International Journal of Innovative Mathematics, Statistics & Energy Policies
13(4):208-223, Oct-Dec., 2025

 www.seahipublications.org **ISSN: 2467-852X**

doi:10.5281/zenodo.17930493

Policy and Regulatory Frameworks for Renewable Energy Integration: A Comparative Analysis of Nigeria and The United States of America

Maryam Bello Malabu[1]; Sule Magaji[2] & Yakubu Jafaru[3]

[1]Sustainable Development Centre, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria
lawalkanoma01@gmail.com

[2]Department of Economics, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria
sule.magaji@uniabuja.edu.ng
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-9583-3993

[3]Sociology Department, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria
Email: jafyak76@yahoo.com
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-8171-6925

ABSTRACT
This study examines the policy and regulatory frameworks that shape renewable energy integration in Nigeria and the United States of America (USA), focusing on how governance structures, institutional capacity, and regulatory mechanisms influence clean energy transitions. While the USA has achieved significant progress through stable policies such as the Investment Tax Credit, Production Tax Credit, and state-level Renewable Portfolio Standards, Nigeria continues to face challenges including policy inconsistency, weak enforcement, and limited investment incentives. Using a mixed-methods research design that combines qualitative document analysis, expert interviews, and quantitative descriptive statistics, the study compares both countries' approaches to renewable energy governance. Findings reveal that although Nigeria has developed several renewable energy policies, gaps persist in implementation, financing, and institutional coordination, whereas the USA benefits from long-term policy stability, strong regulatory institutions, and active private-sector participation. The comparative analysis highlights the importance of coherent policy design, political will, and effective regulatory oversight in driving successful renewable energy integration. The study concludes by proposing actionable recommendations for Nigeria, including strengthening regulatory frameworks, improving institutional collaboration, and adopting best practices from the U.S. model to accelerate its clean energy transition.
Keywords: Renewable energy, policy frameworks, regulatory mechanisms, energy transition, comparative analysis

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The global transition toward renewable energy has intensified as countries seek to address climate change, enhance energy security, and diversify their energy mix. Effective policy and regulatory

frameworks are essential for accelerating the adoption of clean energy technologies, as they shape investment decisions and influence market behavior (International Energy Agency [IEA], 2023). In both developed and developing economies, the design and enforcement of regulatory policies determine the pace at which renewable energy sources—such as solar, wind, and biomass—are integrated into national power systems (Sarkodie & Adams, 2020). Consequently, understanding how these frameworks function across different contexts provides valuable insights for improving national energy strategies.

Nigeria and the United States of America (USA) represent two contrasting examples in renewable energy development. While the USA has advanced policies that have stimulated large-scale investments in renewable energy infrastructure and innovation, Nigeria continues to struggle with policy inconsistency, weak implementation, and inadequate institutional capacity (Oyedepo, 2022). Nigeria's energy sector is still dominated by fossil fuels despite its abundant renewable resources, whereas the USA has witnessed a notable shift toward clean energy driven by federal incentives, state-level regulations, and private-sector participation (U.S. Department of Energy, 2022). These differences underscore the importance of comparative analysis in identifying policy gaps and opportunities for improvement.

The regulatory environment in both countries plays a critical role in shaping energy markets. In the USA, frameworks such as the Production Tax Credit (PTC), Investment Tax Credit (ITC), and Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS) have significantly supported renewable energy development by reducing financial risks and encouraging competition (Wiser et al., 2021). Conversely, Nigeria's regulatory policies—though well articulated on paper—often suffer from poor enforcement, inadequate funding, and infrastructural challenges (Akinwale & Osabuohien, 2021). These disparities highlight how regulatory robustness contributes to successful renewable energy integration.

A comparative study of Nigeria and the USA therefore offers an opportunity to examine how policy design, institutional capacity, and regulatory enforcement influence the deployment of renewable energy technologies. Such analysis can help identify best practices that Nigeria could adopt to strengthen its renewable energy sector, particularly in light of its commitments to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and national development priorities (United Nations Development Programme [UNDP], 2023). It also contributes to broader knowledge on the relationship between governance quality and energy transition outcomes.

Ultimately, exploring policy and regulatory frameworks across these two countries will provide a deeper understanding of what drives successful renewable energy integration. The comparison underscores not only the potential for policy learning and adaptation in Nigeria but also the significance of long-term planning, political will, and institutional coherence in supporting clean energy transitions globally. This study aims to contribute to the growing scholarship on renewable energy governance and offer practical insights for policymakers, energy stakeholders, and researchers.

2.0 Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

2.1 Conceptual Review

2.1.1 Policy

Policy refers to a set of formal principles, guidelines, or strategies adopted by governments or institutions to guide decision-making and actions toward achieving specific objectives. Public policies are created to address societal challenges and provide direction for governance, economic growth, and social development (Dye, 2020). In the energy sector, policies define how resources are allocated, how investments are encouraged, and how national priorities such as sustainability, security, and efficiency are pursued (Sovacool, 2021). Effective policies help shape long-term planning, ensure institutional alignment, and influence the behavior of both public and private actors, particularly in sectors like renewable energy where strategic guidance is essential for market development.

2.1.2 Regulatory Frameworks

Regulatory frameworks consist of the rules, laws, institutions, and mechanisms established by governments to ensure compliance, coordinate activities, and maintain order within specific sectors. In the context of energy, regulatory frameworks define operational standards, licensing procedures, tariffs,

market structures, and environmental requirements that guide the production, transmission, and distribution of electricity (Eberhard & Godinho, 2017). A well-designed regulatory framework ensures transparency, reduces investment risks, protects consumer interests, and creates an enabling environment for sustainable energy development (Baker et al., 2020). Such frameworks are especially critical in emerging economies where weak regulation often hampers effective implementation of energy policies.

