International Journal of Innovative Food, Nutrition & Sustainable Agriculture 13(4):110-124, Oct.-Dec, 2025

© SEAHI PUBLICATIONS, 2025 www.seahipublications.org ISSN: 2467-8481

doi:10.5281/zenodo.17987914

Advancing Public Health and Sustainable Development through Food Safety: Linking Nutrition, Economic Growth, and One Health Approaches

Ebinyo Rebecca Aseibai

Department of Microbiology,
Faculty of Science,
Federal University Otuoke Bayelsa State, Nigeria
Email: ebitonyo@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Food safety remains a fundamental determinant of public health and an essential driver of sustainable development, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where foodborne diseases continue to impose a significant health and economic burden. This paper examines how food safety contributes to improved nutrition, enhanced population health, and economic growth, and positions it as a cross-cutting priority within the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the One Health framework. The paper focuses on the role of safe food in reducing foodborne illnesses, preventing malnutrition, and improving overall well-being, thereby strengthening human capital and workforce productivity. It further highlights the economic implications of food safety, including reduced healthcare costs, improved labor efficiency, and increased trade and market access opportunities. Food safety is examined as a unifying issue that links SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being), and SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), underscoring its relevance beyond the health sector. The integration of the One Health approach is emphasized, recognizing the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health in preventing and controlling food safety hazards. Significant challenges to achieving effective food safety systems, including inadequate infrastructure, weak regulatory enforcement, climate variability, and antimicrobial resistance, are discussed. The paper also outlines strategic interventions, including strengthened governance, adoption of good agricultural and manufacturing practices, surveillance systems, and multi-sectoral collaboration.

Keywords: Food safety; Public health; Sustainable development; Nutrition; One Health; Foodborne diseases; Economic growth; SDGs; Health systems; Food security

1. INTRODUCTION

Food safety involves all practices, regulations, and systems that ensure the production, handling, and consumption of food do not cause harm to consumers (Havelaar et al., 2015). It includes measures to prevent foodborne illnesses and ensure that food is free of harmful substances (Ramírez-Hernández et al., 2020). The scope of food safety has expanded in recent years, reflecting growing concerns over global food supply chains, environmental impacts, and the emergence of new foodborne pathogens (Brooks et al., 2021). As agricultural practices evolve, food safety must adapt to incorporate technologies such as whole-genome sequencing, thereby enhancing traceability and enabling rapid response to outbreaks, thereby integrating modern science into traditional food safety protocols (Apruzzese et al., 2019).

Food safety is fundamentally linked to public health, with contaminated food being a leading cause of diverse health issues globally, including severe illnesses and even deaths (Havelaar et al., 2015). The

World Health Organization estimates that foodborne diseases account for millions of illnesses annually, burdening healthcare systems and economies (Havelaar et al., 2015). Effective food safety protocols can significantly reduce these health risks, promoting population well-being and minimizing healthcare expenditures associated with foodborne illnesses (White et al., 2022). Moreover, addressing these issues through improved practices and surveillance systems can enhance confidence in food systems, further supporting public health initiatives.

Food safety is a crucial component of sustainable development, with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) advocating for ensuring safe, nutritious food for all (Rodenburg et al., 2021; Cipriano et al., 2021). The interconnections between food safety and SDGs highlight the importance of integrating these concepts into public policy and health strategies. Improved food safety measures can contribute to economic development by increasing productivity in agriculture and the food industry, thereby promoting food security and reducing poverty (Rodenburg et al., 2021). Furthermore, sustainable agricultural practices that prioritize food safety can support environmental conservation and enhance the resilience of food systems to climate change (Cipriano et al., 2021; Raman et al., 2023).

This paper examines the pivotal role of food safety in advancing public health and achieving sustainable development. It highlights how safe food contributes to improved nutrition, reduced disease burden, and enhanced economic productivity. The paper also explores food safety as a cross-cutting issue within the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), emphasizing the integration of One Health approaches. Challenges, including regulatory gaps, infrastructural limitations, and emerging risks, are discussed alongside practical strategies for mitigation. By linking food safety to health, nutrition, and economic growth, the paper provides a comprehensive framework for policy and practice.

The paper underscores the public health and economic advantages of ensuring food safety, including reduced healthcare costs and improved workforce productivity. It informs policymakers, practitioners, and researchers on effective strategies for integrating food safety into sustainable development initiatives. Additionally, it promotes awareness of the One Health approach, fostering collaboration across human, animal, and environmental health sectors. The paper serves as a guide to evidence-based interventions that enhance nutrition and food security. It also supports national and global efforts to achieve relevant SDGs, particularly SDGs 2, 3, and 8. Finally, it encourages innovation and research in food safety, driving long-term health and economic benefits.

2. Safe Food and Its Role in Nutrition and Health

Food safety is increasingly recognized as a key component of sustainable development and public health. Providing safe food not only reduces the incidence of foodborne illnesses but also ensures that populations receive adequate nutrition. By preventing contamination with pathogens such as Salmonella, E. coli, and Listeria, safe food handling and hygiene practices can significantly reduce public health risks associated with food consumption (Bintsis, 2017). Furthermore, implementing Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) in food production is fundamental in minimizing contamination risks, thereby making food production processes safer for consumers (Shuvo et al., 2019). Proper food handling, storage, and cooking practices play pivotal roles in preventing microbial growth and the formation of harmful toxins, thereby reducing health burdens (Bintsis, 2017; Shuvo et al., 2019).

The burden on healthcare systems is also alleviated through the promotion of safe food practices. Reducing morbidity and mortality from foodborne diseases not only contributes to healthier communities but also aligns with Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 3: Good Health and Well-being (Sheng & Wang, 2020). In addition, enhancing resilience against public health emergencies related to food safety can mitigate the economic and social impacts of such crises, reinforcing the importance of safe food systems in ensuring sustainable development (Bintsis, 2017).

2.1 Impact on Nutritional Outcomes and Malnutrition Reduction

Ensuring food safety is critical to retaining essential nutrients, vitamins, and minerals throughout production, processing, and storage (Bintsis, 2017). By preventing foodborne illnesses, particularly among children and vulnerable populations, safe food practices significantly mitigate malnutrition risks. Foodborne infections can exacerbate existing nutritional deficiencies, particularly in developing countries

where the burden of malnutrition is already substantial (Bintsis, 2017). Safe food consumption encourages dietary diversity, supporting the intake of animal-source foods and a variety of fruits and vegetables, which are vital for maintaining balanced nutrition.

Moreover, safe food practices are integral to achieving SDG 2: Zero Hunger, as they improve food quality and nutrition security. Health complications arising from poor nutritional status—such as stunting, wasting, and micronutrient deficiencies—can be effectively reduced through access to safe food practices. Consequently, integrating food safety into nutrition policies is a vital step toward addressing long-term health challenges, especially among maternal and child populations.

