A. A.M. (2014). Human Resource Development Issues in the Field of Islamic Astronomy: Analysis of the Langkawi National Observatory, Malaysia. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 4(6),463–467. <http://doi.org/10.7763/IJSSH.2014.V4.399>
- Kaplan, R. S.,& Norton, D.P.(2006). Alignment: using the balanced scorecard to create corporate synergies. Boston, Mass.: Harvard Business School; London: McGraw-Hill, distributor.
- Likert, R. M. (1961). *New Patterns of Management* New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Book Co.
- McCracken,M.,McIvor,R.,Treacy,R., & Wall,T.(2017). Human capital theory: assessing the evidence for the value and importance of people to organisational success. Retrievedfromhttps://www.cipd.co.uk/Images/human-capital-theory-assessing-the-evidence_tcm18-22292.pdf

- Nabi,M.N.,Syduzzaman,M.,&Munir,M.S.(2016). The Impact of Human Resource Management Practices on Job Performances: A Case Study of Dhaka Bank Pvt. Ltd., Bangladesh. *Human Resource Management Research*, 6(2),45–54.<http://doi.org/10.5923/j.hrmr.20160602.03>
- Bayo, P.L., and Kayii, N.E. (2022). Talent management as a correlate of employee commitment: A case of insurance companies in the Rivers State *Scholarly Journal of Management Sciences Research*, 1(2), 39–50
- Paton,W.(1962).Accounting Theory. Accounting Studies Press.
- Scholz,C.,Stein,V.and Muller,S.(2007). Monetary human capital measurement: empirical evidence from the German DAX30 companies, Academy of Management 2007 Conference Philadelphia, PA, USA.
- Seetharaman,A.,LockTengLow,K.,& Saravanan,A.S.(2004). Comparative justification on intellectual capital. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*,5(4), 522-439.
- Stewart,T.A. (1997). *Intellectual capital: the new wealth of organisations* London: Nicholas Brealey.
- Theeke,H.A.(2005). A human resource accounting transmission’s lifting from failure to a future. *Journal of Human Resource Costing and Accounting*, 9, 40–59