2.1.3 Renewable Energy Integration

Renewable energy integration refers to the process of incorporating renewable energy sources—such as solar, wind, hydro, and biomass into existing energy systems in a way that ensures reliability, stability, and efficiency. It involves expanding grid infrastructure, adopting advanced technologies, updating regulatory mechanisms, and adjusting market designs to accommodate the variable and decentralized nature of renewables (Lund et al., 2021). Successful integration enhances energy security, supports decarbonization efforts, and promotes sustainable development by reducing dependence on fossil fuels (International Renewable Energy Agency [IRENA], 2022). However, effective integration requires coordinated policy support, robust regulatory structures, and significant financial and technological investments.

2.2 Theoretical Review

2.2.1 Energy Transition Theory

Energy Transition Theory is highly relevant to this study as it explains how societies shift from one dominant energy system to another through changes in technology, policy, markets, and institutional structures. The theory argues that transitions from traditional biomass to fossil fuels and now to renewable energy are driven by the need for improved sustainability, economic efficiency, and environmental protection (Sovacool & Geels, 2020). It highlights the importance of strong policy and regulatory frameworks in accelerating the adoption of cleaner technologies, especially in countries seeking to modernize their energy sectors. For Nigeria and the United States, the theory provides a useful lens for comparing how governance structures, policy incentives, institutional capacity, and technological readiness influence the integration of renewable energy into their respective power systems. By applying Energy Transition Theory, this study can better understand the drivers and barriers shaping renewable energy uptake and identify pathways for strengthening national energy policies.

2.3 Empirical Review

Abubakar et al. (2023) examined the institutional, regulatory, and financial obstacles limiting renewable energy development in Nigeria using qualitative analysis of policy documents and interviews with energy-sector stakeholders. Their findings showed that inconsistent policies, weak regulatory enforcement, and limited financing mechanisms continue to hinder renewable energy expansion. They observed that many policies lack continuity and are poorly implemented, especially across changing political administrations. The study further highlighted that developers struggle with high financing barriers and regulatory uncertainty, which discourages long-term investments. Although the authors recommended strengthening regulatory stability, improving institutional coordination, and modernising the national grid, the study was limited by its absence of quantitative validation and lack of international comparison, leaving gaps for future comparative policy research.

Ibrahim and Ndubuisi (2023) explored the role of indigenous technological innovations in addressing barriers in Nigeria's renewable energy sector through interviews with start-up founders and reviews of locally developed technologies. Their results indicated a growing pool of grassroots innovations, such as prepaid solar meters and remote monitoring tools, but noted that these projects often remain at pilot stage due to regulatory bottlenecks, limited financing, and weak innovation-support systems. The authors argued for the introduction of regulatory sandboxes and innovation hubs to accelerate technology adoption. However, the study's focus on urban-based innovators and short-term innovation outcomes limits its broader applicability, highlighting the need for longitudinal research and wider geographical representation in future energy innovation studies.

Adebayo et al. (2023) assessed Nigeria's renewable energy policy instruments by analysing key legal frameworks such as the REMP, NREEEP, and the Electricity Act 2023. Their study revealed persistent

regulatory inefficiencies, including overlapping institutional mandates and weak rule enforcement, which collectively undermine investor confidence and slow renewable energy deployment. They proposed streamlining regulatory roles, simplifying licensing processes, and creating a one-stop approval system for developers. Nonetheless, the study's analysis overlooked the political economy factors influencing regulatory delays and lacked stakeholder perspectives that could offer deeper insights into how these barriers manifest in practice, suggesting the need for more empirical, field-based investigations.

Ogunleye and Musa (2022) investigated how gender dynamics shape women's involvement in renewable energy initiatives in Nigeria using mixed methods such as surveys and focus group discussions. Their findings showed that women are often excluded from project design, decision-making, technical training, and financial support despite being primary energy users. They observed that women-led energy groups tend to exhibit higher system maintenance and repayment performance, but social norms and structural barriers continue to limit women's participation. Although the study recommended gender-sensitive planning and dedicated financing for women entrepreneurs, it focused mostly on community-level factors and did not sufficiently connect its findings to national policies, identifying a gap in policy-level gender analysis within Nigeria's energy sector.

Ogunbiyi et al. (2024) examined infrastructural and financial constraints affecting renewable energy integration in Nigeria using interviews and case reviews of stalled projects. Their findings revealed critical barriers such as high transmission losses, outdated grid infrastructure, limited funding, and low stakeholder investment appetite. They recommended decentralised renewable energy systems, public-private partnerships, and investment in smart grids. However, their study mainly emphasised technical and infrastructural issues while paying limited attention to community-level adoption and socio-cultural factors, indicating a need for future research on bottom-up participation and local engagement in renewable energy deployment.

Umar and Okon (2023) analysed decentralised energy planning through case studies of rural communities in three Nigerian states, combining interviews, planning document reviews, and field observations. Their findings showed that bottom-up planning improves project ownership, adoption, and sustainability, while centralised planning creates delays and resistance. Despite advocating for local energy councils and community energy mapping, the study focused on relatively stable regions and did not examine decentralised planning in conflict-prone areas. This omission limits the generalisability of the findings and points to the need for further research into decentralisation dynamics under conditions of insecurity and political fragility.

2.4 Literature Gaps

A review of the existing literature reveals that although previous studies have examined various aspects of Nigeria's renewable energy landscape including regulatory barriers, indigenous innovation, gender inclusion, infrastructural deficits, and decentralised planning significant gaps remain unaddressed. Most of the studies adopt predominantly qualitative or document-based approaches, with limited integration of comparative insights or quantitative metrics that could strengthen generalisability across diverse regions. Additionally, none of the reviewed works provide a cross-national comparative analysis between Nigeria and a highly developed renewable energy market such as the United States, leaving a major gap in understanding how differing policy and regulatory frameworks shape renewable energy integration outcomes. The literature also inadequately explores the political economy influences, multi-level governance challenges, and subnational regulatory disparities that affect renewable energy adoption in Nigeria. Furthermore, limited attention has been given to how national policies interact with community-level dynamics, technology adoption behaviour, and long-term project sustainability. These gaps underscore the need for comprehensive comparative research that evaluates policy and regulatory frameworks across contexts, integrates both qualitative and quantitative evidence, and provides actionable insights to strengthen renewable energy integration in Nigeria.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design that combines qualitative, quantitative, and comparative approaches. A mixed-methods design is appropriate because it enables a deeper understanding of policy and regulatory frameworks by integrating narrative insights from documents and interviews with measurable indicators such as electrification rates and renewable energy penetration (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). The qualitative component focuses on document analysis and expert interviews, which provide contextual depth on the strengths and weaknesses of Nigeria's renewable energy policies. The quantitative aspect uses descriptive statistics to support comparative judgments between Nigeria and the United States, ensuring that interpretations are grounded in empirical evidence.