2.2 Case Studies Demonstrating Health Benefits of Safe Food

Multiple case studies provide evidence for the health benefits associated with safe food initiatives. For instance, the implementation of food safety interventions in school feeding programs has demonstrated significant improvements in child growth and reduced gastrointestinal infections (Sheng & Wang, 2020). Furthermore, the adoption of safe agricultural practices within rural communities has lowered the incidence of diarrheal diseases, which is crucial for improving household nutrition outcomes (Bintsis, 2017). Significant reductions in outbreaks of milk-borne diseases have also been observed following national campaigns promoting milk pasteurization in developing countries, illustrating the direct impact of food safety regulations on public health (Bintsis, 2017; Shuvo et al., 2019).

Additionally, programs that integrate food fortification with hygiene education have enhanced nutrient intake while simultaneously reducing the risk of foodborne illness (Bintsis, 2017). Evidence from integrated food safety and nutrition programs consistently demonstrates improved productivity and lowered healthcare costs, reinforcing the notion that investments in food safety are beneficial for economic growth and social development, aligning with SDG 1: No Poverty and SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth (Sheng & Wang, 2020).

3. Food Safety and Economic Growth

Sustainable development involves a multifaceted agenda that includes food security, health, and economic stability. Food safety is a critical component of this endeavor, as foodborne diseases significantly hinder productivity and workforce efficiency, ultimately affecting economic growth. Through the lens of foodborne disease impacts, economic burdens associated with unsafe food, and benefits of food safety investments, we can outline a more robust pathway toward achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Table 1 shows the overview of food safety as a driver of economic growth and sustainable development.

Table 1: Food Safety as a Driver of Economic Growth and Sustainable Development

Focus	Highlights	Economic Implications	Relevant SDGs
Impact of Foodborne Diseases on Workforce	- Reduced productivity due to absenteeism and presenteeism - Long-term health impacts lowering efficiency - Disruption in agriculture, food processing, and hospitality	- Loss of GDP and workforce output - Lower labor efficiency affects national growth	SDG 3, SDG 8
Economic Burden on Healthcare & Trade	- High direct healthcare costs - Indirect costs: lost income, reduced consumer spending - Trade restrictions and market losses	- Increased public and private expenditure - Loss of market access and foreign investment	SDG 3, SDG 8, SDG 12
Benefits of Food Safety Investments	- Improved infrastructure, monitoring, and regulatory enforcement - Boosts consumer confidence and demand - Reduces post-harvest losses and waste - Supports small-scale farmers and local businesses	- Economic resilience and growth - Expanded market opportunities - Reduced financial burden from illness	SDG 1, SDG 2, SDG 3, SDG 8, SDG 12

3.1 Impact of Foodborne Diseases on Productivity and Workforce Efficiency

Foodborne diseases are a pervasive global issue that not only poses a significant public health threat but also impairs socio-economic development across regions. The World Health Organization (WHO) has indicated that foodborne diseases account for approximately 600 million illnesses and may result in over 420,000 deaths annually. Notably, developing countries bear the brunt of this burden due to inadequate food safety infrastructure (Havelaar et al., 2015; Sosah & Donkor, 2025).

The consequences of foodborne illnesses on labor productivity manifest in both absenteeism, where affected workers miss work, and presenteeism, where they work while ill, thus diminishing their efficiency (Sosah & Donkor, 2025; Grace, 2015). Long-term health issues stemming from foodborne diseases further complicate workforce reliability, leading to a pronounced decrease in overall productivity and economic output, which is especially detrimental in countries where the economy is heavily reliant on sectors like agriculture, food processing, and hospitality (Havelaar et al., 2015; Grace, 2015). Enhanced food safety protocols can significantly mitigate these workforce losses, fostering sustained economic activity and alignment with SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth (Jaffee et al., 2018; Grewal et al., 2024).

3.4 Economic Burden of Unsafe Food on Healthcare and Trade

The economic implications of foodborne illnesses extend beyond immediate healthcare costs; they also incur substantial indirect costs. Direct costs include medical treatments and healthcare services, which can strain national health systems, particularly in resource-limited settings (Havelaar et al., 2015; Grace, 2015). Additionally, unsafe food systems can lead to lost income, reduced consumer spending, and increased long-term care burdens due to chronic health conditions stemming from foodborne diseases. For countries engaged in global trade, food safety incidents can prompt international trade restrictions, recalls, and damage to market access, further affecting economies reliant on exports (Havelaar et al., 2015; Vågsholm et al., 2020).

Furthermore, the reputational damage incurred by food safety incidents diminishes foreign investment, undermining long-term economic growth prospects (Grace, 2015; Grewal et al., 2024). For instance, countries that rely heavily on agricultural exports may see their market viability affected by food safety issues, underscoring the need for stringent food safety measures aligned with SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production (Chandan et al., 2023; Thorsen et al., 2022).

3.5 Benefits of Food Safety Investments for National and Local Economies

Investing in food safety encompasses a range of activities, from improving monitoring systems and regulatory enforcement to enhancing the infrastructure of laboratories dedicated to food testing (Vågsholm et al., 2020). Such investments play a critical role in economic resilience, especially amid growing global food demand and changing climatic conditions. Improved food quality not only elevates consumer confidence but also stimulates demand, benefiting local markets and enhancing the economic status of smallholder farmers who rely on the efficient disposal of safe food products (Grewal et al., 2024; Chandan et al., 2023).

Moreover, safe food systems are instrumental in promoting sustainable agricultural practices by reducing post-harvest losses, thereby contributing to the attainment of multiple SDGs. Addressing food safety can bolster efforts towards SDG 1: No Poverty by reducing income losses due to foodborne illnesses, and towards SDG 2: Zero Hunger by ensuring access to safe and nutritious food (Grewal et al., 2024; Vågsholm et al., 2020; da Silva Pereira et al., 2024). The interconnections between improved food safety and sustained economic activity underscore the essential role food safety plays in fostering overall sustainable development.

4. Food Safety as a Cross-Cutting Issue for Sustainable Development

Food safety is an integral aspect of sustainable development, as it intersects with multiple Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). By ensuring the safety and quality of food, we safeguard public health, promote economic stability, and contribute to food security, thereby addressing SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being), and SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth). This synthesis

explores how food safety underpins these goals, demonstrating its critical role in sustainable progress. Figure 1 shows the overview of food safety actions for sustainable development.

Figure 1. Overview of food safety actions for sustainable development.

4.1 Integration with SDG 2: Zero Hunger

Food safety is pivotal in achieving Zero Hunger by ensuring adequate nutrition and preventing malnutrition, especially in vulnerable populations. The role of safe food in reducing foodborne illnesses is significant; these illnesses compromise food utilization and adversely affect dietary outcomes, leading to serious public health challenges (Zhao et al., 2025). Ensuring the safety of food systems not only protects individual health but is essential for sustained agricultural productivity and nutritional quality, which are fundamental to food security.

