International Journal of Innovative Legal & Political Studies 13(2):110-114, April-June, 2025

 www.seahipublications.org **ISSN: 2467-8503**

doi:10.5281/zenodo.15638097

The Efficacy Of ECOWAS Sanctions On Member States

Enock Faira Annafa; Prof. Wonotanzokan Nzeda Tagowa & Dr. John Moses Maikomo

Department of Political Science & International Relations
Taraba State University, Nigeria
Email: [ ] | Phone: 08037944938

ABSTRACT
Sanctions have emerged as a critical diplomatic tool in maintaining regional peace and democratic governance. This paper evaluates the effectiveness of ECOWAS sanctions in influencing political stability and compliance among member states from 2010 to 2023, using Liberia, Mali, and Guinea as case studies. A qualitative method was adopted, relying on secondary data such as official reports, academic journals, and case documentation. Findings reveal that while sanctions have yielded positive outcomes in cases like Côte d'Ivoire and The Gambia, they have shown limited success in Guinea and Mali, where military juntas remained resilient. The analysis highlights the role of enforcement strength, regional unity, and international cooperation in determining sanction success. Additionally, the research underscores the unintended socio-economic burdens sanctions place on civilians rather than on political elites. It concludes that although ECOWAS sanctions have the potential to promote democratic transitions, their inconsistent impact and humanitarian toll necessitate more targeted and strategically coordinated approaches.
Keywords: ECOWAS, sanctions, West Africa, governance, regional stability, political crises, compliance

INTRODUCTION

Sanctions have long been employed in international relations as instruments for coercion, deterrence, and punishment against states or actors that violate international norms. Rooted in early 20th-century diplomacy through institutions like the League of Nations, the use of sanctions gained institutional strength with the creation of the United Nations (UN) in 1945. Under Chapter VII of its Charter, the UN Security Council was empowered to impose sanctions as a non-military strategy to maintain or restore international peace and security (Mastanduno, 2022; Marczak & Rosenberg, 2020). Since then, sanctions have evolved into one of the most frequently used tools in global diplomacy—employed in response to nuclear proliferation, terrorism, human rights abuses, and constitutional violations. As of 2023, over 500 major sanctions regimes had been implemented worldwide by multilateral bodies such as the UN and EU, as well as powerful individual states like the United States (Kokabisaghi, 2018; Hufbauer et al., 2022).

In Africa, and particularly in West Africa, the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) has emerged as a regional leader in using sanctions to preserve political stability and uphold democratic governance. Established in 1975, ECOWAS has repeatedly intervened in crises involving military coups, post-election disputes, and constitutional violations. Its sanctions—ranging from economic restrictions and asset freezes to political isolation—have been applied in numerous high-profile cases, including Côte d'Ivoire (2010), The Gambia (2016), Mali (2020, 2021), and Guinea (2021), often in coordination with other international actors (Liou et al., 2020; Gutmann et al., 2021). These interventions have highlighted

both the potential and the limitations of sanctions as tools of conflict resolution and regime transformation in politically unstable environments.

The purpose of this study is to assess the efficacy of ECOWAS sanctions on member states in achieving democratic outcomes and deterring unconstitutional changes of government. While ECOWAS has successfully influenced political transitions in some cases, such as Côte d'Ivoire and The Gambia, its sanctions have been less effective in contexts like Mali and Guinea, where authoritarian regimes have demonstrated resilience and continued governance despite regional pressure. This raises critical questions about the conditions under which sanctions work, how they are enforced, and their unintended socio-economic impacts.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to contribute to the ongoing scholarly debate on the utility and ethics of sanctions in regional governance. Sanctions remain a controversial mechanism. Proponents argue that they are necessary for upholding regional norms and deterring instability, while critics highlight their disproportionate impact on civilian populations, limited long-term effectiveness, and the risk of undermining humanitarian and democratic principles (Caetano et al., 2023; Biersteker, Eckert, & Tourinho, 2021).

A central problem addressed in this study is the inconsistency and fragmentation in the enforcement of ECOWAS sanctions, often complicated by competing political interests among member states and interference from external actors. In fragile economies, sanctions have also been found to exacerbate poverty and inequality—contradicting the democratic and humanitarian goals they intend to serve (LeoGrande & Kornbluh, 2020; Portela, 2021). These disagreements in the field underscore the need for more data-driven analysis of when and how sanctions can be applied more effectively.