The comparative design strengthens the study by systematically examining similarities and differences between Nigeria and the United States. Nigeria represents a developing context with limited renewable energy deployment and institutional challenges, while the United States provides a mature regulatory environment with proven renewable integration mechanisms. According to Yin (2018), comparative research enhances validity by allowing the researcher to identify patterns, best practices, and context-specific barriers. This design is therefore suitable for identifying transferable lessons that can support Nigeria's energy transition.

3.2 Area of Study

The study is conducted in Nigeria, a West African country with over 220 million people and a growing demand for reliable electricity (World Bank, 2022). Despite abundant renewable resources such as solar energy, hydropower sites, biomass, and wind potential, the country's electricity sector remains heavily dependent on fossil fuels. More than 80 million Nigerians lack access to stable electricity, highlighting the urgency for policy reforms and renewable energy investment (IEA, 2021). Various national policies—including the National Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Policy (2015) and the Electricity Act (2023)—form the basis of Nigeria's ongoing efforts toward clean energy transition.

The United States serves as the comparative location. It is a developed economy with a diversified energy mix and advanced regulatory institutions. The U.S. has implemented several successful renewable energy policies, including federal tax incentives and state-level Renewable Portfolio Standards. Comparing Nigeria with the U.S. allows the study to identify how governance structures, financing mechanisms, and regulatory enforcement influence renewable energy integration outcomes. The contrasting developmental contexts make the countries ideal for comparative policy analysis.

3.3 Population of the Study

The population of this study comprises key stakeholders involved in renewable energy governance, including policymakers, regulators, private investors, donor organizations, and academic experts. According to Bryman (2016), the population refers to all individuals or entities relevant to the research problem from which a sample is drawn. For this study, the population includes officials from institutions such as the Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission (NERC), the Rural Electrification Agency (REA), renewable energy developers, and experts from international organizations such as the IEA, World Bank, and IRENA.

Nigeria's increasing population also influences energy demand. With an annual growth rate of approximately 2.5%, the country's electricity needs continue to rise, reinforcing the need for effective renewable energy policies (World Bank, 2022). Understanding population dynamics helps contextualize the scale of Nigeria's energy challenges and highlights the importance of strengthening policy frameworks to support long-term energy sustainability.

3.4 Sampling Technique and Sample Size

The study adopts purposive sampling to select respondents who possess expert knowledge of renewable energy policy and regulation. Purposive sampling is suitable for qualitative research because it focuses on information-rich participants who can provide deep insights into the study area (Patton, 2015). To determine the minimum sample size, the Taro Yamane (1967) formula was applied using a target

population of 100 stakeholders, yielding a required sample size of 50 participants. However, due to constraints and the expert-focused nature of the study, a final sample of 24 key informants was selected.

3.5 Research Instruments

The study employs two main research instruments: a structured questionnaire and semi-structured interview guides. The questionnaire is used to gather quantitative information on stakeholder perceptions, while the interview guide allows respondents to elaborate on policy implementation challenges, regulatory gaps, and comparative insights. Document analysis instruments are also applied to examine policy texts systematically.

3.6 The Questionnaire Instrument

The questionnaire contains closed-ended and Likert-scale questions designed to capture views on policy effectiveness, regulatory enforcement, financing mechanisms, and institutional capacity. It is structured into sections reflecting the study objectives and is administered electronically to enhance accessibility for expert participants.

3.7 Nature and Sources of Data

The study utilizes both primary and secondary data. Primary data are collected through semi-structured interviews and questionnaires administered to policymakers, renewable energy developers, and regulatory officials. These sources provide firsthand perspectives on regulatory processes, institutional challenges, and policy effectiveness.

Secondary data include policy documents, legislation, reports from international organizations, academic publications, and statistical datasets. Examples include Nigeria's Renewable Energy Master Plan (2005), the Electricity Act (2023), the U.S. Inflation Reduction Act (2022), and datasets from the IEA and World Bank. Using both primary and secondary data enhances triangulation and improves the validity of findings.

3.8 Methods of Data Analysis

Qualitative data from interviews and policy documents are analyzed using thematic analysis, which involves coding, categorizing, and identifying major themes related to policy strengths, barriers, and comparative insights (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Content analysis is also applied to examine recurring concepts and policy provisions across Nigerian and U.S. documents.

Quantitative data from questionnaires are analyzed using descriptive statistics such as frequencies and percentages. Comparative analysis is used to benchmark Nigeria's renewable energy policies against those of the U.S., highlighting differences in regulatory design, financial incentives, and institutional capacity. The combination of methods ensures a comprehensive understanding of policy performance.

4.0 **DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS**

4.1 Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

A clear understanding of respondents' demographic and professional characteristics is essential for contextualizing their perspectives on renewable energy policy and regulation. Demographic factors such as age, occupation, sectoral experience, and educational background influence how stakeholders perceive policy effectiveness, identify regulatory challenges, and interpret investment opportunities (Geels et al., 2021; Usman et al., 2020). In this study, demographic data were collected through questionnaires and complemented with insights from Key Informant Interviews (KIIs).

Out of the 150 valid questionnaire responses analyzed, the sample reflected Nigeria's diverse renewable energy ecosystem, including government officials, developers, investors, academics, and community leaders. Additionally, 24 KIIs provided qualitative depth to the survey results, coded systematically to maintain anonymity (e.g., KII/NG/GO/01 for government officials, KII/NG/DEV/02 for developers). This coding structure ensures both confidentiality and scholarly transparency, aligning with established research practices (Miles, Huberman, & Saldaña, 2014; Birt et al., 2016).

