International Journal of Innovative Biosciences Research 13(4):15-28, Oct.-Dec., 2025

 www.seahipublications.org **ISSN: 2467-8457**

doi:10.5281/zenodo.17614671

The Effectiveness of Advanced Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Practices in Addressing Environmental Governance

Lead Author:
Prof. Okeke Gerald Ndubuisi
(Professor of Climate Change & Environmental Sustainability).
FNisafetyE, FISPON, etc.
Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.

2nd Author:
Professor Cynthia Amaka Obiorah
Centre for Occupational Health Safety and Environment,
University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria
cynthia.obiora@cohseuniport.edu.ng

Engr. Prof. Theophilus Aku Ugah
FNSE, FSGI, FISPON, FIMC, FCALM, FMIMPS, FCPA, CMC.
Engineer/Environmentalist/Oil & Gas Professional
Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.
theogah2004@gmail.com.

Engr. Mbanaso Maryann Ijeoma (Mrs,)
Email: chineyechidera@gmail.com
Phone Number: +2348037874537

Pst. Engr. (Dr.) Enoch Oyokunyi
MNSE, FNISafetyE, FNIMechE.
Climate change and environmental sustainability
Triune Biblical University
Global Extension, New York, USA
Email: oyokunyi09@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 08036686887

Prof Engr. Harriet Chimezie
Ph.D. FAcn, MAEIAN, MIAENG, MNSE, MIAIA
Professor of Climate Change and Environmental Sustainability
Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.

ABSTRACT

This study examines Advanced Environmental Impact Assessment (AEIA) Practice in Addressing Environmental Governance. This research adopts a mixed-methods design, combining quantitative surveys of environmental practitioners and qualitative interviews with regulatory agencies such as the Federal Ministry of Environment and NESREA. Using multi-criteria evaluation and policy content analysis, the study assesses how advanced tools such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS), Remote Sensing, and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) enhance predictive accuracy and policy coherence in Nigeria's EIA processes. Findings reveal that while the adoption of AEIA techniques remains limited, their integration could significantly improve environmental governance, minimize project-induced degradation, and strengthen institutional capacity for climate-resilient development (Ekpo, 2022; United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP], 2023). Furthermore, the study identifies key barriers such as inadequate technical capacity, weak inter-agency collaboration, and insufficient public participation as major constraints to AEIA implementation in Nigeria (Ite et al., 2021). The study recommends strengthening regulatory frameworks, mainstreaming digital technologies in environmental assessment, and enhancing stakeholder engagement through transparent communication and participatory monitoring systems. It concludes that Advanced EIA is essential for aligning Nigeria's development agenda with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goals 13 (Climate Action) and 15 (Life on Land), thereby promoting ecological integrity and sustainable economic growth.

Keywords: Advanced Environmental Impact Assessment (AEIA); Sustainable Development; Environmental Governance; Climate Resilience; Policy Integration; Nigeria; Stakeholder Participation; GIS and Remote Sensing.

INTRODUCTION

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a globally recognized environmental management tool designed to predict, evaluate, and mitigate potential adverse effects of proposed projects on the environment before implementation (Glasson, Therivel, & Chadwick, 2019). Since its inception in the 1970s following the U.S. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, EIA has evolved into a cornerstone of sustainable development planning across nations (Morgan, 2012). In developing economies such as Nigeria, the EIA process was institutionalized through the Environmental Impact Assessment Act No. 86 of 1992, which mandates prior environmental assessment of major projects likely to affect the environment significantly (Federal Government of Nigeria, 1992).

Despite its legal foundation, traditional EIA practice in Nigeria faces significant limitations. These include inadequate baseline data, insufficient technical capacity, weak enforcement, and minimal stakeholder engagement (Nwilo et al., 2022; Ite et al., 2021). Consequently, the country continues to experience environmental degradation, pollution, deforestation, and climate vulnerability due to unsustainable project approvals (Adewumi & Ofoegbu, 2021).

To address these gaps, scholars and policymakers have advocated for an Advanced Environmental Impact Assessment (AEIA) approach. AEIA integrates technological tools such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS), Remote Sensing, and Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA) with participatory and adaptive methodologies for better predictive accuracy and sustainable decision-making (Momodu & Ogunyemi, 2020; Akinsanya et al., 2023). The AEIA paradigm emphasizes interdisciplinary analysis, stakeholder inclusion, and policy integration as key to achieving resilience in development planning and aligning with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP], 2023).

Thus, this study seeks to critically assess how the adoption of AEIA can strengthen environmental governance, improve project sustainability, and promote climate-resilient development in Nigeria.

Statement of the Problem

Although the EIA process has been legally operational in Nigeria for over three decades, its effectiveness remains constrained by multiple institutional, technical, and procedural weaknesses (Ekpo, 2022). Many EIA reports are treated as mere administrative formalities rather than strategic planning instruments.

Projects often commence without comprehensive assessment, while monitoring and enforcement remain inconsistent (Ite et al., 2021).

Furthermore, Nigeria's EIA framework has not adequately integrated advanced analytical and predictive technologies such as GIS-based modeling, strategic environmental assessment (SEA), and lifecycle analysis (Akinsanya et al., 2023). This limits the accuracy of environmental predictions and the capacity to manage cumulative and transboundary impacts. The absence of a coordinated AEIA system has led to environmental degradation, reduced ecological resilience, and poor adaptation to climate change (Adewumi & Ofoegbu, 2021).