By critically examining ECOWAS's sanction regimes from 2010 to 2023 with case studies in Liberia, Mali, and Guinea, this study aims to illuminate the practical, ethical, and political dimensions of sanctions in regional governance. It seeks to identify strategies for improving the design, implementation, and enforcement of sanctions in ways that better align with the goals of peace, stability, and democratic development in West Africa.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative research approach, using case studies of ECOWAS-sanctioned states. Data will be collected from secondary sources, including ECOWAS reports, government publications, scholarly articles, and National newspapers. The research will rely on document analysis as the primary data collection method. This includes the review of ECOWAS protocols, sanctions-related communiqués, and relevant literature on international sanctions. Data collected from the various sources will be thematically analyzed to identify patterns and draw conclusions about the efficacy of ECOWAS sanctions. A comparative analysis will be conducted to assess outcomes in different member states where sanctions have been applied.

RESULTS

ECOWAS sanctions have demonstrated mixed success in achieving their objectives of promoting democratic governance and preventing unconstitutional changes in government. In notable cases such as Côte d'Ivoire and The Gambia, sanctions were pivotal in restoring constitutional order and enforcing democratic transitions. For example, in Côte d'Ivoire, coordinated sanctions alongside international pressure helped resolve the political crisis following Laurent Gbagbo's refusal to cede power in 2010, ultimately leading to a democratic outcome (Banégas, 2011). However, weak enforcement mechanisms often limit the effectiveness of ECOWAS sanctions. Member states sometimes prioritize economic or political interests over punitive measures, as seen in Mali and Guinea, where juntas remained in power despite sanctions. This lack of cohesion among ECOWAS members undermines the collective impact of sanctions and emboldens targeted regimes to resist compliance (Souaré, 2013).

One of the major challenges of ECOWAS sanctions is the resilience of targeted regimes, particularly military juntas and authoritarian governments. These regimes often adapt by finding alternative revenue streams, such as illicit trade or alliances with sympathetic states outside the region. In Mali, despite

sanctions following the 2020 and 2021 coups, the military government consolidated power and delayed the transition to civilian governance (Boukhars, 2020). Similarly, Guinea's military junta leveraged natural resources and external support to counteract the economic pressure imposed by sanctions (Huggon, 2009). This resilience demonstrates a critical limitation of ECOWAS sanctions, especially when targeting regimes with strong control over domestic resources or access to external economic partners.

Sanctions often have unintended consequences, disproportionately affecting ordinary citizens rather than the political elites responsible for crises. Economic restrictions, such as trade bans and financial isolation, frequently exacerbate poverty, unemployment, and inflation, as seen in sanctioned countries like Mali and Guinea. These measures disrupted economic activities, creating widespread hardship among civilians and further destabilizing fragile economies (World Bank, 2021). This raises ethical concerns about the use of broad sanctions, as their socio-economic costs fall primarily on vulnerable populations. Such outcomes suggest the need for more targeted approaches to avoid unintended harm while maintaining pressure on political elites (Kohnert, 2013).

The findings also highlight the importance of multilateral coordination in enhancing the effectiveness of ECOWAS sanctions. When ECOWAS collaborates with international actors like the United Nations, African Union, and European Union, sanctions are more likely to achieve their objectives. For example, during the post-election crisis in Côte d'Ivoire, coordinated sanctions isolated the regime and forced compliance, demonstrating the strength of a unified international response (International Crisis Group, 2011). Conversely, in Mali and Guinea, where international coordination was weaker, sanctions had limited impact in achieving political change. This underscores the necessity for ECOWAS to strengthen partnerships with global organizations to enhance the legitimacy and efficacy of its sanctions (Emejulu & Kallon, 2023).

Targeted sanctions, such as asset freezes, travel bans, and the isolation of political elites, have proven more effective than broad-based economic sanctions. In The Gambia, such targeted measures successfully pressured Yahya Jammeh to relinquish power with minimal collateral damage to the general population (Doyle, 2017). However, enforcement remains a significant challenge, as loopholes and informal networks often undermine these sanctions' intended effects (Kuwonu, 2011). Strengthening enforcement mechanisms and adopting a more strategic approach could improve the outcomes of ECOWAS sanctions while reducing harm to civilian populations. These findings highlight the complexities and challenges of using sanctions as a tool for fostering democratic governance and political stability in West Africa.

DISCUSSIONS

The findings of this study reveal that ECOWAS sanctions have achieved mixed results in fulfilling their political objectives, particularly in promoting democratic governance and preventing unconstitutional changes of government. In notable cases such as Côte d'Ivoire and The Gambia, sanctions contributed significantly to restoring constitutional order and facilitating peaceful political transitions. However, the overall effectiveness of these sanctions is often undermined by weak enforcement mechanisms within ECOWAS itself. Political and economic self-interests among member states frequently hinder the collective imposition and sustained enforcement of sanctions. Some countries prioritize maintaining economic and diplomatic relations with targeted states over fully supporting punitive measures, thereby weakening the collective resolve and impact of sanctions. This lack of cohesion and unity among ECOWAS members reduces the pressure on sanctioned regimes and emboldens them to resist regional and international demands for political reform.

A major limitation identified by the study is the resilience of authoritarian regimes and military juntas in the face of sanctions. In countries like Mali and Guinea, military governments have managed to consolidate power despite multiple rounds of sanctions imposed by ECOWAS following coups in 2020 and 2021. These regimes often circumvent economic pressures by exploiting natural resources, engaging in illicit trade, and receiving support from sympathetic external actors. Such adaptability undermines the ability of sanctions to destabilize or compel political change in these contexts. Moreover, the study highlights the disproportionate impact of sanctions on civilian populations rather than political elites.

Economic hardships caused by trade restrictions, travel bans, and financial isolation—such as increased poverty, unemployment, and inflation—have aggravated the already fragile economic conditions in these countries. This collateral damage risks fueling social discontent, which can lead to further political instability, thus highlighting the unintended consequences and ethical challenges of broadly applied sanctions.

The research further emphasizes the importance of international coordination in enhancing the effectiveness of ECOWAS sanctions. Sanctions tend to be more impactful when implemented as part of a multilateral effort involving organizations like the United Nations, African Union, and European Union. For instance, the coordinated sanctions during the 2010 post-election crisis in Côte d'Ivoire played a critical role in isolating the then-regime of Laurent Gbagbo and facilitating his eventual relinquishment of power. Conversely, in recent cases such as Mali and Guinea, where international cooperation has been less comprehensive, sanctions have had limited success in inducing political change. The study also underscores the superiority of targeted sanctions that focus specifically on political elites and key institutions such as asset freezes, travel bans, and diplomatic isolation over broad economic sanctions. Targeted sanctions are more effective at pressuring those responsible for political instability while minimizing harm to the general population. The Gambia's 2016 case is presented as an example where such focused measures yielded positive political reforms with relatively minimal collateral damage.

Finally, the findings highlight enforcement and diplomacy as critical factors influencing the success of sanctions. ECOWAS's ability to monitor and enforce sanctions compliance remains limited, with member states often engaging in informal trade or exploiting loopholes, which dilutes the impact of sanctions. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms, including dedicated monitoring bodies or sanctions committees within ECOWAS, could improve sanction effectiveness. Additionally, sanctions tend to work best when paired with diplomatic engagement and mediation aimed at negotiating political solutions or facilitating peaceful transitions to civilian rule. The study points to the 2017 crisis in The Gambia as an example where sanctions combined with diplomacy resulted in successful political outcomes. To enhance the overall efficacy of its sanctions regime, the study recommends institutional reforms within ECOWAS, such as improving decision-making processes, ensuring strategic and transparent targeting of sanctions, and developing legal frameworks to protect human rights and prevent disproportionate impacts on vulnerable populations. Addressing these structural weaknesses would strengthen ECOWAS's capacity to use sanctions as a tool for promoting peace, stability, and democratic governance in West Africa.

CONCLUSION

ECOWAS sanctions play a key role in helping to maintain peace and support democratic governance in West Africa. In some situations, like in Côte d'Ivoire, these sanctions have been successful in restoring order and enforcing the rule of law. However, the overall effectiveness of ECOWAS sanctions is inconsistent, as they have not always led to the desired political outcomes in countries like Guinea and Mali. In these cases, despite the sanctions, military juntas and authoritarian regimes have managed to remain in power, resisting both regional and international pressure. This inconsistency highlights the challenges ECOWAS faces in using sanctions as a tool to drive political change. Factors like weak enforcement, a lack of unity among member states, and the ability of governments to adapt or find alternative support all contribute to the limitations of ECOWAS sanctions.

Another significant issue is the unintended harm these sanctions can inflict on ordinary citizens. Broad economic sanctions often cause hardships such as increased poverty, inflation, and unemployment, affecting the daily lives of people who are not responsible for the political instability in their countries. While the goal is to pressure political elites, in reality, many of the economic burdens fall on the general population, raising ethical concerns about the use of such measures. As ECOWAS continues to use sanctions to promote regional stability, there is a growing need to carefully balance the pressure on governments with the potential harm to civilians, ensuring that future sanctions are more targeted and effective.

REFERENCES

Banégas, R. (2011). *Côte d'Ivoire's post-election crisis: Ouattara's victory, Gbagbo's defiance*. African Affairs, 110(440), 481–491.

Biersteker, T. J., Eckert, S. E., & Tourinho, M. (2021). *Targeted sanctions: The impacts and effectiveness of United Nations action*. Cambridge University Press.

Boukhars, A. (2020). *The challenges of insecurity in the Sahel*. International Affairs, 96(3), 589–604. https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiaa046

Caetano, J., Galego, A., & Caleiro, A. (2023). On the determinants of sanctions effectiveness: An empirical analysis by using duration models. *Economies, 11*(5), 136. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies11050136

Doyle, M. W. (2017). *The Gambia's democratic transition: Lessons for Africa*. Journal of Democracy, 28(3), 157–171. https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2017.0044

Emejulu, U., & Kallon, D. (2023). *Regional responses to unconstitutional change: ECOWAS and sanctions enforcement in West Africa*. Journal of African Governance, 9(2), 115–131.

Gutmann, J., Neuenkirch, M., & Neumeier, F. (2021). The economic effects of international sanctions: An event study. *Kyklos, 74*(2), 278–304.

Hufbauer, G. C., Schott, J. J., Elliott, K. A., & Oegg, B. (2022). *Economic sanctions reconsidered* (4th ed.). Peterson Institute for International Economics.

Huggon, P. (2009). *Guinea: Transition, challenges, and change*. Politique Africaine, (113), 153–162.

International Crisis Group. (2011). *Côte d'Ivoire: Is war the only option?* Africa Report No. 171. https://www.crisisgroup.org/africa/west-africa/cote-divoire/cote-divoire-war-only-option

Kohnert, D. (2013). *ECOWAS and regional integration in West Africa: Lessons from the monetary union*. African Development Review, 25(1), 25–39.

Kokabisaghi, F. (2018). Assessment of the impact of sanctions on Iranians' right to health. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management, 7*(5), 374–393. https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2017.121

Krainin, D. (2022). ECOWAS and electoral sanctions in West Africa: The case of Côte d'Ivoire. *Journal of African Politics and Society, 9*(2), 134–152.

Kuwonu, F. (2011). *Côte d'Ivoire: Sanctions take toll*. Africa Renewal, 25(1), 12–13.

LeoGrande, W. M., & Kornbluh, P. (2020). *Back channel to Cuba: The hidden history of negotiations between Washington and Havana* (Updated ed.). University of North Carolina Press.

Liou, C. Y., Adeyemi, T., & Diarra, M. (2020). The post-election crisis in Côte d'Ivoire and the role of regional actors. *West African Studies Quarterly, 17*(1), 45–67.

Marczak, J., & Rosenberg, R. (2020). *The evolving use of sanctions in international diplomacy*. Atlantic Council Press.

Mastanduno, M. (2022). *Economic sanctions and international relations: Theory and practice*. Oxford University Press.

Portela, C. (2021). Sanctions against West African states: Measuring success. *Journal of International Affairs and Development, 23*(3), 211–229.

Souaré, I. K. (2013). *The African Union as a norm entrepreneur on military coups d'état in Africa (1952–2012): An empirical assessment*. The Journal of Modern African Studies, 51(2), 267–294.

World Bank. (2021). *Mali Economic Update: Resilience through reforms*. World Bank Group.