4.1.1 Age Distribution

Table 4.1 shows that respondents were distributed across all age brackets, with the majority clustered between 26 and 45 years.

Table 4.1: Age Distribution of Respondents (N = 150)

Age Group	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
18–25 years	18	12.0%
26–35 years	52	34.7%
36–45 years	47	31.3%
46 years & above	33	22.0%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

The findings indicate that 66% of respondents fall within the 26–45 range, representing mid-career professionals who are directly engaged in implementation and policy advocacy. A government official emphasized this point: *"Policy awareness is strongest among mid-career actors; they are the bridge between political leadership and grassroots implementation"* (KII/NG/GO/03). By contrast, in the United States, policy spaces are often dominated by older, long-serving professionals with decades of experience (Carley & Konisky, 2020), suggesting that Nigeria's renewable energy sector is comparatively younger and still evolving.

4.1.2 Occupational Background

Respondents represented a broad range of professional roles.

Table 4.2: Occupational Distribution (N = 150)

Occupation	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Government Official	30	20.0%
Energy Developer	28	18.7%
Private Investor	25	16.7%
Academic/Researcher	35	23.3%
Community Leader	20	13.3%
NGO/CSO Staff	12	8.0%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

The dominance of government officials (20%), academics (23.3%), and developers (18.7%) ensures perspectives from both the policy side and the implementation side are well captured. A community leader remarked: *"Communities often see renewable projects through the lens of service delivery, not policy compliance; this is where misalignment begins"* (KII/NG/CL/02). Similarly, an investor noted that *"policy documents mean little if they don't translate into bankable projects for developers"* (KII/NG/INV/01).

This occupational diversity strengthens the study by providing a multi-actor perspective, a factor widely recognized in energy governance research as key to capturing systemic challenges (Sovacool et al., 2022; Akinbami et al., 2020).

4.1.3 Sectoral Experience

Years of experience in the energy sector were considered to assess respondents' familiarity with policy frameworks.

Table 4.3: Respondents' Years of Experience (N = 150)

Years in Energy Sector	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Less than 2 years	21	14.0%
2–5 years	49	32.7%
6–10 years	44	29.3%
Over 10 years	36	24.0%

Source: field survey, 2025

Findings reveal that 62% had between 2–10 years of experience, indicating that most respondents had sufficient exposure to sector dynamics but were not at retirement age. A developer noted: *"Younger*

professionals bring innovation, but veterans bring institutional memory; both are needed to fix Nigeria's energy sector" (KII/NG/DEV/04).

In contrast, U.S. renewable policy forums often include professionals with 20–30 years of experience, reflecting longer institutional stability (Carley & Konisky, 2020). This underscores a structural challenge for Nigeria, where institutional memory is fragile due to turnover and political instability (Dal Bó, 2020).

4.1.4 Educational Background

Education levels of respondents were generally high, as Table 4.4 shows.

Table 4.4: Educational Attainment of Respondents (N = 150)

Education Level	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Diploma	14	9.3%
Bachelor's Degree	56	37.3%
Master's Degree	61	40.7%
Doctorate (PhD/DBA)	19	12.7%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

The results confirm a high intellectual profile, with 53.4% holding postgraduate degrees (Master's or Doctorate). This suggests that respondents were capable of providing informed evaluations of complex policy issues. An investor explained: *"Highly educated actors tend to assess incentives not just for immediate gains but for long-term bankability and sustainability"* (KII/NG/INV/02).

This aligns with scholarship linking educational attainment to more nuanced evaluations of sustainability and governance frameworks (Hoang et al., 2021; Sovacool et al., 2022).

4.1.5 Synthesis of Demographic Characteristics

Overall, the demographic profile demonstrates that respondents were predominantly mid-career professionals, well-educated, and institutionally diverse. These characteristics enhance the validity of responses by ensuring the inclusion of both experienced voices and emerging actors in Nigeria's renewable energy sector. Importantly, the relatively younger demographic compared to the U.S. suggests that Nigeria's energy transition is still in its formative stages, requiring capacity building and institutional consolidation (Carley & Konisky, 2020; Sovacool et al., 2022).

By capturing perspectives from government, academia, private investors, and communities, the data provide a robust basis for analyzing perceptions of policy frameworks, investment incentives, and grid integration in subsequent sections.

4.2 Policy and Regulatory Framework Perceptions

The effectiveness of renewable energy policies in Nigeria depends heavily on the level of stakeholder awareness, perceptions of implementation, and the institutional challenges that affect enforcement. This section draws from questionnaire data (N = 150) and 24 Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) to evaluate awareness of the National Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Policy (NREEEP), perceptions of policy effectiveness, challenges to implementation, decentralization reforms under the Electricity Act 2023, and inter-agency coordination. Findings are situated within global literature and contrasted with the U.S. case, where federal–state collaboration and regulatory clarity have historically strengthened renewable energy transitions (Carley & Konisky, 2020; Sovacool et al., 2022).

4.2.1 Awareness of Renewable Energy Policies

A majority of respondents (78%) reported being aware of NREEEP, while 22% indicated no awareness (Table 4.5).

Table 4.5: Awareness of NREEEP (N = 150)

Response	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Yes	117	78.0%
No	33	22.0%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

An REA official noted: *"Policy awareness is high among regulators and developers but remains low among grassroots communities"* (KII/NG/GO/04). An academic added: *"Our students learn about NREEEP, but many developers are still unclear on its enforceability"* (KII/NG/AC/01).

This indicates a knowledge gap between elites and local actors, which limits inclusivity. In contrast, the U.S. has broad public awareness through state-level mandates like California's Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS), where policy targets are widely publicized (KII/US/REG/02).

4.2.2 Perceived Effectiveness of NREEEP

When asked to assess NREEEP's effectiveness, 53.3% rated it as *Not Effective*, 24.7% selected *I don't know*, and only 22% found it *Effective* or *Very Effective* (Table 4.6).

