International Journal of Innovative Finance and Economics Research 13(4):125-135, Oct.-Dec., 2025
 www.seahipublications.org ISSN: 2360-896X

doi:10.5281/zenodo.17354055

Evaluating the Impact of Integrating Risk and Quality Management on Project Performance

Lead Author:
Prof. Okeke Gerald Ndubuisi
(Professor of Climate Change & Environmental Sustainability).
FNisafetyE, FISPON, etc.
Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.

2nd Author:
Professor Cynthia Amaka OBIORAH
Centre for Occupational Health Safety and Environment,
University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria
cynthia.obiora@cohseuniport.edu.ng

Engr. Prof. Theophilus Aku Ugah
Engineer/Environmentalist/Oil & Gas Professional
Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.
theogah2004@gmail.com.

Engr. Prof. Sony Emeka Ali
(Professor of Civil Engineering & Project Management).
FNSE, FNICE, FNisafetyE, FNIStructE.
Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.

Prof. Ogechukwu Ukandu
Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.
Email: ogelic@yahoo.com

Dr. Omatseyione Nesiama
Health Safety & Environmentalist/Geologist/Oil & Gas Professional
Email: otseyione@gmail.com.

Engr. Dr. Pupu Ogheneteme Okoro (Ph.D.)
Project Management, Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.

Engr. Charles Oluwaseun Babatope
Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.
Dept.: Project Management

Ebokpo Angela Akabueze
Industrial Safety and Environmental Technology
Assistant Chief Technologist (HSE Instructor)
Email: angelaakabueze82@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In today's complex and dynamic project environments, effective risk and quality management practices are critical to ensuring project success. Risk management involves identifying, analyzing, and mitigating uncertainties that could negatively impact project outcomes, while quality management ensures that deliverables meet established standards and stakeholder expectations. This study investigates the principles, processes, and integration of risk and quality management within project management frameworks. Using a mixed-methods research approach, both qualitative and quantitative data were collected from project managers, engineers, and quality assurance professionals across selected industries. The findings indicate that proactive risk identification, continuous monitoring, and responsive mitigation strategies significantly reduce project failures. Moreover, the integration of quality control mechanisms such as ISO standards, Total Quality Management (TQM), and Six Sigma leads to higher efficiency, stakeholder satisfaction, and compliance. The study further reveals that organizations that align risk and quality strategies into a unified management framework tend to achieve better performance metrics in terms of cost, time, and scope. However, challenges such as resource limitations, inadequate training, and poor communication often hinder the effective implementation of these practices. The research concludes with strategic recommendations for enhancing risk and quality integration through capacity building, technological support, and strong leadership.

Keywords: Project Management, Risk Management, Quality Management, Risk Mitigation, Quality Assurance, Total Quality Management (TQM), Project Success, ISO Standards, Six Sigma, Project Risk Analysis

INTRODUCTION

Project execution in today's dynamic environment is characterized by increasing complexities, uncertainties, and quality expectations. The management of risk and quality is central to the success of any project, as they influence cost, time, and scope—the traditional triple constraints of project management (PMI, 2021). Risk management involves identifying, analyzing, and responding to potential uncertainties that may impact a project's objectives. Conversely, quality management ensures that project deliverables meet the required standards and stakeholders' expectations (Kerzner, 2017).

The evolution of global standards such as ISO 31000 (Risk Management) and ISO 9001 (Quality Management Systems) reflects the growing need for structured approaches to managing risk and quality in all sectors. While these two domains are often managed independently, integrating them provides a more robust framework for achieving project excellence, especially in sectors like construction, information technology, oil and gas, and healthcare where errors and delays can be costly (Hillson & Murray-Webster, 2007).