Moreover, safe food production methods support sustainable agricultural practices, yielding nutritious products that are essential to diverse diets (Ateş et al., 2018). By minimizing post-harvest losses through safe food handling and storage practices, communities can significantly enhance food security. For instance, the improper use of fertilizers and pesticides can lead to contamination, which is detrimental to food safety (Kassem et al., 2021). Safe food systems also promote responsible agricultural practices that align with environmental sustainability, ensuring that food production does not compromise ecosystem health (Ponto, 2023).

Additionally, access to safe, fortified foods is critical for bolstering national nutrition programs and is extensively supported by local agricultural initiatives. For smallholder farmers, compliance with food safety standards enhances their ability to participate in markets, potentially increasing their income and, in turn, their capacity to provide safe food (Kassem et al., 2021). Thus, food safety not only underpins healthful eating but also strengthens local economies and agricultural resilience.

4.2 Integration with SDG 3: Good Health and Well-being

Preventing foodborne diseases significantly reduces morbidity and mortality, thereby enhancing overall population health (Kamara et al., 2019). When food safety measures are effectively implemented, there is a substantial decrease in healthcare costs associated with treating preventable foodborne illnesses, allowing for better resource allocation within health systems (Chikha & Faye, 2025). For example, integrating food safety surveillance and monitoring into public health approaches is essential, as it mitigates risks associated with antimicrobial resistance and promotes better health outcomes (Zhao et al., 2025).

Furthermore, food safety positively supports mental and social well-being. The anxiety of illness from contaminated food diminishes when consumers trust the safety of their food supply. Public awareness campaigns on safe food handling and hygiene further contribute to this by educating communities and promoting safe practices (Dwiartama et al., 2024). Overall, a strong link exists between food safety and health outcomes, illustrating that advancements in food safety are directly tied to improvements in population health metrics.

4.3 Integration with SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth

Safe food systems are a catalyst for decent work and economic growth. They boost productivity by reducing workforce absenteeism due to foodborne illnesses. When the workforce is healthy, productivity increases, and economic losses associated with food recalls and outbreaks diminish, leading to more stable economies (Kamara et al., 2019). Additionally, adherence to international food safety standards enhances trade opportunities, enabling local producers to access broader markets.

Smallholder farmers who adopt safe production practices are more likely to thrive economically, thereby strengthening local economies and supporting rural livelihoods (Malesu et al., 2024). The growth of food-related industries, such as processing, packaging, and logistics, relies heavily on compliance with food safety standards and certifications, encouraging innovation and entrepreneurship within these sectors (Moseley, 2016).

Policies that support smallholder farmers in achieving these standards can lead to significant economic transformations, leveraging existing local practices while integrating modern safety protocols. This approach ensures that economic growth is sustainable and equitable, ultimately fostering inclusive development.

4.4 Cross-Cutting Benefits Across SDGs

Food safety fosters resilience within food systems by integrating multiple dimensions, including environmental sustainability, public health, and economic stability. Facilitating multi-sectoral collaboration among agriculture, health, industry, and trade is critical for achieving the SDGs (Ongoro et al., 2022). By implementing effective food safety measures, we can create sustainable, nutritious food supply chains that support global targets.

Enhanced data-driven policymaking is essential for monitoring food safety and its impacts. By implementing robust food safety monitoring and reporting frameworks, stakeholders can make informed decisions aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (Sarganas et al., 2023). This cohesion across sectors underscores the importance of food safety as a cross-cutting issue that supports broader aspirations for sustainability and food security.

5. One Health Approach to Food Safety

The One Health framework represents a pivotal paradigm in addressing the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health. This concept acknowledges that food safety risks predominantly arise at the interface of various health sectors, including humans, wildlife, livestock, and ecosystems. By taking a holistic perspective, the One Health approach emphasizes a comprehensive understanding of zoonotic diseases, antimicrobial resistance, and foodborne pathogens, thereby promoting ecosystem health as a vital foundation for preventing contamination in food supply chains (Destoumieux-Garzón et al., 2018; Nespolo, 2021). Additionally, the approach aims to streamline efforts that facilitate collaboration across sectors, ultimately enhancing the overall efficacy of public health strategies and policies (Garcia et al., 2020). Table 2 presents One Health strategies for food safety, detailing specific actions, their outcomes, and the corresponding Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) linkages.

Table 2: One Health Strategies for Food Safety: Actions, Outcomes, and SDG Linkages

One Health Action	Outcome / Benefit	Relevant SDGs
Integrated surveillance of pathogens in humans, animals, and food	Early detection of foodborne and zoonotic diseases; reduced outbreak risk	SDG 3, SDG 9, SDG 12
Coordinated use of antimicrobials in livestock	Reduced antimicrobial resistance; safer food products	SDG 3, SDG 12
Cross-sectoral training and capacity building	Skilled workforce for managing food safety risks	SDG 8, SDG 9
National One Health platforms (health, agriculture, environment ministries)	Streamlined policy implementation; improved coordination	SDG 17, SDG 2
Vaccination and disease control in livestock	Lower zoonotic transmission to humans	SDG 3, SDG 2
Community education on hygiene, food safety, and environmental stewardship	Safer food handling practices; improved public health	SDG 3, SDG 6, SDG 12
Sustainable agriculture and animal husbandry practices	Environmental protection; resilient food systems	SDG 15, SDG 13, SDG 2
Integrated monitoring under climate variability	Adaptive strategies for food safety under climate change	SDG 13, SDG 12

In terms of its alignment with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), the One Health approach has significant linkages. For instance, it supports SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being) by aiming to reduce the burden of zoonotic and foodborne diseases (Ramírez-Hernández et al., 2020; Thal & Mettenleiter, 2023). Additionally, it contributes to SDG 15 (Life on Land) by encouraging sustainable animal husbandry practices that foster ecosystem preservation. The approach also aligns with SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation) by addressing contamination of water sources used in agricultural and livestock production (Thal & Mettenleiter, 2023).

5.1 Strengthening Food Safety Systems through One Health

Implementing the One Health approach strengthens food safety systems through integrated surveillance and data-sharing across human, animal, and environmental health sectors. This integration enhances risk assessments, enabling a coordinated response to emerging foodborne disease outbreaks and is essential for preventing large-scale public health crises (Fung et al., 2018). Collaborative strategies involving stakeholders from veterinary, agricultural, and public health fields can improve early detection and response capabilities, thereby limiting the spread of pathogens across ecosystems (Rodrigues et al., 2025). Moreover, the One Health framework champions responsible antimicrobial use in livestock to address the pressing issue of antimicrobial resistance. Such initiatives not only protect public Health but also enhance the resilience of agricultural systems. In connection with the SDGs, this framework is instrumental in achieving SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure) through the development of robust laboratory and surveillance infrastructure, and it promotes SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production) by encouraging safer, sustainable food production practices. Additionally, it aligns with SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth) by prioritizing workforce health within agricultural and food sectors (Jones et al., 2019; Ribeiro et al., 2019).