Table 4.6: Effectiveness of NREEEP in Promoting Renewable Energy (N = 150)

Response	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Very Effective	6	4.0%
Effective	27	18.0%
Not Effective	80	53.3%
I don't know	37	24.7%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

One private investor remarked: *"Most policies look good on paper but fail to address the financing realities developers face"* (KII/NG/INV/03). A regulator explained: *"NREEEP lacks enforcement power; agencies implement selectively depending on political will"* (KII/NG/GO/05).

These findings align with scholarship that critiques Nigeria's energy policy frameworks as ambitious but weakly enforced (Eleri & Ugwu, 2018; Usman et al., 2020). By comparison, the U.S. has implemented PURPA and tax credits like the Investment Tax Credit (ITC), which have translated policy into measurable deployment outcomes (Carley & Konisky, 2020).

4.2.3 Challenges Affecting Policy Implementation

Respondents highlighted six dominant challenges, with policy inconsistency (73.3%) and bureaucratic delays (63.3%) topping the list (Table 4.7).

Table 4.7: Challenges in Policy Implementation (Multiple Responses Allowed, N = 150)

Challenge	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Policy inconsistency	110	73.3%
Bureaucratic delays	95	63.3%
Regulatory overlap	84	56.0%
Corruption	72	48.0%
Lack of enforcement	68	45.3%
Political interference	61	40.7%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

A developer lamented: *"Every new administration introduces changes; approvals given today may be revoked tomorrow"* (KII/NG/DEV/05). Another respondent emphasized: *"The turf wars between NERC and ECN make coordination nearly impossible"* (KII/NG/GO/07).

Literature reinforces these concerns, noting how overlapping mandates and political interference hinder Nigeria's renewable policy effectiveness (Dal Bó, 2020; Akinbami et al., 2020). In contrast, U.S. states like Texas and California demonstrate relatively stable policy continuity, enabling sustained investor confidence despite federal–state tensions (Sovacool et al., 2022).

4.2.4 Decentralization and the Electricity Act 2023

The Electricity Act 2023 devolved regulatory power to Nigerian states. Survey results reveal mixed perceptions: 46% believe it will improve policy implementation, 22% disagree, and 32% are uncertain (Table 4.8).

Table 4.8: Perceptions of Decentralization under Electricity Act 2023 (N = 150)

Response	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Yes	69	46.0%
No	33	22.0%
Not Sure	48	32.0%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

A community leader expressed optimism: *"State-level autonomy allows us to prioritize rural electrification without waiting for Abuja"* (KII/NG/CL/02). However, an investor was skeptical: *"Without capacity-building, decentralization risks multiplying inefficiencies across 36 states"* (KII/NG/INV/04).

Comparatively, U.S. decentralization has enabled states like California to pioneer progressive renewable standards, while others like Texas have innovated with competitive energy markets (Carley & Konisky, 2020). Nigeria's challenge will be balancing local autonomy with national coordination.

4.2.5 Inter-Agency Coordination

Inter-agency coordination in Nigeria's energy sector was rated poorly by most respondents: 47.3% selected *Poor* and 34.7% *Very Poor* (Table 4.9).

Table 4.9: Ratings of Inter-Agency Coordination (N = 150)

Response	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Very Good	7	4.7%
Good	20	13.3%
Poor	71	47.3%
Very Poor	52	34.7%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

A regulator candidly admitted: *"Agencies compete for resources instead of collaborating; coordination is reactive, not proactive"* (KII/NG/GO/09). An academic reinforced this: *"Duplication of mandates between NERC, REA, and ECN leads to waste and confusion"* (KII/NG/AC/04).

Comparative insights show that in the U.S., despite federal–state tensions, mechanisms such as inter-state compacts and regulatory commissions provide stronger coordination channels (Sovacool et al., 2022; Geels et al., 2021).

Findings reveal that while policy awareness is relatively high among Nigerian stakeholders, perceptions of effectiveness remain low due to inconsistency, bureaucratic inefficiency, and weak inter-agency collaboration. The Electricity Act 2023 is seen as a potential reform instrument, though skepticism remains over state-level capacities. Compared with the U.S., Nigeria's frameworks are less coherent and lack enforcement, but decentralization offers opportunities for localized innovation if adequately supported. These results underscore the need for streamlined mandates, stronger enforcement, and stakeholder-inclusive governance for Nigeria's renewable energy transition.

4.3 Investment Climate and Financial Incentives

Investment in renewable energy depends significantly on the stability of policy frameworks, the adequacy of financial incentives, and the strength of supporting infrastructure. Nigeria's renewable energy sector has long been characterized by uncertainty, with investors often citing high risks, inadequate guarantees, and policy unpredictability as major deterrents (Oyebanji et al., 2020; Eleri & Ugwu, 2018). This section examines respondents' perceptions of Nigeria's investment climate, evaluates the adequacy of financial incentives, identifies barriers to private sector participation, and compares Nigeria's mechanisms with those in the United States, where targeted incentives have accelerated renewable adoption (Carley & Konisky, 2020; Sovacool et al., 2022).

4.3.1 Adequacy of Financial Incentives

Respondents were asked whether Nigeria provides adequate financial incentives to attract renewable energy investments. As shown in Table 4.10, a majority (62%) said *No*, while only 21% responded *Yes* and 17% were *Not sure.*

Table 4.10: Adequacy of Financial Incentives (N = 150)

Response	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Yes	32	21.3%
No	93	62.0%
Not Sure	25	16.7%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

One investor noted: *"Incentives are inconsistent and often poorly structured; they do not provide the security required for long-term investments"* (KII/NG/INV/02). A developer echoed this sentiment: *"Tariff policies change too frequently, making bankability difficult"* (KII/NG/DEV/06).

By contrast, U.S. respondents emphasized the effectiveness of long-standing instruments such as the Production Tax Credit (PTC) for wind energy and the Investment Tax Credit (ITC) for solar, which have offered predictable incentives and catalyzed private investment over decades (KII/US/FIN/01; Carley & Konisky, 2020).

4.3.2 Preferred Types of Financial Incentives

Respondents ranked which incentives they considered most important for Nigeria's renewable energy sector (Table 4.11).

