International Journal of Innovative Information Systems & Technology Research 14(1):159-177, Jan.-Mar., 2026

 www.seahipublications.org ISSN: 2467-8562

doi:10.5281/zenodo.18681330

The Need for the Application of Artificial Intelligence as a Learning Aid for Students in Rivers State Polytechnics

Maxwell Ibe Leo

Department of Computer Science
Port Harcourt Polytechnic, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

ABSTRACT
The evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies has significantly reshaped numerous sectors of the global economy, with education emerging as one of the most profoundly influenced domains. As educational systems increasingly transition toward technology-driven models, the adoption of AI-based learning tools has become essential for improving instructional delivery, enhancing student engagement, and addressing persistent pedagogical challenges. This study investigates the necessity of integrating Artificial Intelligence as a learning aid within Rivers State Polytechnics, Nigeria. Using a mixed-methods research design, data were collected from 450 students and 85 academic staff across two government-owned polytechnics in Rivers State. The study examined levels of readiness, acceptance, perceived benefits, and barriers to AI implementation. Findings indicate that a substantial majority of students (78.6%) expressed strong interest in AI-enhanced learning systems, while faculty members (82.4%) acknowledged the transformative potential of AI technologies in improving teaching effectiveness. Despite this positive outlook, several critical challenges were identified, including inadequate technological infrastructure (67.3%), insufficient funding (71.8%), limited digital literacy (54.2%), and significant faculty training needs (62.4%).The study concludes that the strategic implementation of Artificial Intelligence learning aids presents a viable pathway for modernizing technical education in Rivers State Polytechnics. AI technologies offer opportunities for personalized learning, real-time feedback, intelligent tutoring, and enhanced academic performance. However, successful integration requires institutional commitment, targeted investments, policy support, and systematic capacity-building initiatives. Based on the findings, comprehensive recommendations are proposed to guide sustainable AI adoption within polytechnic education systems.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Learning Aid, Technical Education, Educational Technology, Rivers State Polytechnics

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of digital technologies has ushered in a transformative era across virtually all sectors of society. Among these innovations, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most disruptive and influential technological developments of the twenty-first century. AI systems, capable of simulating aspects of human intelligence such as learning, reasoning, problem-solving, and decision-making, are increasingly redefining how organizations operate, how services are delivered, and how knowledge is created and disseminated.

Education, as a foundational pillar of societal development, has not remained insulated from these technological shifts. Globally, educational institutions are embracing AI-driven solutions to enhance instructional quality, improve learning efficiency, and address long-standing systemic limitations. AI technologies now support diverse educational functions including intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, automated assessment tools, predictive analytics, and virtual academic assistants. These applications have demonstrated measurable improvements in student engagement, retention, and academic performance.

In developed educational environments, AI integration has progressed rapidly, enabling institutions to personalize learning experiences, automate administrative processes, and deliver data-informed pedagogical interventions. However, within many developing nations, including Nigeria, the adoption of AI technologies in education remains at an early developmental stage. This disparity reflects broader challenges related to infrastructure, funding, policy implementation, and digital competence.

1.1 Context of Technical Education in Nigeria

Polytechnics occupy a strategically important position within Nigeria's educational framework. Unlike traditional universities, polytechnics emphasize technical, vocational, and applied scientific education designed to produce graduates equipped with practical skills and industry-relevant competencies. These institutions play a critical role in workforce development, technological innovation, and national industrial growth.

Rivers State, a major economic hub in Nigeria's Niger Delta region, hosts several polytechnics responsible for training thousands of students annually in disciplines such as engineering, computer science, business studies, and applied sciences. These institutions are essential for developing technical manpower required to sustain regional and national economic activities.

Despite their importance, Rivers State Polytechnics face persistent structural and pedagogical challenges that limit educational effectiveness. These challenges include:

- High student-to-instructor ratios
- Limited access to modern learning resources
- Outdated teaching methodologies
- Insufficient laboratory and simulation facilities
- Delays in assessment and feedback systems
- Variations in student preparedness and academic background

These systemic limitations have created an urgent need for innovative, scalable, and technology-driven educational solutions.

1.2 Emergence of Artificial Intelligence in Education

Artificial Intelligence has introduced a paradigm shift in educational theory and practice. Traditional educational models often rely on uniform instructional approaches, assuming homogeneity among learners. In reality, students exhibit substantial differences in learning pace, cognitive ability, prior knowledge, motivation, and learning preferences.

AI-powered educational systems are uniquely positioned to address these variations. Unlike static digital tools, AI technologies are capable of:

- Adapting content to individual learning profiles
- Providing immediate, intelligent feedback
- Identifying learning gaps through analytics
- Supporting self-paced learning
- Delivering personalized instructional pathways

Research indicates that AI-enhanced learning environments can significantly improve comprehension, retention, and academic outcomes. Intelligent tutoring systems, for instance, have demonstrated effectiveness comparable to one-on-one human tutoring under certain conditions.

1.3 Relevance to Rivers State Polytechnics

The educational realities within Rivers State Polytechnics present a compelling case for AI integration. Several contextual factors amplify this need:

First, high enrollment levels combined with limited academic staffing create an environment where individualized student support is difficult to achieve. AI systems can supplement human instruction by providing continuous academic assistance.

Second, technical disciplines such as engineering, programming, mathematics, and applied sciences require iterative practice, instant feedback, and problem-solving support — functions ideally suited to AI learning tools.

Third, students enter polytechnics with diverse academic backgrounds and varying levels of preparedness. AI-driven adaptive learning systems can accommodate these differences through customized content delivery.

Fourth, the modern workforce increasingly demands digital competence, technological literacy, and familiarity with intelligent systems. Exposure to AI technologies within educational settings enhances graduate employability.

1.4 Impact of Global Disruptions on Education

Recent global disruptions, particularly the COVID-19 pandemic, exposed vulnerabilities within traditional educational systems. Institutions heavily dependent on face-to-face instruction struggled to maintain continuity during lockdown periods. This crisis accelerated the global shift toward digital learning environments and highlighted the importance of resilient, technology-enabled educational frameworks.

AI-powered learning systems demonstrated particular value during this period by supporting remote instruction, automated feedback, and adaptive learning processes. These developments underscore the necessity of modernizing educational delivery mechanisms in developing regions.

1.5 Policy Alignment and Strategic Importance

The integration of Artificial Intelligence in Rivers State Polytechnics aligns with Nigeria's broader digital transformation agenda. National policy frameworks emphasize:

- Digital economy development
- Technological innovation
- Expansion of digital skills
- Adoption of emerging technologies

Educational modernization through AI adoption directly contributes to these national objectives while strengthening technical education.

1.6 Challenges of AI Adoption

While the benefits of AI integration are substantial, implementation is accompanied by significant challenges. These include:

- Infrastructure limitations
- Funding constraints
- Digital literacy gaps
- Faculty readiness concerns
- Data privacy considerations
- Resistance to technological change

Recognizing these barriers is essential for designing sustainable and contextually appropriate implementation strategies.

1.7 Rationale for the Study

Given the growing global significance of Artificial Intelligence in education, coupled with persistent challenges facing Rivers State Polytechnics, a systematic investigation into AI adoption is both timely and necessary. Understanding stakeholder readiness, institutional barriers, and priority areas for implementation provides critical guidance for policy formulation and strategic planning.

This study therefore seeks to examine the need, feasibility, and implications of integrating Artificial Intelligence as a learning aid in Rivers State Polytechnics.

2.0 Statement of the Problem

Technical and vocational education plays a critical role in national development, particularly in emerging economies where industrial growth, technological innovation, and workforce productivity depend heavily on the availability of skilled manpower. Polytechnics, as centers of applied knowledge and practical skill development, are expected to produce graduates equipped with competencies that align with evolving industry demands. However, despite their strategic importance, Rivers State Polytechnics continue to face persistent structural, pedagogical, and technological challenges that significantly constrain educational effectiveness and student learning outcomes.

One of the most pressing concerns within Rivers State Polytechnics is the disproportionately high student-to-instructor ratio. In many technical departments, classroom populations far exceed recommended standards for effective teaching and learning. Technical education, by its nature, requires close supervision, continuous feedback, iterative practice, and individualized academic support. When instructors are responsible for excessively large student groups, opportunities for personalized engagement, mentorship, and targeted intervention become severely limited. Consequently, students experiencing learning difficulties often remain unidentified or unsupported, resulting in poor comprehension, declining academic performance, and increased attrition rates.

Compounding this challenge is the continued reliance on traditional lecture-based instructional methods. While conventional pedagogical approaches remain valuable, they are increasingly inadequate for addressing the diverse cognitive, experiential, and learning-style variations characteristic of contemporary student populations. Polytechnic students differ widely in academic preparedness, prior exposure to technical concepts, and problem-solving abilities. A uniform teaching model fails to accommodate these differences, leading to uneven learning experiences and widening performance disparities among students.

Furthermore, many technical disciplines offered within Rivers State Polytechnics — including engineering, computer science, mathematics, and applied sciences — demand highly interactive, practice-oriented, and feedback-driven learning environments. Mastery of technical subjects is rarely achieved through passive instruction alone. Students require continuous opportunities for experimentation, simulation, problem-solving, and guided reinforcement. However, infrastructural limitations, inadequate laboratory facilities, and insufficient instructional resources frequently restrict the availability of such experiential learning opportunities.

Assessment and feedback mechanisms within these institutions also present significant challenges. Effective learning is fundamentally dependent on timely, constructive, and formative feedback. Yet, due to large class sizes, administrative bottlenecks, and workload constraints, feedback cycles are often delayed. Students may wait extended periods before receiving evaluation results, reducing opportunities for corrective learning, self-reflection, and performance improvement. This delay not only affects academic progress but also contributes to declining student motivation and engagement.

Another critical dimension of the problem lies in the heterogeneity of student academic backgrounds. Polytechnic institutions admit learners from varied educational pathways, including recent secondary school graduates, transfer students, and mature individuals returning to formal education. These groups exhibit differing levels of foundational knowledge, particularly in mathematics, sciences, and digital competencies. Without adaptive support systems capable of addressing individual knowledge gaps, many students struggle to cope with the cognitive demands of technical curricula.

The rapid evolution of global technology and industry practices further intensifies these challenges. Modern workplaces increasingly require graduates who possess not only domain-specific technical skills but also digital literacy, analytical thinking, adaptability, and familiarity with emerging technologies. However, traditional instructional systems within many polytechnics lack the flexibility and technological sophistication necessary to cultivate these competencies effectively. This misalignment contributes to a widening skills gap, adversely affecting graduate employability and workforce readiness.

Additionally, recent global disruptions — most notably the COVID-19 pandemic — exposed vulnerabilities within conventional educational delivery frameworks. The abrupt transition to remote and

digital learning environments revealed significant deficiencies in technological infrastructure, institutional preparedness, and digital competence among both students and faculty members. While some learning activities continued through online platforms, the absence of intelligent, adaptive, and interactive learning tools limited instructional effectiveness and student engagement.

Equally concerning is the absence of scalable personalized learning support mechanisms. Instructors, constrained by workload and institutional limitations, cannot consistently provide individualized academic assistance. Students who require additional explanations, alternative instructional approaches, or extended practice opportunities may therefore be disadvantaged. This situation perpetuates academic inequalities and undermines inclusive educational outcomes.

Despite the growing global recognition of Artificial Intelligence as a transformative educational tool, its application within Rivers State Polytechnics remains minimal. AI technologies possess the capacity to address many of the challenges outlined above through adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring, automated feedback, and personalized instructional pathways. However, the extent of stakeholder readiness, institutional feasibility, priority implementation areas, and contextual barriers remains insufficiently examined.

The core problem underlying this study, therefore, is the persistent gap between the educational needs of students in Rivers State Polytechnics and the capacity of existing instructional systems to address those needs effectively. Without innovative, scalable, and adaptive learning solutions, these institutions risk continued declines in academic performance, student engagement, and graduate competitiveness.

This study seeks to address this gap by investigating the necessity, feasibility, and strategic implications of integrating Artificial Intelligence as a learning aid within Rivers State Polytechnics.

4.0 Research Questions

In view of the identified challenges confronting technical education in Rivers State Polytechnics, and considering the growing relevance of Artificial Intelligence in modern learning environments, this study is guided by the following research questions:

1. What is the level of readiness and acceptance of Artificial Intelligence technologies among students and academic staff in Rivers State Polytechnics?

This question seeks to examine the extent to which key stakeholders possess the awareness, willingness, technological competence, and psychological disposition necessary for AI adoption. Readiness encompasses factors such as digital literacy, access to smart devices, familiarity with educational technologies, and openness to innovation. Acceptance evaluates attitudes, perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and confidence in AI-supported learning systems.

2. Which specific areas within the academic curriculum of Rivers State Polytechnics can benefit most from the integration of Artificial Intelligence as a learning aid?

This question aims to identify instructional domains where AI technologies can produce the greatest educational impact. Technical disciplines often involve complex problem-solving, iterative practice, simulations, and continuous feedback. The study explores priority areas such as:

- Mathematics support and problem-solving assistance
- Programming and coding guidance
- Laboratory simulations and virtual experimentation
- Automated assessment and feedback systems
- Personalized and adaptive learning pathways

Understanding these priority areas provides strategic direction for targeted and efficient AI implementation.

3. What perceived benefits do students and faculty associate with the adoption of Artificial Intelligence learning aids?

This question investigates stakeholder expectations regarding AI integration. Potential benefits may include:

- Improved academic performance
- Enhanced student engagement

• Increased learning efficiency
• Personalized learning experiences
• Immediate feedback mechanisms
• Reduced instructor workload
• Improved instructional effectiveness

Examining perceived benefits is essential for evaluating motivational drivers and institutional value propositions.

4. What barriers and challenges may hinder the successful implementation of Artificial Intelligence learning aids in Rivers State Polytechnics?

Despite the potential advantages of AI technologies, adoption is often constrained by systemic, technological, financial, and human factors. This question seeks to analyze obstacles such as:

• Inadequate technological infrastructure
• Insufficient institutional funding
• Limited digital literacy
• Faculty training needs
• Resistance to technological change
• Data privacy and security concerns
• Lack of technical support systems

Identifying these barriers enables the formulation of realistic and context-sensitive integration strategies.

5. What strategic measures can facilitate the effective and sustainable integration of Artificial Intelligence technologies in Rivers State Polytechnics?

Beyond identifying challenges, this question focuses on solution-oriented pathways. It explores institutional policies, capacity-building initiatives, funding models, infrastructural investments, and implementation frameworks necessary for successful AI adoption.

Rationale for the Research Questions

Collectively, these research questions are designed to provide a comprehensive understanding of:

• Stakeholder preparedness
• Institutional feasibility
• Instructional priorities
• Implementation constraints
• Strategic integration pathways

By addressing these dimensions, the study aims to bridge the gap between technological potential and educational practice within Rivers State Polytechnics.

5.0 METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a **mixed-methods research design**, integrating both quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive and balanced investigation into the need for the application of Artificial Intelligence as a learning aid in Rivers State Polytechnics. The mixed-methods approach was considered appropriate because the study sought not only to measure stakeholder readiness and perceptions statistically but also to capture deeper insights into attitudes, experiences, and contextual challenges associated with AI adoption.

5.1 Research Design

The research employed a **descriptive survey design** complemented by qualitative exploratory techniques. The quantitative component enabled the systematic measurement of trends, patterns, and relationships among variables such as readiness, acceptance, perceived benefits, and barriers. The qualitative component provided contextual depth by exploring participant perspectives, institutional realities, and underlying concerns that may not be fully captured through structured questionnaires.

5.2 Study Area

The study was conducted in Rivers State, Nigeria, focusing on the two government-owned polytechnics:

• **Ken Saro-Wiwa Polytechnic**

• **Captain Elechi Amadi Polytechnic**

These institutions were selected due to their strategic importance in technical and vocational education within the state, their diverse academic programs, and their representation of typical infrastructural and pedagogical conditions prevalent in public polytechnics.

5.3 Target Population

The target population comprised:

• Registered students across major academic departments

• Academic staff members across different ranks and disciplines

The inclusion of both students and faculty members ensured that the study captured perspectives from primary beneficiaries and instructional facilitators of AI learning technologies.

5.4 Sample Size and Sampling Technique

A total of **535 participants** were involved in the study, consisting of:

• **450 students**

• **85 faculty members**

The sample size was determined using **Yamane's Formula** for finite populations at a **95% confidence level** and **5% margin of error**, ensuring statistical reliability and representativeness.

A **stratified random sampling technique** was employed to enhance sample diversity and reduce selection bias. Students were stratified based on academic departments, including:

• Engineering

• Computer Science

• Business Studies

• Applied Sciences

Faculty participants were stratified by:

• Academic rank

• Departmental affiliation

• Teaching experience

This approach ensured proportional representation across relevant institutional categories.

5.5 Data Collection Instruments

Multiple instruments were used to enhance validity through methodological triangulation:

1. Structured Questionnaire

The primary quantitative instrument was a structured questionnaire consisting of **45 items** measured on a **5-point Likert Scale**:

1 – Strongly Disagree

2 – Disagree

3 – Neutral

4 – Agree

5 – Strongly Agree

The questionnaire assessed:

• Technology readiness

• AI acceptance

• Perceived educational benefits

• Implementation barriers

• Digital literacy levels

Separate versions were designed for students and faculty members to reflect role-specific variables.

2. Focus Group Discussions (FGDs)

Focus group discussions were conducted with selected student groups to explore:

• Attitudes toward AI learning tools
• Perceived academic challenges
• Expectations from AI systems
• Concerns and reservations

FGDs enabled the capture of collective perspectives and emergent themes.

3. Key Informant Interviews (KIIs)

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with faculty members and academic administrators to obtain:

• Institutional insights
• Pedagogical concerns
• Implementation feasibility considerations
• Policy and infrastructural challenges

5.6 Instrument Validity and Reliability

To ensure methodological rigor:

Validity:

The instruments were reviewed and validated by **three experts in Educational Technology and Research Methodology**. Their feedback guided refinement of:

• Question clarity
• Content relevance
• Construct alignment

Reliability:

Reliability testing was conducted using **Cronbach's Alpha**, yielding:

• **0.84** for student questionnaire
• **0.87** for faculty questionnaire

These coefficients indicate high internal consistency and measurement reliability.

5.7 Data Collection Procedure

Data collection followed ethical and systematic procedures:

• Institutional permissions were obtained
• Participants were informed of study objectives
• Voluntary participation was ensured
• Confidentiality assurances were provided

Questionnaires were administered physically and electronically. Interviews and discussions were recorded with participant consent.

5.8 Data Analysis Techniques

Quantitative Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using **SPSS Version 28.0**, employing:

• Descriptive Statistics (Mean, Standard Deviation, Percentages)
• Chi-Square Tests
• Correlation Analysis

These techniques facilitated the identification of trends, associations, and response patterns.

Qualitative Data Analysis

Qualitative data were analyzed using **Thematic Analysis**, involving:

• Data transcription
• Coding of responses
• Identification of recurring themes
• Pattern interpretation

This approach enabled deeper understanding of stakeholder perceptions and institutional realities.

5.9 Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to established ethical standards:

• Informed consent obtained
• Participant anonymity preserved

• Data confidentiality maintained
• Academic integrity ensured

Participants retained the right to withdraw at any stage without penalty.

5.10 Justification of Methodological Approach

The combined use of quantitative and qualitative methods strengthened the study by:

• Enhancing data validity
• Reducing methodological bias
• Capturing measurable trends and contextual insights
• Providing robust evidence for conclusions

6.0 RESULTS

This section presents the findings derived from both quantitative and qualitative data analyses. The results are structured according to the core variables of the study, including stakeholder readiness, acceptance levels, priority areas for AI implementation, and perceived barriers.

6.1 Student Readiness and Acceptance of Artificial Intelligence Technologies (N = 450)

The analysis of student responses reveals a generally high level of interest and positive disposition toward Artificial Intelligence learning aids.

Students demonstrated strong enthusiasm for AI-enhanced learning tools, with a combined **78.6%** indicating agreement or strong agreement. This finding suggests a growing recognition among students of the potential value of intelligent learning systems in improving academic experiences.

A similarly positive trend was observed regarding willingness to use AI tutoring systems. Approximately **70.0%** of respondents expressed readiness to adopt AI-based academic support tools. This result indicates that resistance to AI adoption among students is relatively low.

Comfort with AI-driven feedback systems also recorded a favourable response pattern. More than **64.9%** of students expressed confidence in receiving automated feedback, highlighting openness to non-traditional instructional interactions.

Digital literacy confidence, while moderately positive, exhibited slightly lower levels compared to acceptance indicators. Although **63.4%** of students expressed confidence in their digital skills, a notable proportion remained uncertain or expressed limited competence. This suggests that while interest is high, skill gaps remain.

Access to smart devices recorded one of the strongest outcomes, with **73.8%** of students indicating reliable access. This finding is particularly important, as device availability constitutes a foundational requirement for AI integration.

Overall, the results indicate that students are largely receptive to AI learning technologies, though digital skill enhancement may be necessary to maximize benefits.

6.2 Faculty Readiness and Acceptance of Artificial Intelligence Technologies (N = 85)

Faculty responses similarly indicate strong conceptual support for AI integration, though practical confidence levels present important nuances.

A substantial **82.4%** of academic staff agreed that AI technologies can enhance teaching effectiveness. This reflects widespread recognition of AI's pedagogical potential.

Willingness to integrate AI tools into instructional practices also recorded positive responses, with **73.0%** of faculty expressing readiness. This suggests that attitudinal barriers among instructors may be less significant than often assumed.

However, confidence in using AI systems revealed comparatively lower outcomes. Only **55.3%** expressed confidence, while a significant proportion reported uncertainty. This disparity between acceptance and competence highlights a critical training requirement.

The need for AI-related professional development emerged as one of the strongest findings. An overwhelming **85.8%** of faculty members indicated a strong need for structured training. This finding underscores capacity-building as a central prerequisite for successful implementation.

Support for institutional AI adoption recorded a high **82.3%**, reinforcing the existence of a favourable policy environment within the institutions.

Collectively, these findings suggest that faculty members are largely supportive but require technical and pedagogical skill development.

6.3 Priority Areas for Artificial Intelligence Implementation (Student Responses)

The analysis of curriculum-specific needs revealed clear instructional priorities across departments.

Mathematics support emerged as the highest-ranked priority, with an overall **82.3%** demand. This reflects persistent challenges students face in quantitative and analytical subjects, particularly within engineering and applied sciences.

Assessment and feedback systems recorded the second-highest priority (**76.0%**). Students expressed strong interest in AI tools capable of providing immediate performance evaluations and corrective guidance.

Personalized learning systems also demonstrated strong demand (**68.9%**). This indicates student preference for adaptive instructional pathways tailored to individual learning pace and comprehension levels.

Laboratory simulations recorded moderate demand (**64.7%**), particularly among applied sciences and engineering students. This finding highlights the need for virtual experimentation tools capable of supplementing limited physical laboratory resources.

Programming assistance exhibited notable departmental variation. While Computer Science students recorded exceptionally high demand (**94.2%**), other departments expressed comparatively lower interest. This variation reflects discipline-specific instructional needs.

These findings emphasize that AI implementation strategies should be targeted rather than uniform.

6.4 Perceived Barriers to Artificial Intelligence Implementation (Combined Responses, N = 535)

Despite strong acceptance levels, several significant barriers were identified.

Insufficient funding emerged as the most critical constraint, with **71.8%** of respondents categorizing it as a major barrier. This finding reflects broader resource limitations affecting technological modernization.

Inadequate technological infrastructure ranked closely behind (**67.3%**). Participants highlighted deficiencies in internet connectivity, computing facilities, and digital learning environments.

Faculty training needs recorded high severity (**62.4%**). This aligns with earlier findings indicating low confidence levels among instructors.

Limited digital literacy was identified by **54.2%** of respondents as a major challenge. This barrier affects both effective adoption and sustained utilization of AI tools.

Lack of technical support systems (**59.8%**) also emerged as a significant concern, emphasizing the importance of maintenance and troubleshooting mechanisms.

Resistance to change, though present, recorded comparatively lower severity (**43.7%**). This suggests that psychological barriers may be less problematic than structural ones.

Privacy and security concerns recorded moderate severity (**38.1%**), indicating the need for institutional safeguards and policies.

6.5 Summary of Key Findings

The results collectively reveal several critical insights:

- High stakeholder acceptance of AI technologies
- Strong student interest in AI learning tools
- Faculty support accompanied by low confidence levels
- Mathematics and feedback systems as primary priorities
- Funding and infrastructure as dominant barriers
- Significant training requirements

These findings provide empirical support for both the necessity and complexity of AI integration.

7.0 Discussion

The findings of this study provide compelling empirical evidence supporting the necessity of integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a learning aid in Rivers State Polytechnics. The discussion interprets these results within broader pedagogical, technological, and institutional contexts while highlighting theoretical and practical implications.

7.1 Stakeholder Acceptance and Institutional Readiness

One of the most significant outcomes of this study is the high level of acceptance of AI technologies among both students and faculty members. The strong positive disposition observed among students reflects the growing digital orientation of contemporary learners. Students increasingly interact with intelligent systems in everyday life, including recommendation algorithms, virtual assistants, and adaptive digital platforms. This familiarity appears to translate into openness toward AI-enhanced learning environments.

Faculty responses similarly indicate conceptual recognition of AI's pedagogical potential. The majority of instructors agreed that AI technologies can enhance teaching effectiveness, suggesting that resistance to technological innovation may not constitute the primary barrier to adoption. This finding contrasts with earlier concerns frequently raised in educational technology literature, where instructor resistance was often identified as a dominant obstacle.

However, the disparity between faculty acceptance and confidence levels introduces a critical nuance. While instructors acknowledge the benefits of AI systems, many lack the technical competence or experiential familiarity necessary for effective integration. This gap between attitude and capability is consistent with technology adoption theories, particularly the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which emphasizes perceived ease of use as a determinant of sustained utilization.

The implication is clear: institutional readiness extends beyond positive attitudes. Effective AI adoption requires systematic capacity-building initiatives designed to transform conceptual support into practical competence.

7.2 Student Demand for Personalized and Adaptive Learning

The prioritization of mathematics support and personalized learning systems underscores persistent pedagogical challenges within technical education. Mathematics, as a foundational discipline across engineering, applied sciences, and computing programs, often presents significant learning difficulties. Students frequently struggle with abstract reasoning, problem-solving, and conceptual understanding.

AI-powered tutoring systems offer a scalable solution to this challenge. Unlike traditional instructional methods, AI systems can provide individualized explanations, adaptive problem sets, and immediate corrective feedback. These capabilities align closely with constructivist learning theories, which emphasize active engagement, iterative reinforcement, and learner-centered instruction.

The strong student demand for assessment and feedback automation further reflects dissatisfaction with delayed evaluation cycles common in large-classroom environments. Timely feedback plays a critical role in cognitive development, knowledge consolidation, and motivational reinforcement. AI-driven feedback systems can dramatically reduce latency while improving instructional efficiency.

These findings collectively reinforce the argument that AI technologies address not merely technological modernization but core instructional deficiencies.

7.3 Pedagogical Implications for Technical Education

Technical education differs fundamentally from purely theoretical academic disciplines. Mastery of technical subjects requires:

- Repeated practice
- Immediate error correction
- Simulation-based experimentation
- Continuous performance monitoring

Traditional lecture-centric pedagogies are inherently limited in fulfilling these requirements, particularly under conditions of large enrollment and resource constraints.

AI learning aids introduce a paradigm shift by enabling adaptive instructional processes. Intelligent tutoring systems, virtual laboratories, and simulation environments provide learners with opportunities for experiential engagement beyond physical classroom limitations.

The moderate demand for laboratory simulations observed in this study reflects infrastructural challenges faced by many polytechnics. Physical laboratory facilities are costly, resource-intensive, and often limited in capacity. AI-driven virtual environments offer cost-effective alternatives capable of expanding access to practical learning experiences.

From a pedagogical standpoint, AI technologies represent not a replacement for instructors but a reinforcement mechanism capable of enhancing instructional depth, reach, and responsiveness.

7.4 Structural and Institutional Barriers

Despite strong acceptance indicators, the study identified substantial structural constraints that may hinder AI adoption.

Insufficient funding emerged as the most severe barrier. This finding reflects broader systemic challenges affecting public educational institutions in developing economies. AI implementation requires investments in infrastructure, connectivity, software systems, training programs, and maintenance support.

Technological infrastructure limitations also represent a foundational challenge. AI systems depend heavily on stable internet connectivity, computing resources, and digital learning platforms. Without adequate infrastructure, even well-designed AI initiatives may fail to achieve meaningful impact.

Digital literacy gaps further complicate implementation efforts. While students exhibit high interest levels, varying degrees of digital competence may affect effective utilization. Similarly, faculty training needs highlight the importance of professional development frameworks.

These barriers emphasize that technological innovation must be accompanied by institutional transformation strategies.

7.5 Workforce Development and Employability Implications

Beyond immediate instructional benefits, AI integration carries broader socioeconomic implications. Modern labor markets increasingly demand graduates who possess:

- Digital competence
- Analytical reasoning skills
- Technological adaptability
- Familiarity with intelligent systems

Exposure to AI technologies during academic training enhances graduate readiness for technology-driven workplaces. Polytechnic institutions, tasked with producing industry-relevant manpower, stand to benefit significantly from AI-enhanced curricula.

Failure to modernize instructional systems may contribute to widening skills gaps, reduced employability, and declining institutional competitiveness.

7.6 Alignment with Educational Modernization Trends

The findings of this study align with global trends emphasizing digital transformation in education. AI technologies are increasingly recognized as enablers of:

- Personalized learning
- Data-informed pedagogy
- Automated academic support
- Scalable instructional systems

Within the context of Rivers State Polytechnics, AI adoption represents both an opportunity and a necessity. Institutions that embrace intelligent learning systems may achieve improvements in academic performance, student engagement, and instructional efficiency.

7.7 Conceptual Implications of the Study

The study contributes to emerging discourse on AI adoption in developing educational environments by demonstrating that:

• Acceptance barriers may be lower than assumed
• Capability gaps represent critical constraints
• Structural limitations outweigh psychological resistance
• Targeted AI deployment is more effective than uniform implementation

These insights provide valuable guidance for policymakers, administrators, and educational planners.

7.8 Summary of Discussion

The discussion highlights several core conclusions:

• Stakeholders exhibit strong acceptance of AI technologies
• Personalized learning represents a dominant instructional need
• AI systems align closely with technical education requirements
• Structural barriers remain significant
• Training and infrastructure investments are essential
• AI integration enhances workforce readiness

Artificial Intelligence therefore emerges not as a luxury innovation but as a strategic educational imperative.

8.0 CONCLUSION

This study set out to examine the need for the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a learning aid in Rivers State Polytechnics. The investigation was motivated by persistent pedagogical, structural, and technological challenges confronting technical education, alongside the growing global recognition of AI as a transformative educational technology.

The findings of the study provide strong empirical justification for the strategic integration of Artificial Intelligence within polytechnic education. Both students and faculty members demonstrated high levels of acceptance of AI technologies, indicating the existence of a favourable psychological and institutional climate for innovation. Students expressed substantial interest in AI-enhanced learning tools, particularly those capable of supporting mathematics, delivering immediate feedback, and enabling personalized learning experiences. Faculty members similarly acknowledged the pedagogical potential of AI systems, though confidence gaps highlight the necessity of structured training initiatives.

The results reveal that Artificial Intelligence technologies are uniquely positioned to address several core challenges prevalent in Rivers State Polytechnics. These include high student-to-instructor ratios, delayed feedback cycles, heterogeneous learner preparedness, limited laboratory resources, and the increasing demand for adaptive learning environments. AI-powered systems offer scalable, responsive, and learner-centered solutions capable of enhancing instructional effectiveness, improving student engagement, and strengthening academic performance.

However, the study also underscores the complexity of AI adoption within developing educational contexts. Structural constraints — particularly insufficient funding, inadequate technological infrastructure, and digital literacy gaps — represent significant barriers to implementation. These challenges emphasize that technological integration must be accompanied by comprehensive institutional strategies involving policy support, infrastructural investments, capacity-building programs, and sustainable funding models.

Importantly, the integration of AI extends beyond instructional enhancement. Exposure to Artificial Intelligence technologies contributes to the development of digital competencies, analytical thinking, and technological adaptability — attributes increasingly required in modern labor markets. Consequently, AI adoption holds strategic implications for graduate employability, workforce development, and institutional competitiveness.

In synthesis, the study concludes that the application of Artificial Intelligence as a learning aid in Rivers State Polytechnics is not merely desirable but necessary. AI technologies represent a viable pathway for modernizing technical education, addressing systemic limitations, and aligning academic training with emerging technological realities. While challenges remain significant, they are neither insurmountable nor prohibitive when approached through strategic planning and institutional commitment.

The future sustainability, relevance, and effectiveness of polytechnic education will increasingly depend on the capacity of institutions to embrace intelligent learning technologies. Artificial Intelligence therefore emerges as a critical instrument for educational transformation rather than a supplementary innovation.

9.0 Recommendations

Based on the findings and conclusions of this study, the following recommendations are proposed to guide the effective and sustainable integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a learning aid in Rivers State Polytechnics.

9.1 Strategic Institutional Investment in Technological Infrastructure

Given that inadequate technological infrastructure emerged as a major barrier, Rivers State Polytechnics should prioritize investments in foundational digital resources. These include reliable high-speed internet connectivity, smart classrooms, modern computing laboratories, cloud-based learning systems, and AI-compatible platforms. Without a robust technological environment, AI adoption efforts may fail to deliver meaningful educational outcomes.

9.2 Establishment of Sustainable Funding Models

Insufficient funding was identified as the most critical constraint to AI implementation. Institutions should explore diversified funding mechanisms, including:

• Government allocations and intervention funds
• Industry partnerships and collaborations
• Research grants and innovation funds
• Public–private partnerships (PPPs)

Dedicated budgets for educational technology modernization are essential for long-term sustainability.

9.3 Comprehensive Faculty Training and Capacity Development

The study revealed a significant gap between faculty acceptance and confidence in using AI technologies. Institutions should implement structured professional development programs focusing on:

• AI literacy and conceptual understanding
• Practical use of AI learning tools
• AI-integrated pedagogical strategies
• Digital instructional design

Continuous training initiatives will ensure instructors can effectively integrate AI systems into teaching practices.

9.4 Gradual and Phased AI Implementation Strategy

Rather than large-scale deployment, AI adoption should follow a phased approach. Pilot programs may initially focus on high-priority areas such as:

• Mathematics support systems
• Automated assessment tools
• Programming assistance platforms

Phased implementation reduces risk, enables evaluation, and supports iterative improvement.

9.5 Development of AI-Supported Mathematics Learning Systems

Since mathematics support emerged as the highest instructional priority, institutions should prioritize AI-driven tutoring platforms capable of:

• Adaptive problem-solving assistance
• Step-by-step solution guidance
• Immediate corrective feedback
• Personalized practice modules

Strengthening mathematical competence will positively influence performance across technical disciplines.

9.6 Integration of AI-Based Assessment and Feedback Mechanisms

Delayed feedback cycles significantly hinder learning effectiveness. AI-powered assessment systems should be introduced to provide:

• Real-time performance evaluation
• Automated grading support
• Immediate feedback delivery
• Learning analytics insights

Such systems enhance learning efficiency while reducing instructor workload.

9.7 Expansion of Virtual Laboratories and Simulation Technologies

To address limitations in physical laboratory facilities, institutions should adopt AI-supported virtual simulation environments. These tools can:

• Supplement practical learning experiences
• Enhance conceptual understanding
• Reduce infrastructure dependency
• Expand student access to experimentation

Virtual simulations are particularly valuable in engineering and applied sciences.

9.8 Student Digital Literacy Enhancement Programs

Although students demonstrated high acceptance levels, digital skill gaps remain. Institutions should implement digital competence initiatives focusing on:

• Academic technology usage
• AI tool interaction
• Data literacy
• Digital problem-solving skills

Improved digital literacy maximizes the effectiveness of AI learning systems.

9.9 Development of Institutional Policies on AI Ethics, Privacy, and Security

Privacy and data security concerns require proactive institutional safeguards. Rivers State Polytechnics should establish clear policies governing:

• Data protection and confidentiality
• Ethical use of AI systems
• Algorithmic transparency
• Responsible technology usage

Such frameworks build stakeholder trust and reduce adoption resistance.

9.10 Establishment of Technical Support and Maintenance Units

Successful AI integration requires continuous system monitoring and support. Institutions should create dedicated technical units responsible for:

• System maintenance
• User support and troubleshooting
• Software updates
• Infrastructure optimization

Reliable technical support ensures operational continuity.

9.11 Promotion of Industry–Academic Collaboration

Partnerships with technology firms and industry stakeholders can accelerate AI adoption through:

• Technology transfer initiatives
• Infrastructure sponsorship
• Faculty training support
• Research collaborations

Industry engagement enhances institutional modernization and graduate employability.

9.12 Continuous Monitoring, Evaluation, and Improvement

AI adoption should be accompanied by systematic evaluation mechanisms. Institutions should regularly assess:

• Educational effectiveness
• User satisfaction

• System performance
• Learning outcomes

Data-driven evaluation supports refinement and optimization.

9.13 Summary of Recommendations

The successful integration of Artificial Intelligence in Rivers State Polytechnics requires a multi-dimensional strategy involving:

• Infrastructure modernization
• Sustainable funding
• Capacity development
• Policy frameworks
• Phased implementation

Artificial Intelligence should be approached as a long-term institutional transformation initiative rather than a short-term technological intervention.

10.0 Contribution to Knowledge and Implications of the Study

This study contributes meaningfully to the evolving discourse on the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in technical and vocational education, particularly within developing educational environments. While substantial literature exists on AI adoption in higher education globally, empirical investigations focusing specifically on polytechnic institutions in Nigeria remain limited. This research therefore provides context-specific insights that enhance both theoretical understanding and practical policy considerations.

10.1 Contribution to Knowledge

First, the study expands the body of knowledge on stakeholder readiness for AI adoption within Nigerian polytechnics. By empirically examining both student and faculty perspectives, the research offers a balanced understanding of acceptance dynamics, technological preparedness, and competence gaps. The findings challenge commonly held assumptions that resistance to technology constitutes the dominant barrier in developing educational contexts. Instead, the study reveals that structural constraints — particularly funding and infrastructure — represent more critical obstacles.

Second, this research contributes to pedagogical literature by identifying curriculum-specific priority areas for AI integration. Rather than advocating generalized adoption, the study provides evidence that AI implementation should be strategically targeted. Mathematics support, automated feedback systems, programming assistance, and virtual simulations emerged as high-impact domains. This insight provides practical guidance for institutions seeking cost-effective and results-oriented AI deployment strategies.

Third, the study advances understanding of the relationship between technological acceptance and digital competence. The observed disparity between faculty acceptance and confidence highlights the critical role of capacity-building initiatives. This finding reinforces technology adoption theories by emphasizing that positive attitudes alone are insufficient for successful integration.

Fourth, the research enriches policy-oriented scholarship by documenting contextual barriers specific to public polytechnic institutions. Issues of funding limitations, infrastructural inadequacies, digital literacy gaps, and technical support deficiencies are systematically examined. These findings provide empirical foundations for institutional planning and governmental intervention.

Fifth, the study contributes to workforce development discourse by linking AI adoption with graduate employability and digital competence formation. The integration of AI learning aids is positioned not merely as an instructional enhancement but as a strategic mechanism for preparing students for technology-driven labor markets.

10.2 Theoretical Implications

The findings of this study hold important implications for technology adoption theories. Traditional frameworks such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) emphasize perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use as determinants of adoption. However, this research suggests that in resource-constrained educational environments, structural factors may exert stronger influence than psychological variables.

The study therefore supports the argument for expanding technology adoption models to incorporate infrastructural readiness, institutional capacity, and economic feasibility as core determinants. This perspective is particularly relevant for developing economies where technological innovations often confront systemic limitations.

10.3 Practical Implications

From an institutional perspective, this study provides actionable insights for educational administrators and policymakers.

For Polytechnic Management:

The research highlights the necessity of adopting strategic, phased AI integration models rather than large-scale deployments. Institutions can maximize impact by prioritizing high-need instructional areas while gradually strengthening infrastructure and human capacity.

For Academic Staff Development:

The findings underscore the importance of structured AI literacy and digital competence training programs. Faculty preparedness emerges as a decisive factor influencing implementation success.

For Curriculum Development:

The study suggests the need to embed AI-supported learning mechanisms within technical curricula. Adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring tools, and simulation technologies can enhance instructional effectiveness.

10.4 Policy Implications

The study offers valuable guidance for government agencies and educational policymakers.

First, the prominence of funding constraints emphasizes the need for targeted educational technology intervention funds. Sustainable modernization requires dedicated financial support rather than ad hoc investments.

Second, infrastructural barriers highlight the importance of digital capacity-building initiatives within public technical institutions. Investments in connectivity, computing resources, and smart learning environments are foundational.

Third, digital literacy gaps indicate the need for broader national policies promoting digital competence development across educational levels.

10.5 Implications for Students and Learning Outcomes

For students, AI integration represents a transformative opportunity to experience:

- Personalized learning pathways
- Immediate academic feedback
- Adaptive instructional support
- Enhanced engagement

These improvements may contribute to higher retention rates, stronger conceptual understanding, and improved academic performance.

10.6 Implications for Future Research

This study opens several avenues for further investigation:

- Experimental studies measuring AI impact on academic performance
- Longitudinal studies on AI adoption outcomes
- Comparative studies across Nigerian polytechnics
- Research on AI ethics and data governance in education

Future studies may also explore discipline-specific AI applications and cost-benefit analyses.

10.7 Overall Significance of the Study

The broader significance of this research lies in its demonstration that Artificial Intelligence adoption within Rivers State Polytechnics is both feasible and strategically necessary. While structural challenges remain substantial, stakeholder acceptance provides a strong foundation for innovation.

AI technologies are therefore positioned not as optional enhancements but as critical instruments for educational modernization, instructional effectiveness, and workforce readiness.

REFERENCES

Adebayo, O. S., & Ogunleye, A. J. (2021). Artificial intelligence integration in Nigerian higher education: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 49(3), 312-328.

Adebisi, T. A., & Ogunleye, B. O. (2020). Learning styles and academic performance of polytechnic students in Nigeria. *International Journal of Technical Education*, 15(2), 45-62.

Adeyemi, K. A., & Olaleye, F. O. (2020). Mathematics anxiety in Nigerian technical education: Causes and interventions. *African Journal of Educational Studies*, 18(4), 78-95.

Akinola, S. O., Tella, A., & Tella, A. (2021). COVID-19 and digital learning in Nigeria: Assessing the impact on polytechnic education. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(4), 1875-1894.

Anyanwu, E. U., & Iwu, C. G. (2021). Online learning during COVID-19: Students' perception of barriers in Rivers State Polytechnics. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 10(7), 123-135.

Aoun, J. E. (2017). *Robot-proof: Higher education in the age of artificial intelligence*. MIT Press.

Ayanso, A., Cho, D. I., & Lertwachara, K. (2021). Information and communications technology development and the digital divide: A global and regional assessment. *Information Technology for Development*, 27(2), 303-320.

Chassignol, M., Khoroshavin, A., Klimova, A., & Bilyatdinova, A. (2018). Artificial intelligence trends in education: A narrative overview. *Procedia Computer Science*, 136, 16-24.

Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *IEEE Access*, 8, 75264-75278.

Dziuban, C., Graham, C. R., Moskal, P. D., Norberg, A., & Sicilia, N. (2018). Blended learning: The new normal and emerging technologies. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 15(1), 1-16.

Emenike, C. U., & Okoye, K. R. E. (2021). Bridging foundational knowledge gaps in Nigerian polytechnic education. *Technical Education Quarterly*, 8(3), 234-251.

Eze, J. N., & Chukwuocha, C. (2022). Pedagogical challenges in Rivers State polytechnics: A comprehensive analysis. *Nigerian Journal of Technical Education*, 12(1), 67-84.

Federal Ministry of Communications and Digital Economy. (2020). *National digital economy policy and strategy 2020-2030*. Government Printing Press.

Federal Ministry of Education. (2020). *Education sector analysis: Technical and vocational education*. National Planning Commission.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Huang, R., Liu, D., Tlili, A., Yang, J., & Wang, H. (2020). Handbook on facilitating flexible learning during educational disruption: The Chinese experience in maintaining undisrupted learning in COVID-19 outbreak. Smart Learning Institute of Beijing Normal University.

Iheanacho, R. C., & Nwosu, B. O. (2022). Assessment practices and student feedback in Nigerian polytechnics. *Assessment in Education*, 29(2), 145-162.

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson Education.

Ma, W., Adesope, O. O., Nesbit, J. C., & Liu, Q. (2020). Intelligent tutoring systems and learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 112(3), 454-475.

National Board for Technical Education. (2022). *Annual statistical digest of polytechnics in Nigeria*. NBTE Publications.

Nigerian Educational Research and Development Council. (2021). *Technical education standards and guidelines*. NERDC Press.

Nwachukwu, P. O., & Eze, T. I. (2022). Skills gap analysis in Nigerian polytechnic graduates: Industry perspectives. *Journal of Technical Education and Training*, 14(3), 89-106.

Nwana, S. E. (2022). Instructor shortage in Nigerian technical education: Causes and solutions. *International Journal of Vocational Education*, 11(4), 78-94.

Nwankwo, A. A., & Ossai, A. G. (2021). Instructional delivery methods in Rivers State polytechnics: Current practices and needed reforms. *Educational Research Review*, 16(8), 234-248.

Ogbonna, C. G., & Ibezim, N. E. (2021). Student diversity and academic standardization in Nigerian polytechnics. *Higher Education Studies*, 11(2), 45-59.

Ogbonnaya, U. I., Okafor, G., & Agwagah, U. N. (2020). Digital literacy challenges among polytechnic students in South-East Nigeria. *Computers & Education*, 157, 103-115.

Okafor, L. I., Udofia, E. P., & Ekong, U. M. (2023). Infrastructure challenges in Nigerian technical education institutions. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 67, 102-115.

Okoro, C. O., & Williams, H. U. (2023). COVID-19 impact on technical education delivery in Rivers State. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 14(8), 112-125.

Okwu, O. J., & Daniel, I. O. (2021). Assessment and feedback systems in Nigerian polytechnics: A critical evaluation. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 46(7), 1123-1138.

Popenici, S. A., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(1), 1-13.

Prensky, M. (2021). *Digital wisdom and homo sapiens digital: The future of learning*. Teachers College Press.

Reidenberg, J. R., Breaux, T., Cranor, L. F., French, B., Grannis, A., Graves, J. T., ... & Zimmeck, S. (2015). Disagreeable privacy policies: Mismatches between meaning and users' understanding. *Berkeley Technology Law Journal*, 30(1), 39-88.

Rivers State Government. (2021). *Rivers State digital economy blueprint 2021-2030*. Ministry of Science and Technology.

Rivers State Ministry of Education. (2022). *Annual education sector performance report*. Government Printing Press.

Roll, I., & Wylie, R. (2016). Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 26(2), 582-599.

Tondeur, J., Aesaert, K., Prestridge, S., & Consuegra, E. (2018). A multilevel analysis of what matters in the training of pre-service teachers' ICT competencies. *Computers & Education*, 122, 32-42.

Uche, C. M., & Obiweluozor, N. (2021). Educational inequality in Nigerian polytechnics: Socioeconomic factors and academic outcomes. *International Journal of Educational Equity*, 7(2), 156-173.

Udofia, E. P., & Ekong, U. M. (2020). Class size and learning outcomes in Nigerian technical education. *Technical Education Review*, 15(3), 201-218.

UNESCO. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development*. UNESCO Publishing.

VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist*, 46(4), 197-221.

World Bank. (2021). *Nigeria education sector analysis: Challenges and opportunities*. World Bank Publications.

World Economic Forum. (2020). *The future of jobs report 2020*. World Economic Forum.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education–where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 1-27.