5.2 Policy and Program Examples Integrating One Health

Numerous national and international programs are emerging to integrate the One Health framework into food safety policies. For example, the collaboration among organizations such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE), and the World Health Organization (WHO) is crucial for monitoring zoonotic pathogens and food safety risks effectively (Rüegg et al., 2018; Ramírez-Hernández et al., 2020). Furthermore, national One Health platforms often coordinate among ministries of Health, agriculture, and the environment, demonstrating the potential for collaborative governance in addressing food safety challenges (Rodrigues et al., 2025; Cediel et al., 2021).

Programs aimed at mitigating antimicrobial resistance in livestock and aquaculture illustrate the practical application of the One Health framework. Implementing integrated vaccination protocols and disease control campaigns in livestock also prevents zoonotic diseases from directly impacting human health. Community education initiatives that promote hygiene, food safety practices, and environmental stewardship further enhance the acceptance and effectiveness of these programs (Cleaveland et al., 2017; Garcia et al., 2019). The implications for the SDGs are profound, as the One Health approach supports SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) by ensuring safer and sustainable food supply chains and promotes SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals) through enhanced cross-sectoral collaboration (Garcia et al., 2020; Bird & Mazet, 2018).

5.3 Additional Benefits of the One Health Framework

Implementing the One Health approach not only has direct benefits for food safety but also contributes significantly to economic stability and public trust in food systems. By reducing the incidence of foodborne diseases and minimizing livestock outbreaks, One Health initiatives can alleviate the economic losses associated with compromised food safety (White et al., 2023). Trust in food systems can be bolstered through transparent practices and stakeholder engagement, ensuring that consumers are informed and well-prepared to make safe food choices.

Moreover, promoting sustainable agricultural practices, as outlined in the One Health framework, enhances resilience against emerging infectious diseases and future global pandemics. This capacity-building aspect of One Health resonates deeply with the overarching goals of sustainable development, as it interconnects Health, economy, and environmental stewardship within a unified strategy that benefits all sectors (Garcia et al., 2020; Quadros et al., 2022).

6. Challenges in Achieving Food Safety for Sustainable Development

6.1 Infrastructure and Resource Limitations

The effectiveness of food safety is hindered by inadequate infrastructure, particularly in cold chain systems essential for perishable food products. Research indicates that poor cold chain logistics contribute significantly to food spoilage and contamination risks, particularly during transportation and storage, thereby undermining food safety and security (Mercier et al., 2017; Gillespie et al., 2023). Additionally, limited access to clean water and sanitation exacerbates these issues, heightening contamination risks during food production and processing, which is directly relevant to Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 6 (Davis et al., 2020; Palazzo & Vollero, 2021). Furthermore, inadequate laboratory facilities hinder the timely detection of foodborne pathogens, underscoring the necessity for improved diagnostic capabilities to safeguard food safety (Ndraha et al., 2018).

6.2 Regulatory Gaps and Enforcement Challenges

The fragmented nature of food safety legislation hampers compliance efforts, as many regulatory frameworks are outdated or ineffective. Weak monitoring mechanisms further exacerbate these issues, as evidenced by deficiencies in coordination among various regulatory bodies (Ali et al., 2021; Shen & Liao, 2022). This disjointed approach undermines SDG 16, which emphasizes the importance of strong institutions for effective governance (Pattanaik & Jenamani, 2024). Moreover, the unregulated informal food sector often eludes oversight, increasing the risks of unsafe food production, which aligns with SDG 12 on responsible consumption and production (Palazzo & Vollero, 2021; Rahman et al., 2021).

6.3 Emerging Risks: Climate Change, AMR, and Globalization

Climate change presents additional complexities to food safety, as increased temperatures and extreme weather events elevate risks of spoilage and the proliferation of pathogens (Ali et al., 2021; Matsui et al., 2022). Antimicrobial resistance (AMR), driven by the overuse of antibiotics in livestock, complicates public health responses to foodborne illnesses and amplifies healthcare burdens (Rahman et al., 2021; Fan et al., 2020). Globalization exacerbates these challenges by complicating supply chains, making it increasingly difficult to harmonize food safety standards across borders, which is vital for ensuring safe food supplies for vulnerable populations (Davis et al., 2020; Duret et al., 2018). This directly relates to SDG 3, which aims to guarantee good health and well-being for all (Ndraha et al., 2018).

6.4 Cross-cutting Impacts

Food safety challenges exacerbate existing inequalities, disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations who rely on safe food sources for their nutrition and economic stability (Mercier et al., 2017; Palazzo & Vollero, 2021). The integration of "One Health" approaches, which recognize the interconnections between human, animal, and environmental health, is essential for comprehensively addressing these challenges and advancing SDGs 1 and 10 by supporting poverty reduction and addressing inequalities (Akram et al., 2023).

7. Strategies and Recommendations for Advancing Food Safety

The advancement of food safety requires a combination of targeted strategies, including the adoption of best practices in production and processing, strengthened governance, cross-sectoral collaboration, and public education. An overview of these key strategies, their outcomes, and links to relevant Sustainable Development Goals is presented in Table 3**.**

Table 3: Strategies and Recommendations for Advancing Food Safety and Sustainable Development

Strategy Area	Key Actions	Primary Outcomes	Linked SDGs
GAP, GMP & HACCP Adoption	Implement GAP at farm level; enforce GMP in processing; apply HACCP for preventive risk control	Reduced contamination, safer food supply, lower foodborne disease burden, improved market access	SDG 2 (Zero Hunger); SDG 3 (Good Health); SDG 8 (Decent Work); SDG 9 (Industry & Innovation); SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption)
Food Safety Governance & Monitoring	Strengthen laws and institutions; improve inspection, laboratory testing, and surveillance systems	Effective regulation, early outbreak detection, improved public health protection	SDG 3 (Good Health); SDG 16 (Strong Institutions)
Cross-Sectoral & One Health Collaboration	Integrate human, animal, agricultural, and environmental sectors; regional and international cooperation	Holistic risk management, control of emerging threats, sustainable ecosystems	SDG 15 (Life on Land); SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals)
Public Awareness & Education	Consumer education; training for farmers and food handlers; school-based food safety programs	Improved hygiene practices, reduced foodborne illnesses, empowered communities	SDG 3 (Good Health); SDG 4 (Quality Education); SDG 8 (Decent Work)

7.1 Adoption of Good Agricultural Practices (GAP), Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), and HACCP

The implementation of Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) plays a vital role in food safety and sustainable development. GAP fosters the safe usage of water, fertilizers, and pesticides, which minimizes chemical and biological contamination of food crops, thus aligning with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) such as SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) and SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production) (Handford et al., 2015; Ambrus & Yang, 2015). Furthermore, the adoption of Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) in food processing ensures adherence to hygiene and sanitation standards, enhancing food system efficiency and promoting economic growth, linked to SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth) (Ulfat, 2025; Eruaga, 2024).

HACCP (Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point) establishes a proactive risk management framework that is crucial for safeguarding public health, thus supporting SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being) by minimizing foodborne disease outbreaks (Rückert et al., 2020; Eruaga, 2024). This shift from reactive testing to preventive measures aligns with SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure) by facilitating improvements in food safety technology and infrastructure (Ulfat, 2025; Ambrus & Yang, 2015).

7.2 Strengthening Governance, Monitoring, and Cross-Sectoral Collaboration

Strengthening food safety governance frameworks is essential for harmonizing regulations across the food value chain, thereby enhancing transparency and accountability, which corresponds with SDG 16 (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions) (Breitmeier et al., 2021). Enhanced monitoring and surveillance systems

improve data-driven decision-making, enabling better preparedness against foodborne diseases and supporting SDG 3 (Eruaga, 2024; Ulfat, 2025). Cross-sectoral collaborations, particularly under the One Health approach, integrate human, animal, and environmental health to address risks such as antimicrobial resistance and climate change, fostering sustainable resource use aligned with SDG 15 (Life on Land) (Rückert et al., 2020).

Furthermore, regional and international cooperation through frameworks such as the Codex Alimentarius aligns national food safety standards with global standards, promotes safe food trade and economic integration, and thereby supports SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals) (Breitmeier et al., 2021).

7.3 Public Awareness Campaigns and Education Initiatives

Effective public awareness campaigns are crucial in enhancing food safety practices among consumers. Education initiatives that promote safe food handling at the household level contribute to reducing foodborne illnesses (Eruaga, 2024; Ulfat, 2025). Additionally, capacity building for food system actors, including farmers and vendors, is critical in improving compliance with food safety standards, fostering economic resilience, and aligning with SDG 1 (No Poverty) and SDG 8 (Ulfat, 2025; Syukur et al., 2024). Integrating food safety education into formal curricula cultivates long-term behavioral change and workforce competence that aligns with SDG 4 (Quality Education) (Handford et al., 2015; Joshi et al., 2019). Mass media and digital platforms can be effectively used to disseminate food safety messages, enhancing public engagement and accountability in food governance and further reinforcing SDG 16 (García et al., 2021; Eruaga, 2024).

8. Future Directions: Innovation and Research in Food Safety

Food safety is an essential component of global health and well-being. The increasing complexity of food supply chains, the emergence of foodborne pathogens, and the need for innovative technologies and research are pivotal to addressing food safety challenges. This discourse aims to explore innovative approaches to food safety while linking them to relevant Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)—specifically SDGs 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, and 17. These goals encompass aspects of health, food security, innovation, and environmental sustainability.

8.1 Emerging Technologies for Food Safety

Molecular Detection and Diagnostics

Rapid molecular diagnostics, including CRISPR-based assays and isothermal methods, are transforming food safety monitoring. These technologies enable on-site testing of food products for pathogens, allergens, and toxins, enabling instantaneous decision-making in food safety management. Notably, point-of-care tests enhance food security (SDG 2) and public health (SDG 3), enabling rapid identification of foodborne risks (Law et al., 2015). Moreover, integrating sensitive and specific rapid testing methods, such as lateral-flow tests, enables efficient screening of food at both farm and market levels, underscoring the role of innovation (SDG 9) in sustainable agricultural practices (Law et al., 2015; Elbehiry et al., 2025).

Next-Generation Sequencing and Metagenomics

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies play a critical role in the routine surveillance of foodborne pathogens. These tools facilitate the tracking of outbreaks and profiling antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes, crucial for informed public health interventions and policies (SDGs 3, 6, 15) (Nguinkal et al., 2024; Forbes et al., 2017). As highlighted in recent studies, metagenomic approaches provide insights into the microbial landscape of food products, pinpointing potential hazards and improving food safety protocols (Elbehiry et al., 2025; Karp et al., 2017). This integrated approach exemplifies how technological advancements can bridge gaps in food safety and public health.

Intelligent Sensors and IoT Applications

The Internet of Things (IoT) and innovative sensor technologies are revolutionizing food safety by enabling real-time monitoring of perishable goods throughout the supply chain. Continuous monitoring of factors such as temperature and humidity helps minimize spoilage, thereby enhancing food security (SDG 2) and ensuring responsible consumption (SDG 12) (Li & Luo, 2024; Xu et al., 2022). Recent developments involving low-cost sensors not only reduce operational costs for food businesses but also

contribute to improved risk management across diverse agricultural sectors, creating opportunities for productive employment (SDG 8) (Kieras et al., 2021; Popa et al., 2019).

Blockchain Technologies for Traceability

Blockchain technology provides an immutable ledger for food supply chains, augmenting transparency and traceability in food production and distribution (Iftekhar & Cui, 2021). Such systems improve consumer trust, enable rapid recall decisions in the event of contamination outbreaks, and uphold principles of responsible consumption (SDG 12). By associating this technology with broader societal goals such as promoting transparent institutions (SDG 16), stakeholders can ensure more robust food safety governance.

8.2 Role of Data in Policy-making

Integrated Surveillance Systems

Adopting a "One Health" approach facilitates the integration of human, animal, food, and environmental data, elucidating the interconnectedness of health across domains (Elbehiry et al., 2025; Wu et al., 2021). By consolidating such data into real-time dashboards for regulatory agencies, decision-makers can streamline food safety inspections, thereby supporting efficient public health policies (SDGs 3, 6, 17) (Ferdinand et al., 2021). Effective surveillance not only underpins risk-based inspections but also fosters collaboration across sectors to enhance overall food safety frameworks.

Socioeconomic and Behavioral Research

Understanding the socioeconomic contexts that influence food safety practices is essential for encouraging the adoption of innovative technologies among smallholder producers (Elbehiry et al., 2025). Behavioral research can untangle the factors affecting the adoption rates of safety technologies and provide insights into consumer perceptions and market dynamics (SDG 1, 10, 8). Such knowledge guides the development of tailored interventions that can alleviate barriers to food safety improvements, ultimately augmenting food security.

8.3 Pathways for Integrating Food Safety into Sustainable Development Goals

Setting Strategic Linkages to SDGs

Mapping food-safety interventions to specific SDG targets can substantially enhance alignment and accountability. For example, linking cold chain modifications directly to ending malnutrition (SDG 2.2) not only supports food security but also ensures healthier population outcomes (Popa et al., 2019; Shaharudin & Fernando, 2023). Operationalizing the monitoring of food safety indicators in national SDG reports, such as the prevalence of foodborne disease or cold-chain coverage, provides critical data to enhance capabilities to meet global food standards (SDG 17).

Cross-sectoral Coordination

Integrating food safety into agricultural, health, environmental, and trade policies can facilitate comprehensive planning and multi-ministerial funding initiatives (Iftekhar & Cui, 2021). This holistic approach is essential for developing joint Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) that encompass food safety metrics, ensuring that the multifaceted nature of food quality is adequately addressed.

8.4 Recommended Priorities for Research and Action

Cost-Effectiveness Studies

Conducting research exploring the cost-effectiveness of food safety interventions is crucial. By quantifying the return on investment in food safety—such as healthcare savings and restored productive capacity—stakeholders can justify financing for food safety initiatives (SDGs 3, 8, 17) (Onwude et al., 2020).