Table 4.11: Most Important Financial Incentives (Multiple Responses Allowed, N = 150)

Incentive	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Feed-in Tariffs (FiTs)	98	65.3%
Tax Holidays	85	56.7%
Investment Guarantees	77	51.3%
Concessional Loans	73	48.7%
Carbon Credits	55	36.7%
Grant Subsidies	49	32.7%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

Feed-in Tariffs (FiTs) and tax holidays were the top priorities. A government official explained: *"FiTs are critical because they guarantee off-take, while tax holidays can reduce upfront project costs"* (KII/NG/GO/08). Investors also stressed the importance of investment guarantees to mitigate risks in Nigeria's volatile macroeconomic environment (KII/NG/INV/04).

Globally, FiTs have been pivotal in Germany and Denmark, while tax incentives remain central to U.S. renewable energy growth (Murshed et al., 2021; Sovacool et al., 2022). Nigeria's challenge lies in designing transparent, consistent incentive structures that reduce risk perception.

4.3.3 Barriers to Private Sector Investment

When asked to identify the greatest barriers to renewable energy investment in Nigeria, respondents highlighted high interest rates (72.7%), policy unpredictability (66.7%), and inadequate grid infrastructure (63.3%) as the top three (Table 4.12).

Table 4.12: Barriers to Private Investment (N = 150)

Barrier	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
High interest rates	109	72.7%
Currency volatility	88	58.7%
Lack of guarantees	74	49.3%
Policy unpredictability	100	66.7%
Inadequate infrastructure	95	63.3%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

One financier remarked: *"Currency fluctuations and the lack of hedging mechanisms discourage foreign investors"* (KII/NG/FIN/03). Another added: *"The grid is not reliable enough to support utility-scale renewables, so projects remain underutilized"* (KII/NG/DEV/07).

These findings align with literature pointing to macroeconomic volatility and regulatory uncertainty as major deterrents to renewable energy investment in Nigeria (Oyebanji et al., 2020; Akinbami et al., 2020). By contrast, the U.S. has managed to mitigate these risks through federal loan guarantees, bond markets, and supportive grid infrastructure investments (Carley & Konisky, 2020).

4.3.4 Comparative Financing Mechanisms: Nigeria vs. U.S.

Respondents were asked to compare Nigeria's renewable energy financing mechanisms with those of the United States. Over half (54%) rated Nigeria as *Far Behind*, 29% as *Somewhat Comparable*, 11% as *Almost Equal*, and only 6% as *Better* (Table 4.13).

Table 4.13: Comparison of Nigeria's Financing Mechanisms with the U.S. (N = 150)

Response	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Far Behind	81	54.0%
Somewhat Comparable	44	29.3%
Almost Equal	17	11.3%
Better	8	5.3%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

A regulator admitted: *"The U.S. has decades of tested financing models, from tax credits to green bonds, while Nigeria is still experimenting with donor-driven mechanisms"* (KII/NG/GO/10). An academic added: *"Policy stability is the key difference; U.S. investors can plan long-term, but Nigeria remains unpredictable"* (KII/NG/AC/06).

Scholars affirm that U.S. financing has been strengthened by long-standing tax credits, renewable portfolio standards, and state-level initiatives that collectively reduce investor risk (Sovacool et al., 2022; Carley & Konisky, 2020). For Nigeria, scaling concessional loans, green bonds, and guarantees could help narrow this gap.

Findings indicate that Nigeria's financial incentives for renewable energy are widely perceived as inadequate, inconsistent, and poorly structured. Stakeholders prioritize FiTs, tax holidays, and guarantees as the most critical tools for stimulating private investment. However, systemic barriers such as high interest rates, policy unpredictability, and inadequate grid infrastructure continue to undermine investor confidence.

Comparatively, the U.S. experience demonstrates the effectiveness of stable, long-term incentive frameworks that align private sector investment with national energy transition goals. For Nigeria, creating a predictable and investor-friendly financing environment will be central to unlocking the potential of its vast renewable resources.

4.4 Infrastructure and Grid Integration

Infrastructure is the backbone of renewable energy integration, determining whether policies and investments translate into effective energy delivery. In Nigeria, outdated transmission networks, limited storage capacity, and unreliable distribution systems remain persistent barriers (Akinbami et al., 2020; Oyebanji et al., 2020). This section examines perceptions of grid readiness, identifies priority infrastructure upgrades, and explores examples of successful projects. The findings are compared with the United States, where grid modernization and storage technologies have facilitated large-scale renewable deployment (Carley & Konisky, 2020; Sovacool et al., 2022).

4.4.1 Grid Readiness for Renewable Integration

Respondents were asked whether Nigeria's national electricity grid currently supports large-scale renewable energy integration. As shown in Table 4.14, 64% said *No*, 19% said *Yes*, and 17% were *Not Sure*.

Table 4.14: Perceptions of Grid Readiness (N = 150)

Response	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Yes	28	18.7%
No	96	64.0%
Not Sure	26	17.3%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

A government official stated: *"The national grid cannot reliably evacuate renewable power; most projects rely on isolated or mini-grid systems"* (KII/NG/GO/11). A developer reinforced this: *"Even when solar farms are built, grid instability reduces efficiency and causes curtailment"* (KII/NG/DEV/09). These findings mirror earlier studies showing that Nigeria's grid is overstretched, with transmission losses estimated at 15–20% (Usman et al., 2020). By contrast, the U.S. has invested significantly in smart grids and flexible markets, enabling higher renewable penetration despite challenges of intermittency (Sovacool et al., 2022).

4.4.2 Priority Infrastructure Upgrades

Respondents identified the most critical infrastructure upgrades for renewable energy expansion (Table 4.15).

Table 4.15: Infrastructure Priorities for Renewable Integration (N = 150)

Upgrade Type	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Smart Grid Systems	101	67.3%
Energy Storage Solutions	87	58.0%
Decentralized Mini-grids	82	54.7%
Modern Transmission Lines	76	50.7%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

Smart grids and storage solutions were the top priorities. An academic explained: *"Without smart grids, Nigeria cannot balance supply and demand for renewables"* (KII/NG/AC/05). A financier emphasized: *"Battery storage is critical to address intermittency and improve investor confidence"* (KII/NG/FIN/02). Comparative evidence shows that U.S. states like California have pioneered grid modernization, while Texas has integrated large-scale wind by investing in transmission corridors (Carley & Konisky, 2020). Nigeria's reliance on centralized and fragile infrastructure contrasts with these proactive models.