Risk Management Practices

Risk management is a critical component of project management aimed at minimizing the negative impact of uncertainties. According to Hillson (2002), risk is both an opportunity and a threat, and managing it involves proactive strategies. The PMBOK Guide (PMI, 2021) outlines risk management as a series of processes: planning, identification, qualitative and quantitative analysis, response planning, and monitoring. Risk management practices are crucial for organizational success and sustainability. According to ISO 31000 (2018), risk management involves identifying, assessing, and controlling risks to minimize potential damage.

Key Risk Management Practices: According to Adeleke et al., (2018), there is four significant steps in the risk management practice they include; risk identification, analysis, response and control.

1. Risk Identification: According to Nnadi et al. (2018) risk identification is the primary phase in the process of managing risk, and it has to do with capturing all the risks that tend occurring in the course of the project. It determines potential risks that could impact the organization, including internal and external factors (COSO, 2017). Risk identification accord to Ghasemi, et al. (2018) guarantees effective managing of risk as it exposes hidden sources of losses that could escalate into incidences that could not be managed with unforeseen consequences.

2. Risk Assessment: the next stage that follows in the risk management practice is risk assessment which according to Kumar et al., (2018) is the process of managing risk after identification. It involves evaluating the likelihood and impact of identified risks to prioritize them (NIST, 2018). It should be noted that, having identified all the risks in a project, the next thing to embark on is qualitative risk assessment which calls for additional analysis via investigating and estimation of the tendency of risk occurrence and its effect on each of the identified risk (Nnadi et al., 2018).
3. Risk Response: In the views of Olmiwale (2014), risk response is the procedure of discovering or fashioning out alternative reactions to risk and the determination of activities for handling the risk, focusing opportunities and reducing pressures to achieving the objectives of the project.
4. Risk control: Continuously tracking and reviewing risks to ensure effective management (ISO 31000, 2018). Appropriate measures need to be taken after risk identification; assessment and risk responses have been fully developed. Implementation of risk plan is a share of risk supervision and control which should be a fundamental part of the project.

Frameworks and Methodologies

1. ISO 31000: A widely adopted standard for risk management, providing guidelines for identifying, assessing, and managing risks (ISO 31000, 2018).
2. Enterprise Risk Management (ERM): A comprehensive approach to risk management, integrating risk management into organizational strategy and decision-making (COSO, 2017).
3. NIST Cybersecurity Framework (CSF): A framework for managing cybersecurity risks, widely used in industries that require robust cybersecurity measures (NIST, 2018).

Quality Management Practice

Quality management practices significantly enhance project performance by improving efficiency, reducing defects, and increasing customer satisfaction. According to recent studies, quality management practices like Total Quality Management (TQM) can lead to significant improvements in organizational performance (Belwakes & Lakhal, 2023).

Quality Management Practices

1. Total Quality Management (TQM): A holistic approach involving all organization members in improving processes, products, services, and culture (Deming, 1986). TQM techniques like continuous improvement (Kaizen) and benchmarking are essential for achieving excellence (Imai, 1986).
2. Six Sigma: A data-driven methodology minimizing defects and variability in processes (George, 2002). It includes tools like DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, Control) to improve existing processes and boost quality.
3. Lean Principles: Eliminating waste and optimizing workflows to improve efficiency (Ohno, 1988). Techniques like value stream mapping help identify areas for improvement.
4. Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) Cycle: A four-step iterative process for continuous improvement (Deming, 1986).
5. Continuous Improvement: A culture of reflection and improvement, where teams evaluate what worked, what didn't, and what to change next (Imai, 1986).
6. Quality Audits and Reviews: Regular evaluations of adherence to processes and standards (ISO 9001, 2015).
7. Corrective and Preventive Actions: Implementing actions to address quality issues and prevent future occurrences (ISO 9001, 2015).

Impacts of Quality Management on Project Performance

Quality management significantly impacts project performance by enhancing customer satisfaction, reducing costs, and improving efficiency. According to ISO 9001 (2015), quality management practices have a significant, positive, and direct effect on operational performance, including internal processes and customer satisfaction.

Positive Impacts

1. Improved Operational Performance: Quality management practices lead to improved operational performance, including reduced defects and increased productivity (Deming, 1986).
2. Enhanced Financial Performance: Effective quality management leads to reduced costs, increased productivity, and improved financial performance (Juran, 1988).
3. Increased Customer Satisfaction: Quality management practices focus on meeting customer requirements and expectations, leading to increased customer satisfaction and loyalty (Kotler, 2000).
4. Competitive Advantage: Organizations that implement quality management systems can differentiate themselves from competitors and gain a competitive advantage (Porter, 1985).

Tools and Techniques for Quality Management

Common tools include:

1) Pareto Charts
2) Cause-and-Effect Diagrams (Ishikawa)
3) Control Charts
4) Flowcharts
5) Check Sheets (Juran & Godfrey, 1999) Six Sigma and Total Quality Management (TQM) are also popular frameworks that aim for near-zero defects and organizational-wide quality enhancement.

Techniques used for risk identification and quality assurance

Risk identification and quality assurance are crucial aspects of project management that enable organizations to proactively mitigate potential threats and ensure the delivery of high-quality outcomes. Effective risk identification involves recognizing and analyzing potential risks that could impact the project's objectives, while quality assurance ensures that the project meets the required standards and specifications.

Risk Identification Techniques

According to Hillson and Murray-Webster (2007), risk identification is a critical step in the risk management process, and techniques such as brainstorming, expert judgment, and checklist analysis can be used to identify potential risks. Kerzner (2017) also emphasizes the importance of risk identification and notes that it is an ongoing process that requires continuous monitoring and updating.

1. Documentation Review: Reviewing project documentation to identify potential risks and threats (Hillson & Murray-Webster, 2007).
2. Brainstorming: Collaborative technique to generate a list of potential risks through idea sharing and discussion (Kerzner, 2017).
3. Expert Judgment: Consulting with experienced professionals to identify potential risks based on their expertise (Project Management Institute, 2017).
4. Checklist Analysis: Using pre-defined checklists to identify potential risks and ensure compliance with standards (Hopkin, 2018).
5. Diagram Analysis: Analyzing diagrams and flowcharts to identify potential risks and bottlenecks (Kerzner, 2017).
6. Risk Breakdown Structure (RBS): Hierarchical framework for identifying and categorizing risks (Project Management Institute, 2017).
7. Bayesian Belief Network (BBN): Probabilistic method for identifying and assessing risks in complex systems (Aven, 2016).

Quality Assurance Techniques

In terms of quality assurance, the Project Management Institute (2017) notes that quality assurance involves ensuring that the project meets the required standards and specifications, and techniques such as failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA) and risk matrix analysis can be used to identify and mitigate quality risks. ISO 9001 (2015) also provides guidelines for quality management systems, including quality assurance and control.

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA): A systematic approach to identify potential failure modes, their causes, and effects (Stamatis, 2003).

2. Monte Carlo Simulations: Quantitative method for assessing risks and uncertainties using probabilistic modeling (Vose, 2008).
3. Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP): A methodology used to identify critical control points and establish preventive measures (NACMCF, 1997).
4. Process Mapping and Flowcharts: Visualizing processes to identify bottlenecks, handoffs, and critical control points (George, 2002).
5. Risk Matrices: A tool used to prioritize risks based on their likelihood and impact (ISO 31000, 2018).
6. Quantitative Risk Assessment: Assigning numerical values to risks to calculate risk scores and prioritize mitigation efforts (Vose, 2008).
7. Early Detection and Prevention: Identifying and addressing quality risks early in the development process to prevent defects and errors (Project Management Institute, 2017).

Integrating Risk and Quality Management

Integration ensures that quality-related risks are identified early and mitigation actions are embedded in quality planning. According to Hillson (2002), managing quality risks proactively reduces rework, enhances stakeholder satisfaction, and improves cost efficiency. Integrated approaches use shared documentation, joint planning sessions, and collaborative risk-quality audits. Integrating risk into quality assurance has a significant impact on organizational performance. According to recent studies, combining quality management and risk management enables organizations to proactively identify and mitigate potential risks, ensuring compliance with industry standards and regulations (Hopkin, 2018).

Benefits of Integration

1. Enhanced Quality Standards: Integrating risk management into quality assurance enables organizations to maintain high-quality standards even in uncertain environments (ISO 9001, 2015).
2. Operational Efficiency: Pre-emptive detection of quality risks avoids production delays, wastage of resources, and non-conformances, resulting in cost savings (Kerzner, 2017).
3. Improved Decision-Making: Data-driven risk assessment and mitigation strategies facilitate informed decision-making (Project Management Institute, 2017).
4. Customer Satisfaction: Organizations that integrate quality and risk management can deliver high-quality products and services, enhancing customer satisfaction and loyalty (Hopkin, 2018).

Challenges in Risk and Quality Management

Implementing risk and quality management is a complex and challenging process that requires careful planning, execution, and ongoing monitoring. Despite the benefits of effective risk and quality management, many organizations face significant challenge in implementing these processes.

Challenges in Implementing Risk Management

1. Lack of Risk Awareness: Many organizations lack a risk-aware culture, making it difficult to identify and manage risks effectively (Hopkin, 2018).
2. Insufficient Resources: Limited resources, including time, budget, and personnel, can hinder the implementation of risk management processes (Kerzner, 2017).
3. Complexity and Uncertainty: Managing complexity and uncertainty in projects can be challenging, making it difficult to identify and assess risks (Hillson & Murray-Webster, 2007).
4. Integration with Existing Processes: Integrating risk management with existing processes and systems can be challenging, requiring significant changes to organizational culture and procedures (ISO 31000, 2018).

Challenges in Implementing Quality Management

1. Defining Quality Standards: Defining and agreeing on quality standards can be challenging, particularly in projects with multiple stakeholders (ISO 9001, 2015).

2. Resistance to Change: Implementing quality management processes can require significant changes to organizational culture and procedures, which can be resisted by employees (Kerzner, 2017).
3. Limited Resources: Limited resources, including time, budget, and personnel, can hinder the implementation of quality management processes (Project Management Institute, 2017).
4. Measuring Quality Performance: Measuring quality performance can be challenging, requiring the development of effective metrics and monitoring processes (ISO 9001, 2015).

Risk Mitigation Strategies

Effective mitigation strategies are crucial for managing risks and ensuring quality in projects. These strategies enable organizations to proactively identify and mitigate potential risks, reducing the likelihood of adverse outcomes and enhancing project performance.

Risk Mitigation Strategies

1. Risk Avoidance: Avoiding risks by eliminating the threat or activity that gives rise to the risk (Hopkin, 2018).
2. Risk Transfer: Transferring risk to another party through contracts, insurance, or outsourcing (Kerzner, 2017).
3. Risk Mitigation: Reducing the likelihood or impact of a risk through controls or mitigation measures (ISO 31000, 2018).
4. Risk Acceptance: Accepting risks and developing contingency plans to manage them (Project Management Institute, 2017).

Quality Mitigation Strategies

1. Quality Planning: Defining quality standards and objectives for the project (ISO 9001, 2015).
2. Quality Assurance: Implementing processes to ensure that quality standards are maintained (Kerzner, 2017).
3. Quality Control: Monitoring and controlling quality through inspections, testing, and audits (Project Management Institute, 2017).
4. Continuous Improvement: Fostering a culture of continuous improvement to identify and implement quality enhancements (ISO 9001, 2015).

Risk Response Strategies and Mitigation Plans

- Risk responses can be categorized as:
    - ✓ Avoidance: Changing the project plan to eliminate the risk.
    - ✓ Reduction: Taking steps to minimize impact.
    - ✓ Transfer: Shifting the risk (e.g., insurance).
    - ✓ Acceptance: Acknowledging the risk without action (PMI, 2021). A sound mitigation plan requires continuous monitoring and stakeholder involvement.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the recognized importance of risk and quality management, many organizations still treat them as separate functions, leading to fragmented approaches that compromise project outcomes. Projects continue to suffer from unforeseen risks, cost overruns, delays, and substandard quality, indicating a lack of effective integration (Talib & Rahman, 2010). Moreover, inadequate risk identification and poor application of quality assurance tools further exacerbate these issues. The absence of a coherent strategy to manage both risk and quality simultaneously can lead to project failure and reputational damage (Zwikael & Ahn, 2011).

Aim and Objectives of the Study

The main aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of integrating risk and quality management on project performance. The specific objectives are to:

1. Examine the risk management practices.
2. Identify the quality of risk management practice.
3. Explore techniques for risk identification and quality assurance.
4. Assess the effectiveness of integrating risk and quality management processes.
5. Identify challenges faced in implementing risk and quality management in projects.

6. Examine the mitigation strategies adopted for risk and quality management.

Research Questions

The study seeks to answer the following questions:

1. What are the risk management practices in your organization?
2. What is the quality of risk management practice in your organization?
3. What techniques are used for risk identification and quality assurance?
4. What is the effect of integrating risk and quality management?
5. What are the key challenges faced in implementing risk and quality management?
6. What are the strategies for mitigating risk and quality management?

Significance of the Study

This study is significant to project managers, quality assurance professionals, risk analysts, policymakers, and academic researchers. By highlighting the interrelationship between risk and quality management, the study provides insights into improving project performance through integrated management systems. For academia, it adds to the body of knowledge in project management studies. For organizations, it offers practical recommendations on enhancing efficiency, reducing waste, and delivering value.

Scope and Delimitations of the Study

The study focuses on the integration of risk and quality management in project environments, with a specific emphasis on tools, techniques, and implementation strategies. The geographical scope may be limited to case studies or organizations within a specific sector or country. The study does not address other project management areas like procurement or human resource management unless they intersect with risk or quality concerns.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study adopts a descriptive survey design. The population of this study comprises project managers, risk analysts, quality assurance professionals, and operational staff working in selected organizations. These may include companies in construction, energy, ICT, or manufacturing sectors where project risk and quality management are critical. The target population is estimated to be around 300 individuals across five organizations. A sample size of 120 respondents is selected using stratified random sampling to ensure representation from various roles such as project managers, engineers, and quality control officers.

Primary data was collected using structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders. Questionnaires include both closed-ended and Likert scale items to quantify perceptions and practices. While secondary data were derived from project reports, audit logs, risk registers, quality control records, and relevant publications. A pilot test was conducted on 10 respondents to check for clarity and relevance. Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's Alpha. A coefficient of 0.78 was obtained, indicating a high level of internal consistency.

Results and Summary

Risk Management Practices

Research Question 1: What are the risk management practices in your organization?

Table 1: Mean score of respondents on the risk management practices.

S/N	Statement	Mean Score	Interpretation
1	Risks identified during project planning	4.3	Strongly Agree
2	A risk register is maintained and updated regularly	3.8	Agree
3	Risk impact and probability are assessed systematically	3.6	Agree
4	Contingency plans are developed for high-impact risks	3.4	Moderately Agree
5	Risk audits are conducted periodically	3.0	Neutral

Key Insight: Most organizations are aware of and practice structured risk identification and assessment, though formal documentation and risk auditing are less consistently applied.

Quality Management Practices

Research Question 2: *What is the quality management practice in your organization?*

Table 2: Mean score of respondents on the quality management practices.

S/N	Statement	Mean Score	Interpretation
1	Project deliverables are reviewed for quality compliance	4.2	Strongly Agree
2	Quality standards (e.g., ISO 9001) are implemented	3.7	Agree
3	Quality audits are conducted during project execution	3.5	Agree
4	Staff are trained regularly on quality management	3.3	Moderately Agree
5	Client feedback is used to improve quality outcomes	3.9	Agree

Key Insight: Organizations demonstrate strong quality management practices through project deliverables which are reviewed for quality compliance, quality standards (e.g., ISO 9001) are implemented, quality audits are conducted during project execution, staff are trained regularly on quality management, and client feedback is used to improve quality outcomes.

Techniques used for risk identification and quality assurance

Research Question 3: *What techniques are used for risk identification and quality assurance?*

Table 3: Mean score of respondents on techniques used in risk identification and quality assurance.

S/N	Statement	Mean Score	Interpretation
1	Process mapping	3.6	Agree
2	SWOT analysis	3.5	Agree
3	Root cause analysis	3.2	Moderately Agree
4	Audits and reviews	3.0	Neutral

Key Insight: Major techniques included Root cause analysis, SWOT analysis, process mapping, and audits and reviews. However, audits and reviews were less used.

Integration of Risk and Quality Management

Research Question 4: What is the effect of integrating risk and quality management?

Table 4: Mean score of respondents on the effect of integrating risk and quality management.

S/N	Statement	Mean Score	Interpretation
1	Risk and quality management teams collaborate during planning	3.6	Agree
2	Quality-related risks are identified early	3.5	Agree
3	Integrated frameworks for managing risk and quality are in place	3.1	Moderately Agree
4	Joint reviews of risk and quality occur at project milestones	3.2	Moderately Agree

Interview Insight:

One senior project manager stated:

"Integrating quality with risk is essential, especially when it comes to product safety and customer satisfaction. However, in many projects, these functions still operate in silos."

Key Insight: Although collaboration exists, integration between risk and quality functions is not deeply embedded in most organizations' management systems.

Challenges in Risk and Quality Management

Research Question 5: *What are the key challenges faced in implementing risk and quality management?*

Table 5: Frequency and percentage of key challenges faced in implementing risk and quality management

S/N	Challenge	Frequency	(%)
1	Lack of skilled personnel	78	65
2	Inadequate budget for risk/quality programs	64	53
3	Poor documentation	50	41.7
4	Resistance to change	46	38.3
5	Time constraints	38	31.7

Key Insight: Respondents were asked to select key challenges faced in implementing risk and quality management. The most commonly cited challenges were: Lack of skilled personnel, Inadequate budget for risk/quality programs, Poor documentation, resistance to change, and Time constraints are major obstacles to effective risk and quality management.

Strategies for mitigating risk and quality management.

Research Questions 6: *What are the strategies for mitigating risk and quality management?*

Table 6: Mean score of respondents on the strategies for mitigating risk and quality management

S/N	Statement	Mean Score	Interpretation
1	Avoiding risks by eliminating the threat	4.2	Strongly Agree
2	Transferring risk to another party	3.6	Agree
3	Reducing the likelihood or impact of a risk	3.4	Agree
4	Quality assurance is maintained	3.5	Agree
5	Fostering a culture of continuous improvement	3.1	Moderately Agree
6	Monitoring and controlling quality	3.6	Agree

Key Insight: Organizations constantly developed strategies for risk mitigation and ensuring quality management. Major strategies for mitigating risk and quality management include: Risk avoidance, risk transfer, risk reduction, maintaining quality assurance, continuous improvement, and monitoring and controlling quality.

Summary of Findings

1. Based on the analysis of the results, it was found out that item one respondents strongly agreed (SA) that risks are identified during project planning, while items two and three respondents agreed (A) that a risk register is maintained and updated regularly, and risk impact and probability are assessed systematically. It was also found that the respondents moderately agreed (MA) that, contingency plans are developed for high-impact risks, while the respondents are neutral (N) on periodic audits of risk. This by implication means that, organizations embark on risks management practices such as identifying risk during project planning, regularly maintaining and updating a risk register, risk impact and probability are assessed systematically, and contingency plans are developed for high-impact risks. These practices can help organizations to manage risk during project execution.
2. Subsequently, based on the analysis of the result the study found out the risk management practices. The respondents strongly agreed (SA) that project deliverables are reviewed for quality compliance. They also agreed (A) that, quality standards (e.g., ISO 9001) are implemented, quality audits are conducted during project execution, and client feedback is used to improve quality outcomes. Conversely, the respondents moderately agreed (MA) that, staffs are trained regularly on quality management. The implication is that organizations demonstrate a strong commitment to maintaining quality through compliance reviews and feedback systems, but training and audits are somewhat inconsistent.
3. Furthermore, the study found out the techniques used for risk identification and quality assurance. The respondents agreed (A) that process mapping and SWOT are the major techniques employed by project managers in risk identification and quality assurance, while root cause analysis is moderately used. The respondents are silent on audits and reviews as risk identification and quality assurance techniques as their response on the subject matter indicate neutral (N). The implication of this finding is that, employing these techniques of risk identification will enhance project performance.
4. Similarly, the respondents agreed (A) that risk and quality management teams collaborate during planning, and quality-related risks are identified early. Their response also shows that, they moderately agreed (MA) that integrated frameworks for managing risk and quality are in place, and that joint reviews of risk and quality occur at project milestones. The implication is that, although collaboration exists, integration between risk and quality functions is not deeply embedded in most organizations' management systems.

5. Conversely, the respondents with respect to research question five established the frequency and percentage of key challenges faced in implementing risk and quality management. The findings indicate that, lack of skilled personnel, inadequate budget for risk/quality programs, resistance to change, poor documentation, and time constraints are major challenges confronting project managers in implementing risk and quality management.
6. Conclusively, based on the analysis of the results the respondents strongly agreed (SA) that risks can be avoided by eliminating threat. Also, they agreed (A) that, risk can be transferred to a third party, the likelihood or impact of risk can be reduced, quality assurance can be maintained, and quality can be controlled via monitoring. However, the respondents moderately agreed (MA) that, a culture of continuous improvement can be fostered to stimulate project performance. The implication of this finding is that, organizations constantly developed strategies for risk mitigation and ensuring quality management.

CONCLUSION

The study concluded that risk and quality management are both indispensable to successful project execution. Most organizations practice some form of risk identification and quality assurance, but these systems often operate in isolation, reducing their effectiveness. The integration of risk and quality functions, while conceptually supported, is still in its early stages of implementation. The challenges identified—including insufficient skilled personnel, inadequate budgetary allocation, lack of awareness, and poor documentation—continue to undermine the effectiveness of both systems. To achieve high-performing, resilient projects, there must be a paradigm shift towards integrating risk and quality management as a unified framework.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings and conclusions of this study, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Institutionalize Integrated Risk and Quality Management Frameworks: Organizations should develop formal policies that integrate risk management with quality assurance. This includes cross-functional collaboration during project planning and execution.
2. Enhance Training and Capacity Building: Project staff should receive regular training on advanced risk assessment tools (e.g., Monte Carlo simulation, fault tree analysis) and modern quality control techniques (e.g., Six Sigma, Lean principles). This will enhance organizational competency.
3. Allocate Adequate Resources: Organizations must allocate sufficient financial and human resources to support robust risk and quality programs. This includes budgeting for training, audits, software tools, and hiring certified professionals.
4. Conduct Periodic Risk and Quality Audits: Establish periodic reviews and audits to evaluate the effectiveness of existing risk and quality procedures. This will help in early detection of issues and in maintaining continuous improvement.
5. Foster a Culture of Continuous Improvement: Create a culture that values feedback, process innovation, and proactive problem-solving. This can be achieved through leadership support and aligning performance incentives with risk and quality outcomes.
6. Develop strategies that can eliminate risks during project execution: Project managers should develop accurate strategies that can enhance project performance and eliminate risks during project execution.

REFERENCES

Adeleke, A. Q., Bahaudin, A. Y., Kamaruddeen, A. M., Bamgbade, J. A., Salimon, M. G., Khan, M. W. A., & Sorooshian, S. (2018). The influence of organizational external factors on construction risk management among Nigerian construction companies. Safety and Health at Work, 9(1), 115-124.

Aven, T. (2016). Risk assessment and risk management: Review of recent advances on their foundation.

Chapman, C., & Ward, S. (2011). Project Risk Management: Processes, Techniques and Insights. Wiley.
COSO (2017). Enterprise Risk Management: Integrating with Strategy and Performance.
Deming, W. E. (1986). Out of the Crisis. MIT Press.
George, M. L. (2002). Lean Six Sigma: Combining Six Sigma quality with lean speed.
Ghasemi, F., Sari, M. H. M., Yousefi, V., Falsafi, R., & Tamošaitienė, J. (2018). Project Portfolio Risk Identification and Analysis, Considering Project Risk Interactions and Using Bayesian Networks. Sustainability, 10(5), 1609.
Hillson, D. (2002). "Extending the Risk Process to Manage Opportunities." International Journal of Project Management, 20(3), 235–240.
Hillson, D., & Murray-Webster, R. (2007). Understanding and Managing Risk Attitude. Gower Publishing.
Hopkin, P. (2018). Fundamentals of Risk Management: Understanding, Evaluating and Implementing Effective Risk Management.
ISO 31000 (2018). Risk Management — Guidelines. International Organization for Standardization.
ISO 9000 (2015). Quality Management Systems — Fundamentals and Vocabulary. ISO.
ISO 9001 (2015). Quality Management Systems — Requirements. ISO.
Juran, J. M., & Godfrey, A. B. (1999). Juran's Quality Handbook. McGraw-Hill.
Kerzner, H. (2017). Project Management: A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling. Wiley.
Kerzner, H. (2017). Project Management: A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling.
Kerzner, H. (2017). Project Management: A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling.
Kotler, P. (2000). Marketing management: The millennium edition.
Kumar, L., Jindal, A., & Velaga, N. R. (2018). Financial risk assessment and modelling of PPP based Indian highway infrastructure projects. Transport Policy, 62, 2-11.
NIST (2018). Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity.
Nnadi, E. O. E., Enebe, E. C., & Ugwu, O. O. (2018). Evaluating the Awareness Level of Risk Management amongst Construction Stakeholders in Nigeria. International Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 7(1), 47-52.
Olamiwale, I.O. (2014). Evaluation of Risk Management Practices in the Construction Industry in Swaziland. Master of Quantity Surveying Thesis, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa.
PMI (2021). A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide) – 7th Edition.
Project Management Institute (2017). A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide).
Project Management Institute (2017). A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide).
Smith, N. J., Merna, T., & Jobling, P. (2006). Managing Risk in Construction Projects. Blackwell.
Stamatis, D. H. (2003). Failure mode and effect analysis: FMEA from theory to execution.
Talib, F., & Rahman, Z. (2010). "Studying the Impact of Total Quality Management in Service Industries." International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management, 6(2), 131– 153.
Talib, F., Rahman, Z., & Qureshi, M. N. (2013). "An Empirical Investigation of Relationship between Total Quality Management Practices and Quality Performance in Indian Service Companies." International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 30(3), 280–318.
Vose, D. (2008). Risk Analysis: A Quantitative Guide.
Zwikael, O., & Ahn, M. (2011). "The Effectiveness of Risk Management: An Analysis of Project Risk Planning Across Industries and Countries." Risk Analysis, 31(1), 25–37.