Adaptive Regulatory Frameworks

Future food safety policies must be adaptive, allowing for risk-based assessment that keeps pace with technological advancements while ensuring consumer protection. Such frameworks encourage innovation and facilitate the safe development and application of new technologies (Elbehiry et al., 2025).

9. CONCLUSION

Food safety stands as a central pillar linking public health, nutrition, and sustainable development, with far-reaching implications for human well-being and socioeconomic stability. Evidence presented in this paper reinforces the critical role of safe food systems in reducing foodborne diseases, improving nutritional outcomes, and supporting productivity and economic growth at both local and global levels. The alignment of food safety with SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being), and SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth) highlights its cross-cutting relevance across development sectors. Integration of the One Health approach further strengthens food safety systems by recognizing the interdependence of human, animal, and environmental health and by providing a comprehensive framework for preventing and managing food safety risks. Addressing food safety challenges, including infrastructural limitations, regulatory gaps, climate change, globalization, and antimicrobial resistance, remains essential for building resilient and equitable food systems.

Sustained progress in food safety requires coordinated action across agriculture, health, environment, and trade sectors, supported by strong governance, scientific evidence, and stakeholder engagement. Adoption of good agricultural and manufacturing practices, hazard analysis and critical control points, and effective public education initiatives can significantly enhance food safety outcomes. Investment in surveillance, innovation, and research aligned with sustainable development priorities is equally critical for reducing disease burden and strengthening economic resilience. Food safety should therefore be recognized not merely as a regulatory obligation but as a strategic pathway for achieving sustainable development and protecting population health. Strengthened global and local commitments to food safety will enable societies to better align public health actions with the broader goals of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

REFERENCES

1. Akram, H. W., Akhtar, S., Ahmad, A., Anwar, I., & Sulaiman, M. A. B. A. (2023). Developing a conceptual framework model for effective perishable food cold-supply-chain management based on structured literature review. *Sustainability, 15*(6), 4907.
2. Ali, M. H., Suleiman, N., Khalid, N., Tan, K. H., Tseng, M. L., & Kumar, M. (2021). Supply chain resilience reactive strategies for food SMEs in coping to COVID-19 crisis. *Trends in Food Science & Technology, 109*, 94–102.
3. Ambrus, Á., & Yang, Y. Z. (2016). Global harmonization of maximum residue limits for pesticides. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 64*(1), 30–35.
4. Apruzzese, I., Song, E., Bonah, E., Sanidad, V. S., Leekitcharoenphon, P., Medardus, J. J., Abdalla, N., Hosseini, H., & Takeuchi, M. (2019). Investing in food safety for developing countries: Opportunities and challenges in applying whole-genome sequencing for food safety management. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease, 16*(7), 463–473.
5. Ates, S., Cicek, H., Bell, L. W., Norman, H. C., Mayberry, D. E., Kassam, S., Hannaway, D. B., & Louhaichi, M. (2018, March). Sustainable development of smallholder crop-livestock farming in developing countries. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 142, p. 012076). IOP Publishing.
6. Bintsis, T. (2017). Foodborne pathogens. *AIMS Microbiology, 3*(3), 529.
7. Bird, B. H., & Mazet, J. A. (2018). Detection of emerging zoonotic pathogens: An integrated One Health approach. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences, 6*, 121–139.
8. Breitmeier, H., Schwindenhammer, S., Checa, A., Manderbach, J., & Tanzer, M. (2021). Aligned sustainability understandings? Global inter-institutional arrangements and the implementation of SDG 2. *Politics and Governance, 9*(1), 141–151.
9. Brooks, D. R., Hoberg, E. P., Boeger, W. A., & Trivellone, V. (2022). Emerging infectious disease: An underappreciated area of strategic concern for food security. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 69*(2), 254–267.
10. Cediel Becerra, N. M., Olaya Medellin, A. M., Tomassone, L., Chiesa, F., & De Meneghi, D. (2021). A survey on One Health approach in Colombia and some Latin American countries: From a fragmented health organization to an integrated health response to global challenges. *Frontiers in Public Health, 9*, 649240.
11. Chandan, A., John, M., & Potdar, V. (2023). Achieving UN SDGs in food supply chain using blockchain technology. *Sustainability, 15*(3), 2109.
12. Chikha, M., & Faye, B. (2025). Camel milk: White gold and its contribution to the sustainable development goals—A review. *Outlook on Agriculture, 54*(1), 42–54.
13. Cipriano, P. F., Blanchard, K. A., & Rosa, W. E. (2021). Preventing violence to achieve peaceful and inclusive societies. *AJN The American Journal of Nursing, 121*(9), 58–63.
14. Cleaveland, S., Sharp, J., Abela-Ridder, B., Allan, K. J., Buza, J., Crump, J. A., Davis, A., Del Rio Vilas, V. J., De Glanville, W. A., Kazwala, R. R., & Kibona, T. (2017). One Health contributions towards more effective and equitable

approaches to health in low- and middle-income countries. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 372*(1725), 20160168.
15. da Silva Pereira, C., Aguiar, N. R. M., de Souza Bravi, V., & Pigatto, G. (2024). Integrating sustainability and food: Contributions of the program of food acquisition (PAA) and the national school feeding program (PNAE) in Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia de Biossistemas, 18*.
16. Davis, K. F., Downs, S., & Gephart, J. A. (2021). Towards food supply chain resilience to environmental shocks. *Nature Food, 2*(1), 54–65.
17. de Quadros, V. P., Balcerzak, A., Allemand, P., de Sousa, R. F., Bevere, T., Arsenault, J., Deitchler, M., & Holmes, B. A. (2022). Global trends in the availability of dietary data in low and middle-income countries. *Nutrients, 14*(14), 2987.
18. Destoumieux-Garzón, D., Mavingui, P., Boetsch, G., Boissier, J., Darriet, F., Duboz, P., Fritsch, C., Giraudoux, P., Le Roux, F., Morand, S., & Paillard, C. (2018). The One Health concept: 10 years old and a long road ahead. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science, 5*, 14.
19. Duret, S., Hoang, H. M., Derens-Bertheau, E., Delahaye, A., Laguerre, O., & Guillier, L. (2019). Combining quantitative risk assessment of human health, food waste, and energy consumption: The next step in the development of the food cold chain? *Risk Analysis, 39*(4), 906–925.
20. Dwiartama, A., Akbar, Z. A., Ariefiansyah, R., Maury, H. K., & Ramadhan, S. (2024). Conservation, livelihoods, and agrifood systems in Papua and Jambi, Indonesia: A case for diverse economies. *Sustainability, 16*(5), 1996.
21. Elbehiry, A., Marzouk, E., Abalkhail, A., Edrees, H. M., Ellethy, A. T., Almuzaini, A. M., Ibrahem, M., Almujaidel, A., Alzaben, F., Alqrni, A., & Abu-Okail, A. (2025). Microbial food safety and antimicrobial resistance in foods: A dual threat to public health. *Microorganisms, 13*(7), 1592.
22. Eruaga, M. A. (2024). Assessing the role of public education in enhancing food safety practices among consumers. *International Journal of Science and Technology Research, 4*, 022–031.
23. Fan, H., Zhang, M., Bhandari, B., & Yang, C. H. (2020). Food waste as a carbon source in carbon quantum dots technology and their applications in food safety detection. *Trends in Food Science & Technology, 95*, 86–96.
24. Forbes, J. D., Knox, N. C., Ronholm, J., Pagotto, F., & Reimer, A. (2017). Metagenomics: The next culture-independent game changer. *Frontiers in Microbiology, 8*, 1069.
25. Fung, F., Wang, H. S., & Menon, S. (2018). Food safety in the 21st century. *Biomedical Journal, 41*(2), 88–95.
26. García, M. J. C., Ramírez, S. I. Q., Gómez, G. A. N., Serrano, E. V., García, D. L. C., Cantón, C. V., Luna, A. B., Villanueva, P. D. D., & de los Ángeles Segura-Azuara, N. (2022). Social media campaign as a tool for patient education of disease prevention and health promotion: Digital health campaign on osteoporosis knowledge. In *Advancing Health Education With Telemedicine* (pp. 183–208). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
27. Garcia, S. N., Osburn, B. I., & Cullor, J. S. (2019). A One Health perspective on dairy production and dairy food safety. *One Health, 7*, 100086.
28. Garcia, S. N., Osburn, B. I., & Jay-Russell, M. T. (2020). One Health for food safety, food security, and sustainable food production. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 4*, 1.
29. Gillespie, J., da Costa, T. P., Cama-Moncunill, X., Cadden, T., Condell, J., Cowderoy, T., Ramsey, E., Murphy, F., Kull, M., Gallagher, R., & Ramanathan, R. (2023). Real-time anomaly detection in cold chain transportation using IoT technology. *Sustainability, 15*(3), 2255.
30. Grace, D. (2015). Food safety in low and middle income countries. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 12*(9), 10490–10507.
31. Grewal, D., Guha, A., Noble, S. M., & Bentley, K. (2024). The food production–consumption chain: Fighting food insecurity, loss, and waste with technology. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 52*(5), 1412–1430.
32. Handford, C. E., Elliott, C. T., & Campbell, K. (2015). A review of the global pesticide legislation and the scale of challenge in reaching the global harmonization of food safety standards. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management, 11*(4), 525–536.
33. Havelaar, A. H., Kirk, M. D., Torgerson, P. R., Gibb, H. J., Hald, T., Lake, R. J., Praet, N., Bellinger, D. C., De Silva, N. R., Gargouri, N., & Speybroeck, N. (2015). World Health Organization global estimates and regional comparisons of the burden of foodborne disease in 2010. *PLoS Medicine, 12*(12), e1001923.
34. Iftekhar, A., & Cui, X. (2021). Blockchain-based traceability system that ensures food safety measures to protect consumer safety and COVID-19 free supply chains. *Foods, 10*(6), 1289.
35. Jaffee, S., Henson, S., Unnevehr, L., Grace, D., & Cassou, E. (2018). *The safe food imperative: Accelerating progress in low- and middle-income countries.* World Bank Publications.
36. Jones, A., Neal, B., Reeve, B., Mhurchu, C. N., & Thow, A. M. (2019). Front-of-pack nutrition labelling to promote healthier diets: Current practice and opportunities to strengthen regulation worldwide. *BMJ Global Health, 4*(6).
37. Joshi, A., Arora, A., Amadi-Mgbenka, C., Mittal, N., Sharma, S., Malhotra, B., Grover, A., Misra, A., & Loomba, M. (2019). Burden of household food insecurity in urban slum settings. *PLoS One, 14*(4), e0214461.
38. Kamara, A., Conteh, A., Rhodes, E. R., & Cooke, R. A. (2019). The relevance of smallholder farming to African agricultural growth and development. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development, 19*(1), 14043–14065.
39. Karp, B. E., Tate, H., Plumblee, J. R., Dessai, U., Whichard, J. M., Thacker, E. L., Hale, K. R., Wilson, W., Friedman, C. R., Griffin, P. M., & McDermott, P. F. (2017). National antimicrobial resistance monitoring system: Two decades of

advancing public health through integrated surveillance of antimicrobial resistance. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease, 14*(10), 545–557.

40. Kassem, H. S., Alotaibi, B. A., Aldosari, F. O., Herab, A., & Ghozy, R. (2021). Factors influencing smallholder orange farmers for compliance with GlobalGAP standards. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 28*(2), 1365–1373.
41. Kieras, T., Farooq, J., & Zhu, Q. (2021). I-SCRAM: A framework for IoT supply chain risk analysis and mitigation decisions. *IEEE Access, 9*, 29827–29840.
42. Law, J. W. F., Ab Mutalib, N. S., Chan, K. G., & Lee, L. H. (2015). Rapid methods for the detection of foodborne bacterial pathogens: Principles, applications, advantages and limitations. *Frontiers in Microbiology, 5*, 770.
43. Li, Z., & Luo, F. (2024). Application and value of Internet of Things in enterprise supply chain management. *Journal of Computational Methods in Science and Engineering, 24*(4–5), 2689–2703.
44. Malesu, L. M., Syrovátka, P., & Wittmann, V. (2024). Smallholder maize farming in Zambia: A systematic review of challenges and opportunities. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition & Development, 24*(12).
45. Matsui, M., Tanino, T., Ito, M., Nomura, C., Guionet, A., Takahashi, K., Takaki, K., & Ohshima, T. (2022). Growth properties and sensitivities to various bactericidal methods of cold-tolerant microorganisms isolated from packed tofu. *Agronomy, 12*(2), 233.
46. Mercier, S., Villeneuve, S., Mondor, M., & Uysal, I. (2017). Time–temperature management along the food cold chain: A review of recent developments. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 16*(4), 647–667.
47. Moseley, W. G. (2016). Agriculture on the brink: Climate change, labor and smallholder farming in Botswana. *Land, 5*(3), 21.
48. Ndraha, N., Hsiao, H. I., Vlajic, J., Yang, M. F., & Lin, H. T. V. (2018). Time-temperature abuse in the food cold chain: Review of issues, challenges, and recommendations. *Food Control, 89*, 12–21.
49. Nespolo, N. M. (2021). The behavior of consumers and producers of food of animal origin and their impacts in One Health. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science, 8*, 641634.
50. Nguinkal, J. A., Zoclanclounon, Y. A., Molina, A., Roba, A., Nyakio, N. M., Lokamar, P. N., Nzoyikorera, N., Ihorimbere, T., Nyandwi, J., Aguer, M. A., & Maror, J. A. (2024). Assessment of the pathogen genomics landscape highlights disparities and challenges for effective AMR surveillance and outbreak response in the East African community. *BMC Public Health, 24*(1), 1500.
51. Ongoro, E. B., Ontita, E. G., & Chitere, O. P. (2022). The contribution of New Rice for Africa (NERICA) farming to livelihood security of smallholder households in Migori, Kenya. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology, 40*(10), 369–382.
52. Onwude, D. I., Chen, G., Eke-Emezie, N., Kabutey, A., Khaled, A. Y., & Sturm, B. (2020). Recent advances in reducing food losses in the supply chain of fresh agricultural produce. *Processes, 8*(11), 1431.
53. Palazzo, M., & Vollero, A. (2022). A systematic literature review of food sustainable supply chain management (FSSCM): Building blocks and research trends. *The TQM Journal, 34*(7), 54–72.
54. Pattanaik, S., & Jenamani, M. (2024). Numerical investigation of temperature heterogeneousness during cold chain export: A virtual cold chain approach. *Journal of Food Process Engineering, 47*(8), e14715.
55. Ponto, V. M. (2023). Peningkatan produktivitas dalam rangka mewujudkan pekerjaan layak dan pertumbuhan ekonomi (Tujuan ke-8 Sustainable Development Goals). *Jurnal Cendikia Niaga (JCN), 7*(1), 85–96.
56. Popa, A., Hnatiuc, M., Paun, M., Geman, O., Hemanth, D. J., Dorcea, D., Son, L. H., & Ghita, S. (2019). An intelligent IoT-based food quality monitoring approach using low-cost sensors. *Symmetry, 11*(3), 374.
57. Rahman, L. F., Alam, L., Marufuzzaman, M., & Sumaila, U. R. (2021). Traceability of sustainability and safety in fishery supply chain management systems using radio frequency identification technology. *Foods, 10*(10), 2265.
58. Raman, R., Lathabhai, H., Mandal, S., Kumar, C., & Nedungadi, P. (2023). Contribution of business research to sustainable development goals: Bibliometrics and science mapping analysis. *Sustainability, 15*(17), 12982.
59. Ramirez-Hernandez, A., Galagarza, O. A., Álvarez Rodriguez, M. V., Pachari Vera, E., Valdez Ortiz, M. D. C., Deering, A. J., & Oliver, H. F. (2020). Food safety in Peru: A review of fresh produce production and challenges in the public health system. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 19*(6), 3323–3342.
60. Ribeiro, C. D. S., van de Burgwal, L. H., & Regeer, B. J. (2019). Overcoming challenges for designing and implementing the One Health approach: A systematic review of the literature. *One Health, 7*, 100085.
61. Rodenburg, K., De Silva, V., & Christensen Hughes, J. (2021). SDGs: A responsible research assessment tool toward impactful business research. *Sustainability, 13*(24), 14019.
62. Rodrigues, J., Saraiva, C., García-Díez, J., Castro, J., & Esteves, A. (2025). Evaluating the effectiveness of food safety policies in Portugal: A stakeholder-based analysis of challenges and opportunities for food safety governance. *Foods, 14*(9), 1534.
63. Ruckert, A., Fafard, P., Hindmarch, S., Morris, A., Packer, C., Patrick, D., Weese, S., Wilson, K., Wong, A., & Labonté, R. (2020). Governing antimicrobial resistance: A narrative review of global governance mechanisms. *Journal of Public Health Policy, 41*(4), 515.
64. Rüegg, S. R., Nielsen, L. R., Buttigieg, S. C., Santa, M., Aragrande, M., Canali, M., Ehlinger, T., Chantziaras, I., Boriani, E., Radeski, M., & Bruce, M. (2018). A systems approach to evaluate One Health initiatives. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science, 5*, 23.

65. Sarganas, G., Tetzlaff, F., Buchmann, M., Neuhauser, H., & Scheidt-Nave, C. (2023). Case report Germany. *European Journal of Public Health, 33*(Supplement_2), ckad160-511.
66. Shaharudin, M. S., & Fernando, Y. (2024). Cold supply chain of leafy green vegetables: A social network analysis approach. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management, 15*(4), 794–817.
67. Shen, Y., & Liao, K. (2022). An application of analytic hierarchy process and entropy weight method in food cold chain risk evaluation model. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 825696.
68. Sheng, L., & Wang, L. (2021). The microbial safety of fish and fish products: Recent advances in understanding its significance, contamination sources, and control strategies. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 20*(1), 738–786.
69. Shuvo, S. D., Josy, M. S. K., Parvin, R., Zahid, M. A., Paul, D. K., & Elahi, M. T. (2019). Development of a HACCP-based approach to control risk factors associated with biscuit manufacturing plant, Bangladesh. *Nutrition & Food Science, 49*(6), 1180–1194.
70. Sosah, F. K., & Donkor, E. S. (2025). Microbial foodborne outbreaks in Africa: A systematic review. *International Health*, ihaf058.
71. Syukur, A., Ferdiansyah, D., & Latifah, N. (2024). The impact of social media on public outreach in the fields of education, economy, and health based on religious values. *Smart Society Community Service and Empowerment Journal, 4*(1), 27–32. https://doi.org/10.58524/smartsociety.v4i1.521
72. Thal, D. A., & Mettenleiter, T. C. (2023). One Health—Key to adequate intervention measures against zoonotic risks. *Pathogens, 12*(3), 415.
73. Thorsen, M., Skeaff, S., Goodman-Smith, F., Thong, B., Bremer, P., & Mirosa, M. (2022). Upcycled foods: A nudge toward nutrition. *Frontiers in Nutrition, 9*, 1071829.
74. Ulfat, U. (2025). Global food safety policies: Standards, challenges, and compliance. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, *15*(3), 439–451. https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2025.15.3.1747
75. Vågsholm, I., Arzoomand, N. S., & Boqvist, S. (2020). Food security, safety, and sustainability—Getting the trade-offs right. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 4*, 487217.
76. White, A. E., Garman, K. N., Hedberg, C., Pennell-Huth, P., Smith, K. E., Sillence, E., Baseman, J., Walter, E. S., & Integrated Food Safety Centers of Excellence Working Group. (2023). Improving foodborne disease surveillance and outbreak detection and response using peer networks—the Integrated Food Safety Centers of Excellence. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, *29*(3), 287–296.
77. White, A. E., Torok, M. R., Smith, K. E., Booth, H., & Scallan Walter, E. (2024). Competencies for public health professionals and epidemiologists who detect and investigate enteric disease outbreaks. *Public Health Reports, 139*(3), 342–350.
78. Wu, P. J., Lin, L. T., & Huang, C. C. (2022). Diagnosing the service quality of perishable-food logistics: Temperature-sensitive milk delivery. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 34*(9), 1943–1955.
79. Xu, J., Ma, R., Stankovski, S., Liu, X., & Zhang, X. (2022). Intelligent dynamic quality prediction of chilled chicken with integrated IoT flexible sensing and knowledge rules extraction. *Foods, 11*(6), 836.
80. Zhao, H., Babiuk, L., Gasser, R., Le Maho, Y., Xu, J., Shen, J., Chen, H., Luo, Q., Liu, J., Guo, X., & Chen, J. (2025). Celebrating three years of Science in One Health: Shaping a transdisciplinary community. *Science in One Health*, 100124.