4.4.3 Successful Projects and Localized Integration

When asked whether successful renewable projects exist in their areas, 41% of respondents said *Yes*, 39% said *No*, and 20% were *Not Sure* (Table 4.16).

Table 4.16: Existence of Successful Renewable Projects (N = 150)

Response	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Yes	62	41.3%
No	59	39.3%
Not Sure	29	19.4%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

A community leader shared: *"Mini-grids developed in rural communities have succeeded where the central grid failed"* (KII/NG/CL/03). An investor highlighted donor-driven projects: *"World Bank and REA-supported mini-grids are some of the most successful, but scaling remains the challenge"* (KII/NG/INV/05).

These local examples demonstrate the potential of decentralized systems. Studies confirm that Nigeria's most sustainable renewable projects have been mini-grids and off-grid solar, often supported by development partners (Adebayo et al., 2023). In contrast, U.S. success stories focus on utility-scale projects supported by grid reliability, highlighting Nigeria's reliance on donor-funded pilots rather than systemic modernization.

Findings underscore that Nigeria's grid infrastructure remains a major bottleneck for renewable energy integration. Most respondents perceive the grid as unfit for large-scale renewables, with priority upgrades including smart grids, storage, and decentralized mini-grids. While some localized successes exist, particularly donor-supported mini-grids, these remain isolated rather than systemic.

Compared to the U.S., Nigeria's infrastructure deficit poses severe constraints on scaling renewables. The U.S. experience shows that grid modernization and storage investments are foundational for renewable growth. For Nigeria, bridging this gap will require massive investments in transmission, digitalization, and distributed generation, complemented by regulatory reforms that incentivize grid operators to prioritize renewable integration.

4.8 DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The findings of this study reveal significant differences in the policy and regulatory environments governing renewable energy integration in Nigeria and the United States. In the U.S., renewable energy deployment is strongly supported by long-standing policy instruments such as the Investment Tax Credit (ITC), Production Tax Credit (PTC), and state-level Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS). These policies provide financial certainty, investor confidence, and market stability factors that have accelerated large-scale solar and wind adoption. Robust regulatory bodies, consistent enforcement mechanisms, and coordinated federal–state frameworks further enhance the effectiveness of renewable energy initiatives. The study also found that decentralised energy governance in the U.S. has enabled state-level innovation, competitive energy markets, and technological advancement, resulting in a rapidly expanding clean energy sector.

The findings show that Nigeria's renewable energy integration remains constrained by systemic policy inconsistency, weak regulatory enforcement, and limited institutional coordination. Although policies such as the National Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Policy (NREEEP) and Electricity Act 2023 provide a strong foundation, implementation gaps persist due to bureaucratic overlap, insufficient investor incentives, and inadequate financing mechanisms. The study observed that infrastructural limitations such as an outdated grid and high transmission losses further undermine renewable energy uptake. Additionally, the private sector remains hesitant to invest due to unclear mandates and regulatory uncertainty. Overall, the comparative analysis demonstrates that Nigeria's renewable energy transition requires strengthened institutions, clearer regulatory frameworks, and stable policy environments, alongside lessons drawn from the U.S. model, to effectively accelerate clean energy integration.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study concludes that policy consistency, regulatory strength, and institutional coordination are central to effective renewable energy integration. The comparative analysis between Nigeria and the United States demonstrates that while both countries have established policy frameworks to support renewable energy, their effectiveness varies significantly. The U.S. experience shows that stable policy instruments, strong regulatory institutions, and decentralised governance structures promote investor confidence, technological innovation, and large-scale renewable energy deployment. In contrast, Nigeria's renewable energy transition is hindered by policy inconsistency, weak enforcement mechanisms, overlapping institutional mandates, and inadequate financial incentives. These challenges limit the country's ability to fully implement its renewable energy policies and achieve meaningful progress toward sustainable energy goals.

Based on these findings, the study recommends that Nigeria prioritise strengthening its regulatory and institutional frameworks to enhance coordination among key agencies and eliminate overlaps that create bottlenecks. The government should adopt long-term, stable renewable energy policies that encourage private-sector investment through clear incentives, reduced regulatory delays, and risk-mitigation mechanisms. Additionally, modernising grid infrastructure, investing in smart technologies, and supporting decentralised energy systems such as mini-grids and off-grid solar will improve renewable energy absorption and reliability. Nigeria can also benefit from adopting best practices from the U.S.,

including state-level renewable energy targets, tax incentives, and competitive procurement mechanisms. Finally, increased stakeholder engagement, capacity-building programs, and targeted funding for innovation and community-level energy initiatives will ensure a more inclusive and sustainable renewable energy transition.

REFERENCES

Abubakar, A., Mohammed, B., & Yusuf, I. (2023). *Institutional and regulatory constraints to renewable energy integration in Nigeria*. Journal of Energy Policy Studies, 15(2), 45–63.

Adebayo, T., Okonkwo, C., & Adeola, J. (2023). *Evaluating Nigeria's renewable energy policy frameworks: Implications for private investment*. Energy Governance Review, 12(4), 88–106.

Adenle, A. A. (2020). *Assessment of renewable energy technologies in Africa: Opportunities and challenges*. Energy Policy, 140, 111–118.

Akinwale, Y. O., & Osabuohien, E. (2021). *Renewable energy policy implementation and challenges in Nigeria*. Energy Policy, 149, 112–122.

Aldy, J. E. (2022). *The implications of the Inflation Reduction Act for U.S. climate policy*. Harvard Kennedy School Working Paper.

Baker, L., Newell, P., & Phillips, J. (2020). Governing energy transitions: The politics of low-carbon energy in developing countries. *Energy Research & Social Science, 68*, 101–118.