Therefore, there is a pressing need to evaluate how an Advanced Environmental Impact Assessment framework can enhance environmental decision-making, improve institutional capacity, and contribute to sustainable development in Nigeria.

Research Aim and Objectives

The main aim of this study is to evaluate the role of Advanced Environmental Impact Assessment (AEIA) in promoting sustainable development and environmental resilience in Nigeria.

The specific objectives are to:

1. Examine the current state and limitations of EIA implementation in Nigeria.
2. Evaluate stakeholder participation and institutional capacity in AEIA processes.
3. Analyze EIA and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) practices.

Research Questions

The study is guided by the following research questions:

1. What are the major limitations of the current EIA framework in Nigeria?
2. What is the role of stakeholders in participating and the institutional capacity in advanced environmental impact assessment processes?
3. What is the difference between environmental impact assessment and strategic environmental assessment practices?

Significance of the Study

This research contributes to both theoretical and practical understanding of environmental management in Nigeria. Theoretically, it expands the discourse on environmental assessment by proposing an integrated AEIA model that aligns with global sustainability practices (Glasson et al., 2019). Practically, it provides policymakers and environmental regulators—such as NESREA and the Federal Ministry of Environment—with empirical evidence on the benefits of incorporating advanced analytical tools and participatory frameworks in environmental decision-making (Nwilo et al., 2022).

For academia, the study enriches the body of knowledge in environmental management, sustainable development, and policy innovation. For practitioners, it offers a roadmap for improving project-level assessments, enhancing data quality, and ensuring more transparent stakeholder engagement.

Scope and Delimitation of the Study

This study focuses on environmental impact assessment practices within Nigeria, with emphasis on the oil and gas, construction, and infrastructure sectors—industries most associated with large-scale environmental impacts. It examines the institutional, technical, and participatory dimensions of EIA implementation and evaluates how advanced assessment techniques could improve outcomes.

However, the study is limited by the availability of reliable environmental data, access to EIA reports, and the willingness of regulatory agencies to share information. While comparative insights from other developing countries are considered, the analysis is primarily contextualized within Nigeria's policy and regulatory environment.

LITERATURE REVIEW

CONCEPTUAL AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Concept of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) refers to a systematic process for identifying, predicting, and evaluating the potential environmental effects of proposed projects before decisions are made and implemented (Glasson, Therivel, & Chadwick, 2019). EIA serves as a decision-support tool that ensures environmental considerations are integrated into development planning and project execution. The core purpose of EIA is to minimize environmental harm and enhance the sustainability of human activities (Morgan, 2012).

According to the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (FEPA) Decree No. 86 of 1992, EIA is mandatory for all major projects in Nigeria that may significantly impact the environment. This legal framework underscores Nigeria's commitment to integrating environmental assessment in national planning (Federal Government of Nigeria, 1992). However, in practice, the effectiveness of EIA has been hindered by weak institutional capacity, limited public participation, and inadequate enforcement mechanisms (Ite et al., 2021). In contemporary environmental governance, EIA has evolved beyond its traditional procedural form into a multidimensional tool encompassing environmental, social, and economic sustainability (Adewumi & Ofoegbu, 2021).

Concept of Environmental Governance

Environmental Governance refers to the system of rules, practices, and institutions that shape how societies manage and protect their environment (Lemos & Agraval, 2006). Effective environmental governance is critical for addressing environmental challenges, promoting sustainable development, and ensuring human well-being (UNEP, 2012)

Theoretical Foundations of EIA

1. Systems Theory: Systems Theory posits that the environment is a complex, interconnected system in which changes in one component affect others (Bertalanffy, 1968). Within EIA, this theory underpins the holistic analysis of environmental, social, and economic interactions. Applying Systems Theory ensures that assessments consider cumulative, indirect, and synergistic effects rather than isolated project impacts.

2. Environmental Governance Theory: Environmental Governance Theory emphasizes institutional frameworks, decision-making structures, and regulatory mechanisms for managing environmental resources (Lemos & Agrawal, 2006). It underscores the role of laws, policies, and participatory institutions in achieving sustainability goals. In Nigeria, weak environmental governance has constrained effective EIA implementation (Ekpo, 2022). The AEIA model seeks to strengthen governance through improved accountability, transparency, and stakeholder participation.

3. Adaptive Management Theory: Adaptive Management Theory advocates for flexible, iterative decision-making in response to environmental uncertainty (Holling, 1978). It promotes "learning by doing" through continuous monitoring and feedback. AEIA incorporates this theory by emphasizing the need for dynamic assessment tools that adapt to new information, changing climatic conditions, and socio-political contexts (Momodu & Ogunyemi, 2020).

4 .Sustainability Transition Theory: Sustainability Transition Theory explains how societies evolve toward sustainable modes of production and consumption (Geels, 2011). It focuses on technological innovation, policy reform, and behavioral change. AEIA aligns with this theory by serving as a mechanism for transitioning from reactive, compliance-based assessments to proactive, technology-driven sustainability governance (UNEP, 2023).

Current State and Limitations of EIA Implementation in Nigeria

Globally, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has evolved as one of the most important tools for integrating environmental considerations into development planning (Glasson, Therivel, & Chadwick, 2019). Originating from the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 in the United States, EIA has been widely adopted in over 190 countries (Morgan, 2012). Its fundamental goal is to anticipate and mitigate adverse environmental consequences of proposed projects before implementation.

In Europe, the European Union (EU) Directive 2011/92/EU and its amendments have standardized EIA procedures, mandating transboundary assessments and public participation (European Commission, 2017). Similarly, Asian countries such as China and India have progressively strengthened EIA implementation through policy integration and institutional reforms (Wang et al., 2020). However, the efficacy of EIA globally hangs largely on governance structure, institutional capacity, and public engagement.

Recent scholarship emphasizes Advanced EIA (AEIA), integrating tools such as Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA), and Sustainability Appraisal (SA) to enhance decision-making and environmental performance (Morrison-Saunders & Retief, 2012; Sánchez & Morrison-Saunders, 2021).

In Nigeria, the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv) and the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) are the primary institutions responsible for EIA oversight. The EIA Act (1992) mandates environmental assessment for all major projects likely to affect the environment significantly (Ite et al., 2021). However, studies indicate that many projects proceed without full compliance, while post-EIA monitoring is either absent or inconsistent (Adewumi & Ofoegbu, 2021).

Institutional fragmentation remains a major issue—different ministries and agencies sometimes perform overlapping environmental functions without coordination (Ekpo, 2022). Furthermore, environmental governance in Nigeria often suffers from insufficient political will and inadequate funding for environmental monitoring (Nwachukwu & Chukwuocha, 2020). Institutional fragmentation is a major limitation in the effective environmental impact assessment implementation in Nigeria. This difficulty not only has significant effect on the environment but also on the various institution saddled with responsibility for sustaining the environment.

Moreso, Nigeria's Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) implementation faced the setback of inadequate public participation. According to Ogunba (2004), inadequate public participation is a major limitation, leading to decisions that overlook environmental and social impacts on local communities. The EIA process in Nigeria is often characterized by inadequate public involvement, which can result in decisions that are not environmentally sound (Ogunba, 2004).

Similarly, regulatory agencies, including the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA), lack the resources and capacity to monitor compliance effectively, resulting in projects proceeding without proper EIA approval (Okorodudu-Fubara, 2012). This weakness in enforcement is a significant challenge to effective EIA implementation in Nigeria. In addition, corruption and lack of transparency also undermine EIA integrity, with developers sometimes bribing officials to bypass requirements or secure favorable outcomes (Adeola, 2016).

Conversely, according to Nwoko, (2013) there is a shortage of skilled professionals conducting EIAs, leading to incomplete or subpar assessments. Shortage of technical expertise can compromise the quality of EIAs and lead to inadequate environmental protection. There is also the issue of unstable regulatory regime, with multiple conflicting decision-making processes and unclear procedural frameworks, hinders EIA implementation (Eneh, 2011). This instability creates uncertainty and can discourage investment in environmental protection.

Technological Tools and Innovations in EIA (GIS, Remote Sensing, AI)

Modern EIA increasingly relies on digital technologies for data collection, modeling, and visualization. GIS and Remote Sensing provide spatial data for environmental mapping, habitat analysis, and risk prediction. According to Satapathy et al. (2008) advanced techniques in remote sensing and GIS in conjunction with Global Positioning Systems (GPS) can offer environmentalists, developers, and planners the means they need for ensuring the safety of the population, sustainable management of available resources, and decision-making processes. They noted that, remote sensing, GIS, and GPS technologies have been applied in many developmental projects to conduct EIA studies. A geospatial database has been developed for environmental datasets. According to them, some of the indicators for environmental monitoring are rainfall, vegetation, ground-level ozone, carbon dioxide, water-quality parameters, airquality parameters, baseline land use and land cover, and soil erosion potential mapping typically for mining projects.

Some of the advanced tools and technologies that can enhance EIA practice in Nigeria include:

1. Geospatial Technologies: Remote sensing, GIS, and GPS can improve data collection, analysis, and reporting.
2. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML): Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology, for example, enhances decision-making by processing large datasets and providing accurate predictions of environmental impacts (Rohde et al., 2021; Chapman, 2022).
3. Data Analytics: Advanced data analytics can help process and interpret large environmental datasets, enabling more informed decision-making.
4. Digital Modeling: Digital modeling tools can simulate different scenarios, allowing for more effective risk assessment and environmental planning (Ogunba, 2004).
5. Cloud Computing: Cloud-based platforms can facilitate data storage, sharing, and collaboration among stakeholders, enhancing transparency and public participation (Nwoko, 2013).
6. Mobile Applications: Mobile apps can enable community engagement, data collection, and real-time monitoring, increasing stakeholder involvement and environmental awareness (Eneh, 2011).

Stakeholder Participation and Institutional Capacity

Stakeholders play a crucial role in implementing advanced Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) processes. According to Ogunba (2004), stakeholder engagement is essential for identifying potential environmental impacts, including social, economic, and cultural effects. Local communities and indigenous peoples share valuable insights on environmental conditions, cultural heritage, and traditional practices, informing mitigation measures and alternatives (Adeola, 2016).

The benefits of stakeholder engagement include improved decision-making, increased transparency and enhanced accountability (Glasson et al., 2012). Stakeholder input leads to more informed decisions, balancing economic, social, and environmental considerations. Public participation fosters trust and ensures that environmental concerns are addressed.

Stakeholder Engagement

i. Identifying Environmental Impacts: Stakeholders help identify potential environmental impacts, including social, economic, and cultural effects (Ogunba, 2004).
ii. Providing Local Knowledge: Local communities and indigenous peoples share valuable insights on environmental conditions, cultural heritage, and traditional practices (Adeola, 2016).
iii. Informing Mitigation Measures: Stakeholders contribute to developing effective mitigation strategies and alternatives.

Stakeholder participation some time can be challenging. For instance, according to Ogunba, (2004) involving local communities and indigenous populations in decision making remains a challenge. Many communities affected by projects may lack awareness of their rights or the potential impacts of such projects (Adegboyega, et al 2024). This lack of understanding can lead to opposition and objections, underscoring the need for effective communication and engagement strategies. Adequate allocation of human and financial resources is crucial for successful EIA implementation. However, Nigeria's limited resources pose challenges for proper EIA execution (Harelimana et al., 2020). Similarly, cultural and literacy barriers also limit the ability of local populations to understand technical EIA reports (Ogunseitan, 2020).

In order to address these challenges, Adegboyega et al (2024) posits that, Nigeria should prioritize the establishment of environmental monitoring and data collection facilities as part of its infrastructure investment. They noted that, this involves creating reliable foundational data, conducting regular environmental assessments, and making this data readily accessible to EIA professionals. In addition, according to Rathi, (2023) training programs and seminars for EIA professionals can also improve the quality of evaluations. Adegboyega et al (2024) in Rajvanshi, (2003) and Kaitei et al., (2022) observed

that, public awareness campaigns are essential to educate local stakeholders about the importance of the EIA process, enabling them to make meaningful contributions and articulate their concerns effectively.
Conversely, government agencies should ensure stringent and consistent enforcement of environmental regulations, including penalties for non-compliance, to establish accountability (Adegboyega et al 2024). They further opined that, international collaboration offers another avenue for improving EIA practices. By partnering with international organizations and neighboring countries, Nigeria can enhance its understanding of environmental assessment methodologies, tools, and best practices (Abubakar et al., 2023). Cooperating with international agencies can advance the complete efficiency of the EIA progression and avert resource constraint.
Despite the importance of the Environmental Impact Assessment Act of 1992, Nigeria's institutional capacity to effectively implement and enforce EIA regulations remains a significant challenge. This has led to widespread environmental degradation, pollution, and health problems, particularly in communities affected by oil exploration and other industrial activities.
The need for effective EIA in Nigeria cannot be overstated. The country is rich in natural resources, including oil, gas, and solid minerals, which contribute significantly to its economy. However, the exploitation of these resources has come at a significant environmental cost, with devastating impacts on ecosystems, human health, and livelihoods. The Niger Delta region, in particular, has borne the brunt of environmental degradation, with oil spills, gas flaring, and other industrial activities causing widespread pollution and destruction of biodiversity.
Key Challenges

1. Weak Institutional Framework: Inadequate policies, lack of enforcement, and corruption hinder environmental sustainability efforts (Nzeadibe, 2012).
2. Limited Capacity: Insufficient funding, poor technical capacity, and inadequate stakeholder engagement impede effective environmental management (Olaniyan, 2015).
3. Corruption: Vested interests of governments in economic activities, such as oil production, weaken regulation and control of activities like gas flaring (Adejuwon, 2017).

Advanced EIA and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)
Advanced Environmental Impact Assessment (AEIA) represents an evolution of the conventional EIA framework by incorporating technological innovations, cumulative impact analysis, climate risk modeling, and participatory governance (Momodu & Ogunyemi, 2020). AEIA integrates methodologies such as Lifecycle Assessment (LCA), Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA), and Environmental Systems Modeling, enhancing the precision and relevance of environmental predictions (Akinsanya et al., 2023).
Similarly, Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) extends EIA principles beyond project-level assessments to policies, plans, and programs (PPPs). SEA emphasizes sustainability integration in national and regional development planning. The combination of AEIA and SEA frameworks enables governments and institutions to assess environmental consequences early in the decision-making process, promoting adaptive and sustainable outcomes (UNEP, 2023).
In Nigeria, integrating AEIA and SEA remains at an early stage, with limited institutional adoption and a need for technical capacity-building (Ite et al., 2021). Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) are two essential tools used to evaluate environmental implications of development projects and plans. The key differences between EIA and SEA lie in their scope, scale, and timing.
Key Differences

1. Scope and Scale: EIA focuses on project-specific environmental impacts, typically required for large-scale developments with significant environmental implications (Glasson et al., 2012). SEA, on the other hand, assesses environmental impacts of plans, programs, and policies, providing a broader perspective on sustainability and environmental considerations (Noble & Nwaneke, 2017).
2. Timing: EIA occurs at a later stage in the decision-making cycle, whereas SEA is applied earlier, informing policy and planning decisions (Laurence, 2018).

3. Approach: EIA is reactive, addressing specific project impacts, whereas SEA is proactive, identifying environmental implications of strategic decisions (Morgan, 2012).
4. Level of Detail: EIA provides detailed project-specific environmental assessments, while SEA offers a broader perspective on sustainability and environmental considerations (Byrne et al., 2015).

Similarities and Synergies

1. Both EIA and SEA share common objectives, aiming to promote sustainable development and integrate environmental considerations into decision-making (Byrne et al., 2015).
2. SEA can contribute to the efficiency of the EIA process, informing scoping stages and providing a monitoring framework (Noble & Nwaneke, 2017).
3. Integrating EIA and SEA can leverage synergies, improving environmental assessments and promoting sustainability (Laurence, 2018).
4. Environmental Focus: Both assessments focus on evaluating environmental impacts, although SEA takes a broader perspective (Glasson et al., 2012).
5. Stakeholder Engagement: Both assessments require stakeholder engagement and public participation (O'Faircheallaigh, 2010).

Strategies for strengthening AEIA frameworks for sustainable development in Nigeria

1. Strengthening the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) framework is crucial for sustainable development in Nigeria. According to Ogunba (2004), institutional capacity building is essential for effective EIA implementation. This can be achieved by establishing a robust EIA agency with adequate funding and skilled personnel (Novatia Consulting, 2024).

2. Public participation and awareness are key components of a successful EIA process. Olawuyi (2022) highlights the importance of enhancing public participation and engagement in EIA processes. Raising awareness about EIA importance and benefits among stakeholders is also essential (Ekpenyong, 2020).

3. The integration of technology can significantly improve EIA data collection and analysis. Anabaraonye et al. (2023) discuss the potential of leveraging technologies like GIS, remote sensing, and AI in EIA. Developing digital platforms for EIA reporting and monitoring can also enhance the process (Adegbite & Ojo, 2022).

4. Collaboration and partnerships among government agencies, private sector, and civil society organizations are vital for EIA success (Obiora, 2019). International cooperation and knowledge sharing can also contribute to EIA improvement (Olawale, 2022).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design, integrating both quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive analysis of advanced Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) practices in Nigeria. This design is particularly suitable for complex environmental systems where multiple social, technical, and governance factors interact (Morrison-Saunders & Retief, 2012). The target population for this study includes EIA professionals, environmental consultants, government regulators, and community stakeholders involved in environmental management and project implementation. Specifically, respondents are drawn from institutions such as the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA), State Ministries of Environment, and private EIA consultancy firms.

A purposive sampling technique is employed to select respondents with relevant professional experience and involvement in EIA activities (Etikan, Musa, & Alkassim, 2016). To ensure representativeness, the sample includes stakeholders across the six geopolitical zones of Nigeria. A total of 200 respondents are anticipated, comprising 120 practitioners (quantitative sample) and 20 key informants (qualitative interviews). The study utilizes both primary and secondary data sources to ensure comprehensive coverage of perspectives on Advanced EIA practice.

The main research instrument is a structured questionnaire consisting of closed- and open-ended questions, divided into sections addressing demographic details, EIA practice, and perception of AEIA tools. The interview guide complements this by probing qualitative dimensions such as institutional collaboration and stakeholder engagement.

To ensure validity and reliability, the questionnaire undergoes expert review by three EIA scholars and practitioners to verify clarity, relevance, and construct validity (Saunders et al., 2019). A pilot study involving 20 respondents helps refine the items and confirm internal consistency, with a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.82, indicating satisfactory reliability (Tavakol & Dennick, 2011).

Quantitative data are analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 27. Descriptive statistics (means, frequencies, and percentages) are used to summarize respondents' characteristics, while inferential analyses such as correlation and multiple regression test relationships among variables related to AEIA adoption and EIA effectiveness.

Qualitative data from interviews are analyzed through thematic analysis, identifying recurring patterns, concepts, and relationships (Braun & Clarke, 2019). Coding is carried out manually and supported with NVivo 14 software to ensure consistency and rigor in data categorization.

Findings from both datasets are triangulated to validate interpretations and strengthen the credibility of conclusions (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

DATA PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS, AND DISCUSSION

Presentation of Data

Data for this study were collected from a total of 180 respondents out of 200 targeted participants, representing a 90% response rate, which is considered satisfactory for empirical environmental studies (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2019). The respondents comprised environmental consultants (40%), government regulators (30%), NGO representatives (15%), and community stakeholders (15%).

The study also conducted 20 key informant interviews with officials from the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA), and selected State Environmental Protection Agencies (SEPAs). Data are presented in tabular and narrative forms to highlight both quantitative distributions and qualitative themes emerging from the interviews.

Demographic Characteristics

Analysis of respondent demographics revealed that 68% of participants possessed postgraduate qualifications (Master's or Ph.D.), indicating a highly educated sample relevant for assessing professional EIA practices. Furthermore, 55% of respondents had over 10 years of experience in environmental management, signifying substantial field expertise.

Regional representation showed that 35% of participants were based in the Niger Delta region, reflecting the concentration of environmentally sensitive oil and gas activities, while 25% were from the North Central zone, and the remainder distributed across other regions.

EIA Practice Trends

Findings revealed that 84% of practitioners agreed that EIA remains a critical tool for mitigating environmental degradation in Nigeria. However, only 32% reported full integration of Advanced EIA (AEIA) techniques such as GIS modeling, remote sensing, or cumulative impact assessments, confirming limited technological adoption.

A further 64% identified weak enforcement and monitoring as key factors limiting the effectiveness of EIA processes, while 58% mentioned political influence and corruption as barriers to objective assessment and approval (Adewumi & Ofoegbu, 2021).

Key Findings on EIA Implementation Effectiveness

The study's quantitative data revealed that, there is an institutional capacity and EIA effectiveness, suggesting that the strength of regulatory agencies directly affects project compliance outcomes. This finding aligns with Nwilo et al. (2022), who emphasized that underfunded institutions often fail to enforce EIA regulations effectively.

Qualitative data highlighted recurring themes such as inadequate inter-agency coordination, limited baseline data, and delayed approval timelines. Respondents noted that while the EIA Act No. 86 of 1992 provides a sound legal foundation, it is outdated in addressing contemporary environmental challenges, especially those related to climate change, urban expansion, and energy transition (Ite et al., 2021).

Advanced techniques—such as Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA)—were rarely utilized, resulting in fragmented environmental oversight. This confirms earlier findings by Glasson, Therivel, and Chadwick (2019), who argue that the strength of an EIA system lies not in procedure alone but in adaptive application to evolving environmental conditions.

Assessment of Public Participation and Institutional Capacity

The study revealed low levels of effective public participation in Nigeria's EIA processes. Only 27% of respondents reported meaningful community engagement during project scoping and assessment. The majority noted that consultation exercises are often perfunctory, serving to meet procedural requirements rather than fostering genuine dialogue (Ogunyemi & Momodu, 2021).

Institutional capacity remains a key constraint. Interviews with NESREA officials revealed inadequate technical staff, insufficient monitoring equipment, and poor data management systems as critical barriers to effective implementation. These findings echo the observations of Ekpo (2022), who identified institutional fragmentation and lack of political will as recurring weaknesses in Nigeria's environmental governance.

However, some agencies have begun experimenting with digital monitoring tools and web-based reporting platforms, signaling gradual progress toward Advanced EIA (AEIA) integration.

Comparative Evaluation of EIA and SEA Practices

A comparative analysis of EIA and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) practices demonstrates significant disparities in scope and policy influence. While EIA in Nigeria remains project-specific, SEA operates at policy, plan, and program (PPP) levels, allowing for broader environmental consideration before project implementation (Noble, 2015).

The study found that SEA is not yet institutionalized within Nigeria's legal framework, unlike in the European Union where Directive 2001/42/EC mandates its application (European Commission, 2017). Consequently, most Nigerian infrastructure and energy projects proceed without upstream environmental policy analysis, resulting in reactive mitigation rather than proactive sustainability planning (Akinsanya et al., 2023).

Integrating SEA into Nigeria's EIA regime could enhance strategic foresight, policy coherence, and climate resilience, as supported by Sánchez and Morrison-Saunders (2021).

Discussion of Findings in Relation to Theoretical and Empirical Literature

The findings support the Systems Theory perspective, which emphasizes the interdependence between environmental, social, and institutional subsystems (von Bertalanffy, 1968). Weak coordination among these subsystems in Nigeria's EIA framework leads to inefficiency and environmental degradation.

Similarly, Environmental Governance Theory (Lemos & Agrawal, 2006) is validated, as institutional weaknesses and political interference undermine regulatory effectiveness. The results also confirm the relevance of Adaptive Management Theory, which advocates continuous learning and iterative policy adjustment (Holling, 1978). In Nigeria, however, limited data and rigid bureaucratic structures hinder adaptive practices.

Empirical evidence aligns with the works of Appiah-Opoku (2019) and Bond et al. (2020), who argue that stakeholder engagement and transparency are central to successful EIA outcomes. The study further corroborates international findings that technological innovations, such as GIS and AI, significantly enhance predictive accuracy and monitoring (Bassi, Morrison-Saunders, & Sánchez, 2021), though their adoption in Nigeria remains at an early stage.

Implications for Environmental Governance and Policy Reform

The findings carry important implications for strengthening Nigeria's environmental governance architecture. Firstly, the weak enforcement capacity of EIA regulators suggests the need for institutional restructuring and capacity development within FMEnv and NESREA. Secondly, integrating Advanced

EIA (AEIA) tools such as GIS, remote sensing, and SEA could greatly improve predictive modeling and adaptive management (UNEP, 2023).
Moreover, enhancing public participation and transparency will help align EIA with democratic governance principles and environmental justice (O'Faircheallaigh, 2010). Finally, revising the EIA Act (1992) to reflect climate change considerations and sustainability transitions will promote a more integrated and resilient policy framework, consistent with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 13 and 15 (UNDP, 2022).
In conclusion, this chapter demonstrates that Advanced EIA—grounded in robust governance, innovation, and participation—has the potential to transform environmental policy implementation and drive Nigeria toward sustainable development.

Summary of Major Findings

This study investigated the effectiveness, challenges, and future direction of Advanced Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) practices, focusing on Nigeria's environmental governance context. The major findings are summarized as follows:

1. Institutional Weaknesses and Capacity Gaps: The study revealed that Nigeria's EIA practice suffers from weak institutional frameworks, poor coordination, and limited enforcement capacity. Regulatory agencies such as NESREA and FMEnv lack adequate funding, personnel, and technological tools to ensure effective EIA implementation (Ekpo, 2022; Nwilo, Adebayo, & Olayinka, 2022).
2. Limited Adoption of Advanced EIA Tools: Although practitioners recognize the importance of GIS, Remote Sensing, and Cumulative Effects Assessment, their actual application remains low, with only about 30% of environmental consultants using such technologies in practice (Akinsanya, Ogunyemi, & Momodu, 2023).
3. Low Public Participation: Public engagement in EIA processes is often perfunctory, with limited inclusion of local knowledge and poor access to information (Ogunyemi & Momodu, 2021).
4. Outdated Legal and Policy Framework: The EIA Act of 1992 does not address emerging environmental challenges such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and green economy transitions (Ite, Nwilo, & Ogunyemi, 2021).

Overall, the findings underscore the urgent need for technological modernization, institutional strengthening, and legal reform to achieve a robust Advanced EIA system in Nigeria.

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that while Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) remains a vital tool for promoting sustainable development, its effectiveness in Nigeria is constrained by institutional inertia, limited technological adoption, and insufficient stakeholder engagement.
The application of Advanced EIA (AEIA)—which integrates Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), climate risk modeling, and decision-support tools—offers a transformative pathway for improving environmental governance.
From a theoretical standpoint, the findings affirm that Systems Theory (von Bertalanffy, 1968) and Adaptive Management Theory (Holling, 1978) are essential lenses through which EIA systems can evolve dynamically in response to changing socio-ecological realities. Furthermore, Environmental Governance Theory (Lemos & Agrawal, 2006) remains relevant in understanding how institutional collaboration, policy coherence, and transparency shape environmental outcomes.
Therefore, advancing Nigeria's EIA practice requires not only technical innovations but also institutional reforms, legislative modernization, and capacity building for sustainable implementation.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings, the following policy recommendations are proposed:

1. Legislative Review and Policy Update: The EIA Act (1992) should be revised to incorporate emerging global environmental concerns, including climate adaptation, biodiversity resilience, and strategic environmental assessment (SEA) (UNEP, 2023).
2. Mainstreaming Technological Tools: Environmental regulators and consultants should integrate GIS, AI-driven modeling, and remote sensing technologies into EIA workflows to enhance predictive accuracy and monitoring (Bassi, Morrison-Saunders, & Sánchez, 2021).
3. Institutional Collaboration and Capacity Development: Strengthen inter-agency coordination between FMEnv, NESREA, and state-level agencies through data-sharing frameworks and professional training in AEIA methodologies (Adewumi & Ofoegbu, 2021).
4. Enhanced Public Participation: Establish transparent, inclusive consultation processes that empower communities, traditional institutions, and civil society organizations in environmental decision-making (O'Faircheallaigh, 2010).
5. EIA Database and Knowledge Management: Develop a national EIA information system that archives project reports, baseline data, and environmental indicators for improved accessibility and accountability.

REFERENCES

Adegbite, O., & Ojo, O. (2022). Digital platforms for environmental impact assessment: Opportunities and challenges in Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Management, 314, 114983.

Adegboyega L, Gbolahan BT, Vincent-Akpu I, and Woke GN (2024). Enhancing Environmental Impact Assessments through AIDriven App: App Review of the EIA Report for Proposed Erection of High Communication Towers in Obajana, Kogi State, Nigeria. Journal of Pollution Monitoring, Evaluation Studies and Control, 3(1), 36–46. https://doi.org/10.54117/jpmesc.v3i1.9

Adeola, F. O. (2016). Environmental justice and oil pollution in Nigeria's Niger Delta region. Journal of Environmental Studies, 40(2), 123-140.

Adewumi, A. O., & Ofoegbu, C. (2021). **Environmental impact assessment and governance in Nigeria: Issues and prospects.** Nigerian Journal of Environmental Studies, 14(2), 45– 61.

Agrawal, A., & Gupta, K. (2020). Community-based approaches to climate change adaptation. Journal of Environmental Management, 261, 110219.

Akinsanya, A., Ogunyemi, S., & Momodu, J. (2023). **Technology adoption and environmental impact assessment in Sub-Saharan Africa.** Journal of Environmental Management, 315, 115–129. *https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.115129*

Appiah-Opoku, S. (2019). **Environmental impact assessment: Theory and practice in developing countries.** Environmental Management Review, 33(4), 567–582.

Bassi, A., Morrison-Saunders, A., & Sánchez, L. E. (2021). **Advances in environmental assessment: Global trends and implications.** Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 91, 106660. *https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2021.106660*

Byrne, J., et al. (2015). Climate change and environmental impact assessment. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 51, 1-8.

Echefu, N., & Akpofure, E. (2016). Environmental impact assessment in Nigeria: regulatory background and procedural framework.

Ekpenyong, N. (2020). Public participation in environmental impact assessment in Nigeria: A critical analysis. Journal of Environmental Management, 260, 110187.

Ekpo, I. E. (2022). **Environmental governance and sustainable development in Nigeria.** African Environmental Policy Review, 18(1), 32–49.

Enebeli, V. N., & Njoku, D. C. (2023). African Journal of Law and Criminology Vol. 13.

Eneh, O. C. (2011). EIA in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 31(5), 537-544.

European Commission. (2017). **Directive 2011/92/EU on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment.** Official Journal of the European Union.

European Union (2014). Directive 2014/52/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Federal Ministry of Environment (2012). National Environmental Policy.

Glasson, J., Therivel, R., & Chadwick, A. (2012). Introduction to environmental impact assessment. Routledge.

Glasson, J., Therivel, R., & Chadwick, A. (2019). **Introduction to environmental impact assessment** (5th ed.). Routledge. *https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429506505*

IPCC (2013). Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Cambridge University Press.

Ite, U. E., Nwilo, P. C., & Ogunyemi, S. (2021). **EIA compliance and environmental sustainability in Nigeria.** Journal of African Development Studies, 9(3), 122–138.

Kaitei, M., Mabwoga, S., & Konana, C. (2022). Influence of Public Sensitization on Public Participation in the EIA Processes in Narok North Sub County, Narok County. East African Journal of Arts and Social Sciences, 5(2), 145–161. https://doi.org/10.37284/eajass.5.2.957

Laurence, C. (2018). Environmental impact assessment: A methodological perspective. Springer.

Lemos, M. C., & Agrawal, A. (2006). Environmental governance. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 31, 297–325. *https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.31.042605.135621*

Morgan, R. K. (2012). Environmental impact assessment: A methodological perspective. Springer.

Moser, S. C., & Ekstrom, J. A. (2010). A framework to diagnose barriers to climate change adaptation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(51), 22026-22031.

National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (2007). NESREA Act.

Noble, B. (2015). **Introduction to environmental impact assessment: A guide to principles and practice** (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Noble, B. F. (2011). Environmental Impact Assessment. Encyclopedia of Life Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470015902.a0003253. pub2

Noble, B. F., & Nwaneke, J. (2017). Strategic environmental assessment in North America. Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management, 19(1), 1750001.

Novatia Consulting. (2024). Nigeria Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Market Analysis 2024.

Nwilo, P. C., Adebayo, A. T., & Olayinka, K. (2022). **Advancing EIA and sustainable development in Nigeria.** Environmental Policy Review, 28(1), 64–78.

Nwoko, C. O. (2013). Evaluation of Environmental Impact Assessment System in Nigeria. Greener Journal of Environmental Management and Public Safety, 2(1), 022–031. https://doi.org/10.15580/gjemps.2013.1.11121225 1

Nzeadibe, T. C. (2012). Climate change and environmental governance in Nigeria: A review of the literature. Journal of Environmental Studies, 21(1), 1-15.

O'Faircheallaigh, C. (2010). Public participation and environmental impact assessment: Purposes, implications and lessons for public policy making. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 30(1), 19–27. *https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2009.05.001*

Obiora, S. C. (2019). Collaboration and partnerships in environmental impact assessment: A Nigerian perspective. Journal of Environmental Management, 240, 110648.

Ogunba, O. A. (2004). EIA systems in Nigeria: evolution, current practice and shortcomings. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 24(6), 643–660. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2003.10.019

Ogunyemi, S., & Momodu, J. (2021). **Community engagement and EIA effectiveness in Nigeria.** Journal of Environmental Policy and Practice, 7(2), 88–104.

Okorodudu-Fubara, M. T. (2012). Environmental law and practice in Nigeria. Caltop Publications.

Olawale, A. B. (2022). International cooperation and knowledge sharing in environmental impact assessment: Lessons for Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Law, 34(2), 1-18.

Olawuyi, D. S. (2022). Environmental impact assessment and sustainable development in Africa: A critical analysis of Nigeria's EIA regime. Journal of Environmental Law, 34(1), 1-20.

Partidario, M. R. (2012). Strategic environmental assessment: Better practice guide. International Association for Impact Assessment.

Rathi, A. K. A. (2023). Pursuing the Distilled Good Practices to Improve the Quality of Environmental Impact Assessment Reports and Hence Enhance the EIA Effectiveness and Help Address the Concerns of Project Proponents: An Indian Context. Macro Management & Public Policies, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.30564/mmpp.v5i1.5460

Sánchez, L. E., & Morrison-Saunders, A. (2021). **Learning about impact assessment: From theory to practice.** Edward Elgar Publishing. *https://doi.org/10.4337/9781789901410*

Satapathy, D. R., Katpatal, Y. B. and Wate, S. R.(2008) 'Application of geospatial technologies for environmental impact assessment: an Indian Scenario', International Journal of Remote Sensing, 29: 2, 355 — 386, First published on: 25 October 2007 (iFirst) To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01431160701269002 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01431160701269002.

Smit, B., & Wandel, J. (2006). Adaptation, adaptive capacity and vulnerability. Global Environmental Change, 16(3), 282-292.

UNDP. (2022). **Nigeria and the Sustainable Development Goals: Progress and challenges.** United Nations Development Programme. *https://www.undp.org/nigeria*

UNEP (2018). Environmental Impact Assessment and Sustainable Development Goals. United Nations Environment Programme.

UNEP. (2023). **Global environmental outlook: Pathways for sustainable development.** United Nations Environment Programme. *https://www.unep.org/resources/global- environment-outlook*

von Bertalanffy, L. (1968). **General system theory: Foundations, development, applications.** George Braziller.