Bazilian, M., Nussbaumer, P., Haites, E., Levi, M., Howells, M., & Yumkella, K. K. (2012). *Understanding the scale of energy access in sub-Saharan Africa*. Energy Policy, 42, 615–621.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). *Using thematic analysis in psychology*. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.

Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Carley, S., & Konisky, D. M. (2020). *The justice and equity implications of the clean energy transition*. Nature Energy, 5, 569–577.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE.

Denzin, N. K. (2012). *Triangulation 2.0*. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 6(2), 80–88.

Dye, T. R. (2020). *Understanding public policy* (16th ed.). Pearson.

Eberhard, A., & Godinho, C. (2017). *A review of the South African energy regulatory framework*. World Bank Publications.

Eleri, E. O., & Ugwu, O. (2018). *Expanding renewable energy access in Nigeria: Scaling up mini-grids*. Nigerian Energy Journal, 4(1), 21–35.

Energy Commission of Nigeria (ECN). (2015). *National Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Policy (NREEEP)*.

Esser, F., & Vliegenthart, R. (2017). *Comparative research methods*. In J. Matthes (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of communication research methods*. Wiley.

Federal Government of Nigeria (FGN). (2023). *Electricity Act, 2023*.

Geels, F. W. (2019). *Socio-technical transitions to sustainability: A review of criticisms and elaborations*. Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions, 32, 1–12.

Hantrais, L. (2009). *International comparative research: Theory, methods and practice*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Hughes, L. (2017). *The challenges of renewable energy policy and electricity market reform in the United States*. Energy Policy, 102, 137–145.

Ibrahim, S., & Ndubuisi, O. (2023). *Indigenous innovations and the future of renewable energy in Nigeria*. Journal of Sustainable Technology and Development, 9(1), 55–72.

International Energy Agency (IEA). (2021). *World Energy Outlook 2021*.

International Energy Agency. (2023). *World energy outlook 2023*. IEA Publications.

International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). (2021). *Renewable capacity statistics 2021*.

International Renewable Energy Agency. (2022). *World energy transitions outlook 2022*. IRENA.

Jenkins, K., McCauley, D., Heffron, R., Stephan, H., & Rehner, R. (2016). *Energy justice: A conceptual review*. Energy Research & Social Science, 11, 174–182.

Krippendorff, K. (2019). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology* (4th ed.). SAGE.

Kumar, R. (2021). *Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners* (6th ed.). SAGE.

Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2015). *InterViews: Learning the craft of qualitative research interviewing* (3rd ed.). SAGE.

Lund, H., Østergaard, P. A., Connolly, D., & Mathiesen, B. V. (2021). Smart energy and electrification: The pathway to renewable energy integration. *Energy, 219*, 119–240.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). SAGE.

Newell, P. (2021). *Power shift: The global political economy of energy transitions*. Cambridge University Press.

Nwakuba, N. R., Uwaleke, P. C., & Nwosu, C. (2022). *Barriers to renewable energy deployment in Nigeria*. Energy Reports, 8, 112–122.

Ogunbiyi, K., Nwokolo, M., & Adeleke, A. (2024). *Infrastructural and financial challenges of renewable energy deployment in Nigeria*. African Journal of Power Systems, 18(1), 22–39.

Ogunleye, F., & Musa, S. (2022). *Gender dynamics in Nigeria's renewable energy sector: Access, participation, and inequality*. International Journal of Gender and Energy Studies, 6(3), 101–120.

Ohiare, S. (2015). *Expanding electricity access in Nigeria: A review of mini-grid solutions*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 52, 1198–1207.

Onuoha, F. C. (2021). *Institutional fragmentation and Nigeria's electricity governance crisis*. African Security Review, 30(3), 281–298.

Oyebanji, B., Okorie, N., & Ibeh, C. (2020). *Energy transition challenges and opportunities in Nigeria*. Energy Policy, 147, 111–130.

Oyedepo, S. O. (2022). *Sustainable energy development in Nigeria: Policy gaps and opportunities*. Renewable Energy, 187, 965–977.

Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (4th ed.). SAGE.

Pickvance, C. (2001). *Four varieties of comparative analysis*. Journal of Housing and the Built Environment, 16(1), 7–28.

Renewable Energy Policy Network (REN21). (2021). *Renewables Global Status Report*.

Sambo, A. S. (2019). *Challenges of sustainable energy development in Nigeria*. Nigerian Journal of Energy, 5(2), 34–48.

Sambo, A. S., Garba, B., Zarma, I. H., & Gaji, M. M. (2018). *Electricity generation and the present challenges in the Nigerian power sector*. Nigerian Journal of Renewable Energy, 3(1), 10–20.

Sarkodie, S. A., & Adams, S. (2020). Renewable energy policies and socio-economic development: A global perspective. *Science of the Total Environment, 728*, 138–156.

Silverman, D. (2016). *Qualitative research* (4th ed.). SAGE.

Sovacool, B. K. (2021). What are we doing here? Analyzing fifteen years of energy scholarship and proposing a social science research agenda. *Energy Research & Social Science, 75*, 101–119.

Sovacool, B. K., & Geels, F. W. (2020). Socio-technical transitions and energy transitions: Critical review and future directions. *Energy Research & Social Science, 70*, 101–159.

Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (2010). *Mixed methodology: Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches*. SAGE.

U.S. Department of Energy. (2022). *2022 renewable energy market report*. DOE Publications.

Umar, Y., & Okon, P. (2023). *Decentralised energy planning and rural electrification outcomes in Nigeria*. Journal of Rural Energy Development, 7(2), 74–93.

United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA). (2021). *World population prospects*.

United Nations Development Programme. (2023). *Nigeria energy transition support report*. UNDP.

Wiser, R., Rand, J., & Seel, J. (2021). *The role of federal policies in U.S. renewable energy expansion*. Energy Policy, 158, 1–15.

World Bank. (2020). *World Development Indicators*.

World Bank. (2022). *Nigeria development update: The continuing urgency of business unusual*.

Yamane, T. (1967). *Statistics: An introductory analysis* (2nd ed.). Harper & Row.

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE.