International Journal of Innovative Social Sciences & Humanities Research 14(2):117-124, April-June, 2026

© SEAHI PUBLICATIONS, 2026 www.seahipublications.org ISSN: 2354-2926

doi:10.5281/zenodo.19629903

Strategic Management Approaches in Nigeria's Counterterrorism Operations: A Review of Planning, Coordination, and Execution Frameworks

Dr. Adewale Oladipo Oyekanmi

Department of General Studies,
Faculty of Business and Management Studies,
Osun State College of Technology Esa-Oke, Nigeria
Email; oyekanmiao@oscotechesaoke.edu.ng
Phone number, 08034740816

ABSTRACT

This study examines the application of strategic management approaches in Nigeria's counterterrorism operations, with a particular focus on planning, coordination, and execution frameworks adopted by security agencies. The persistence of insurgency in the North-East, driven largely by Boko Haram and its splinter factions, has necessitated a shift from conventional military responses to more integrated and systematic strategies. Drawing on a review of existing literature, policy documents, and media reports, the study evaluates key operational approaches such as joint task force structures, intelligence-driven operations, multinational collaboration, and hybrid counterinsurgency strategies. The findings indicate that while Nigeria has made significant progress in reclaiming territories and weakening insurgent networks, challenges related to funding constraints, weak intelligence coordination, corruption, difficult terrain, and socio-economic vulnerabilities continue to undermine the effectiveness of these strategies. The study concludes that a sustainable counterterrorism framework in Nigeria requires a balanced integration of military and non-military approaches, strengthened institutional coordination, and improved resource management.

Keywords: Strategic management, counterterrorism, planning, coordination, execution, insurgency, Nigeria

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The persistence of insurgency in Nigeria's North-East has compelled the country's security architecture to rethink traditional military responses and adopt broader, more structured approaches rooted in strategic management. The activities of which escalated into a full-scale insurgency from 2009, have posed serious threats to national stability, territorial integrity, and socio-economic development. Beyond the immediate humanitarian consequences, the conflict has exposed institutional weaknesses in planning, coordination, and execution within Nigeria's security system. As a result, counterterrorism efforts have gradually evolved from ad hoc military reactions to more deliberate and system-oriented strategies designed to address both the immediate and underlying dimensions of the crisis.

Strategic management in the context of counterterrorism refers to the systematic process of setting objectives, formulating policies, allocating resources, and coordinating actions to achieve long-term security goals. In Nigeria, this has involved the development of operational frameworks, restructuring of command systems, and the integration of multiple security agencies into joint efforts. The complexity of the insurgency—characterized by asymmetric warfare, civilian infiltration, and cross-border operations—has made it necessary for the Nigerian Armed Forces and other security institutions to adopt flexible and

adaptive strategies. These include both kinetic operations, such as targeted military offensives, and non-kinetic measures, including intelligence gathering, community engagement, and deradicalization programmes.

Planning has emerged as a central element in Nigeria's counterterrorism strategy. Effective planning requires accurate threat assessment, anticipation of insurgent tactics, and alignment of military objectives with national security priorities. The Nigerian military has introduced structured operational plans and theatre commands aimed at improving preparedness and responsiveness. However, challenges such as inadequate data, shifting insurgent tactics, and logistical constraints have often complicated planning processes. These realities highlight the importance of integrating intelligence and risk assessment into strategic planning frameworks to enhance decision-making.

Coordination represents another critical dimension of strategic management in counterterrorism operations. Nigeria's response to insurgency involves multiple actors, including the military, police, intelligence agencies, and, in some cases, regional partners. Ensuring effective collaboration among these actors is essential for avoiding duplication of efforts and maximizing operational efficiency. Joint task forces and unified command structures have been introduced to strengthen coordination, yet issues such as inter-agency rivalry, communication gaps, and bureaucratic bottlenecks continue to limit their effectiveness. The need for seamless coordination is further underscored by the transnational nature of the insurgency, which requires cooperation beyond Nigeria's borders.

Execution, the practical implementation of planned strategies, ultimately determines the success or failure of counterterrorism efforts. In Nigeria, execution has involved a combination of large-scale military operations, targeted strikes, and stabilization initiatives in affected communities. While these efforts have led to the recapture of territories and the weakening of insurgent strongholds, the persistence of sporadic attacks indicates that operational effectiveness remains uneven. Factors such as resource limitations, terrain challenges, and the morale of security personnel influence the quality of execution and, by extension, the overall outcome of strategic interventions.

The evaluation of planning, coordination, and execution strategies in Nigeria's counterterrorism operations is therefore essential for understanding both the progress made and the gaps that remain. A strategic management perspective provides a useful framework for analyzing how resources are mobilized, how decisions are made, and how actions are implemented in the face of a complex and evolving security threat. By examining these dimensions, it becomes possible to identify areas requiring reform and to propose more integrated and sustainable approaches to combating terrorism in Nigeria.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Terrorism in Nigeria and the Various Groups Involved

Terrorism in Nigeria has emerged as one of the most persistent security challenges confronting the country in the 21st century. It encompasses a range of violent activities carried out by organized groups with political, religious, or ideological motives, often targeting civilians, government institutions, and security forces. Over the years, these activities have resulted in significant loss of lives, displacement of populations, and disruption of socio-economic development. Nigeria is consistently ranked among countries with high terrorism impact globally, reflecting the scale and intensity of violent attacks across different regions. The nature of terrorism in Nigeria is complex, involving multiple actors with varying motivations, including religious extremism, separatist agitation, and resource-based militancy. The most prominent terrorist group in Nigeria is Boko Haram, which originated in 2002 in northeastern Nigeria under the leadership of Mohammed Yusuf. The group initially emerged as a religious movement opposing Western education and governance but evolved into a violent insurgent organization after clashes with security forces in 2009. Since then, Boko Haram has carried out bombings, kidnappings, and attacks on civilian and military targets, leading to tens of thousands of deaths and widespread displacement. The group's activities have extended beyond Nigeria into neighboring countries such as Cameroon, Chad, and Niger, making it a regional security threat.

A major development within Boko Haram was its fragmentation and the emergence of Islamic State West Africa Province, a splinter group that pledged allegiance to the Islamic State. ISWAP has adopted more

structured military tactics and focuses largely on attacking military installations and controlling territory around the Lake Chad Basin. The split between Boko Haram and ISWAP has intensified violence in the region, as both factions compete for dominance while continuing attacks against the Nigerian state. Together, these groups represent the core of jihadist terrorism in Nigeria. Apart from Islamist insurgent groups, Nigeria has also experienced terrorism linked to separatist movements. One such group is the Indigenous People of Biafra, which operates mainly in the southeastern part of the country. IPOB advocates for the secession of the former Biafra region and has been associated with violent confrontations with security forces and enforcement of controversial sit-at-home orders. The Nigerian government designated the group as a terrorist organization in 2017, although this classification has been subject to legal and political debate. The activities of IPOB illustrate how political grievances and identity-based struggles can contribute to terrorism-like violence. In the Niger Delta region, militant groups have also contributed to the broader landscape of terrorism in Nigeria. Organizations such as the Movement for the Emancipation of the Niger Delta (MEND) have engaged in attacks on oil installations, kidnappings of foreign workers, and sabotage of economic infrastructure. These groups are primarily motivated by demands for resource control, environmental justice, and greater economic benefits for local communities. Although an amnesty programme introduced in 2009 reduced large-scale violence, underlying issues of underdevelopment and marginalization continue to fuel periodic militant activities.

Another dimension of terrorism in Nigeria involves armed banditry and extremist violence linked to pastoral conflicts, particularly involving some Fulani militant elements. While not always organized under a single command structure, these groups have carried out attacks on rural communities, contributing significantly to insecurity and terrorism-related deaths in recent years. Their activities blur the line between terrorism, criminality, and communal conflict, further complicating Nigeria's security situation. Terrorism in Nigeria is not limited to a single group or ideology but is characterized by multiple actors operating across different regions with distinct motivations. From Islamist insurgency in the North-East to separatist agitation in the South-East and resource-driven militancy in the Niger Delta, the phenomenon reflects deep-rooted political, economic, and social challenges. Understanding the various groups involved is essential for designing effective and comprehensive counterterrorism strategies.

3.0 METHOD

This study adopted a qualitative review model to examine strategic management approaches in Nigeria's counterterrorism operations. The review model is appropriate for synthesizing existing knowledge, identifying patterns, and drawing insights from multiple sources without primary data collection. Relevant literature was sourced from peer-reviewed journal articles, government publications, policy briefs, and credible reports from international organizations and reputable media outlets. The selection of materials was guided by relevance to the study's core themes—planning, coordination, and execution of counterterrorism strategies in Nigeria. Sources published within the last two decades were prioritized to ensure currency and contextual relevance, particularly those addressing the evolution of insurgency and Nigeria's security responses. Documents were critically analyzed using thematic content analysis, where recurring concepts, strategies, and challenges were identified and categorized. The analysis focused on comparing different strategic approaches, assessing their effectiveness, and identifying structural and operational gaps within Nigeria's counterterrorism framework. By integrating insights from diverse sources, the review model enabled a comprehensive understanding of how strategic management principles have been applied in practice and the extent to which they have contributed to addressing terrorism in Nigeria.

4.0 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Strategic Management approaches employed by Nigeria Armies to Combat Terrorism

Joint Task Force and Inter-Agency Coordination Strategy

One of the earliest strategic management approaches was the establishment of joint operations involving multiple security agencies. The creation of the Special Military Joint Task Force under operations such as Operation Restore Order brought together the Nigerian Army, Police, DSS, and Immigration Service to

coordinate counterterrorism efforts. This approach reflects strategic integration and resource pooling in complex security environments. Studies show that such joint operations involved large numbers of personnel across agencies, improving operational reach and intelligence sharing. From a strategic management perspective, this aligns with systems thinking, where multiple actors collaborate under a unified command structure to achieve national security objectives. Beyond its structural advantages, the joint task force model has enhanced operational synergy by enabling real-time information exchange and coordinated deployment of assets. It reduces fragmentation that previously characterized Nigeria's security response, where agencies operated in silos. However, despite these gains, operational challenges persist, including inter-agency rivalry, differences in organizational culture, and unclear lines of authority in some instances. These issues sometimes slow decision-making and reduce the overall efficiency of operations. Effective coordination therefore requires not only structural integration but also institutional trust, shared objectives, and interoperable communication systems.

Offensive Military Operations and Targeted Raids

The Nigerian Army has increasingly adopted proactive offensive strategies, including raids on insurgent strongholds such as Sambisa Forest. Reports highlight targeted operations that have eliminated key commanders and disrupted logistics networks of Boko Haram. This reflects a shift from a reactive posture to a proactive and pre-emptive strategy, emphasizing disruption of enemy capabilities before attacks occur. From a strategic management standpoint, this approach aligns with competitive strategy principles, where the goal is to weaken the adversary's core strengths—leadership, supply chains, and communication networks. Offensive operations also demonstrate improved tactical planning, including the use of special force air support, and coordinated ground assaults. However, the sustainability of this approach depends on continuous intelligence flow and logistical support. Insurgent groups often adapt quickly, using asymmetric tactics such as ambushes, improvised explosive devices (IEDs), and guerrilla warfare. This dynamic requires constant strategic recalibration. Moreover, while targeted raids may yield short-term victories, they must be complemented with stabilization efforts to prevent insurgents from re-establishing control in cleared areas.

Operation-Based Strategic Campaigns (e.g., Operation Hadin Kai)

The Nigerian Army has implemented structured, long-term campaigns such as Operation Lafiya Dole, later restructured into Operation Hadin Kai. This transition reflects a deliberate effort to reassess operational outcomes and redesign strategies in response to evolving threats. The restructuring involved redeployment of troops, reorganization of command structures, and renewed emphasis on collaboration among security agencies. From a strategic management perspective, this demonstrates adaptive strategy and organizational learning. Military institutions are not static; they must evolve based on feedback, performance evaluation, and environmental changes. The introduction of Operation Hadin Kai symbolizes a shift toward more flexible and responsive operational planning. Additionally, such operation-based frameworks provide continuity and long-term focus, ensuring that counterterrorism efforts are not fragmented or short-lived. They also allow for better resource allocation and performance monitoring. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of these campaigns is influenced by external factors such as political will, funding consistency, and public support. Without these, even well-designed strategies may fail to achieve their objectives.

Multinational and Regional Collaboration Strategy

Nigeria has collaborated with neighboring countries Chad, Niger, and Cameroon under regional frameworks to address the cross-border nature of insurgency. The activities of Boko Haram extend beyond Nigeria's borders, making unilateral efforts insufficient. This collaboration is institutionalized through joint military operations and intelligence-sharing platforms, particularly within the Multinational Joint Task Force (MNJTF). From a strategic management lens, this reflects alliance management, where states pool resources and coordinate actions to address a shared threat. The benefits of this approach include reduced safe havens for insurgents, improved border surveillance, and coordinated offensives that limit the mobility of terrorist groups. However, regional collaboration also faces challenges such as differences in military capacity, language barriers, political interests, and funding commitments among

participating countries. Sustaining such alliances requires strong diplomatic engagement, mutual trust, and equitable burden-sharing.

Intelligence-Driven and Surveillance-Based Strategy

Intelligence gathering has become central to Nigeria's counterterrorism strategy. Collaboration with international partners has improved access to surveillance technologies, reconnaissance systems, and analytical tools. This has enhanced the Nigerian Army's ability to identify insurgent locations, monitor movements, and plan targeted operations with greater precision. From a strategic management perspective, this approach reflects evidence-based decision-making, where actions are guided by reliable data rather than assumptions. Intelligence-driven operations reduce uncertainty and increase the likelihood of mission success. They also minimize collateral damage by enabling more precise targeting. Despite these improvements, challenges remain in integrating intelligence across agencies and translating intelligence into timely action. Delays in information processing, lack of advanced technological infrastructure in some areas, and limited community cooperation can weaken the effectiveness of this strategy. Strengthening intelligence systems therefore requires not only technological investment but also institutional reforms and community trust-building initiatives.

Soft and Hard (Hybrid) Counterinsurgency Approach

Beyond military force, the Nigerian Army has adopted a combination of "soft" and "hard" strategies balancing combat operations with peacebuilding, deradicalization, and civilian engagement. This hybrid approach recognizes that terrorism is not solely a military problem but also a socio-political and economic issue. Soft strategies include initiatives such as deradicalization programmes, rehabilitation of former insurgents, and community outreach efforts aimed at winning public trust. These measures address the root causes of insurgency, including poverty, unemployment, and social marginalization. Hard strategies, on the other hand, involve direct military action to neutralize immediate threats. From a strategic management viewpoint, this reflects a holistic and sustainable approach to conflict resolution. It emphasizes stakeholder engagement, long-term planning, and the integration of multiple policy instruments. The success of this approach lies in its ability to create a balance between security enforcement and human development. However, implementation challenges persist. Public skepticism toward rehabilitation programmes, insufficient funding for social initiatives, and weak coordination between military and civilian institutions can limit the impact of soft strategies. For the hybrid approach to be fully effective, it must be supported by consistent policies, adequate resources, and strong institutional frameworks that integrate security and development efforts. The expanded discussion shows that Nigeria's counterterrorism strategy is increasingly multifaceted, combining military innovation with strategic management principles. However, the effectiveness of these approaches depends largely on how well they are integrated, sustained, and adapted to the evolving nature of the threat.

4.2 Challenges are Militating against Nigeria's counterterrorism campaign

Nigeria's counterterrorism campaign has recorded measurable gains, yet several structural and operational constraints continue to weaken its effectiveness. Six major challenges are discussed below, with support from both local and international sources.

Inadequate Funding and Resource Constraints

The effectiveness of any counterterrorism campaign is closely tied to the availability, adequacy, and proper management of financial and material resources. In Nigeria, the protracted insurgency led by has placed enormous pressure on the country's security architecture, particularly the armed forces tasked with restoring stability in the North-East. Despite sustained military operations over the years, concerns persist regarding the adequacy of funding and the availability of critical resources required to prosecute the war effectively. These concerns have become a central issue in both policy debates and academic discourse on Nigeria's national security management. Nigeria's defence budget has increased in nominal terms over the years; however, analysts argue that such increases have not translated into proportional improvements in operational capacity. Reports from have repeatedly highlighted gaps between budgetary allocations and actual disbursement, as well as delays in the release of funds for procurement and troop welfare. In practical terms, this has affected the acquisition of modern military hardware, including surveillance drones, armoured vehicles, and communication systems, all of which are essential in contemporary

counterinsurgency operations. The inability to consistently equip troops with adequate resources has, in some cases, exposed them to avoidable risks on the battlefield. The issue of resource constraints also encompasses logistics and maintenance challenges. Counterterrorism operations in difficult terrains such as the Sambisa Forest and the Lake Chad Basin require sustained logistical support, including fuel supply, transportation, medical services, and communication infrastructure. Evidence from the suggests that logistical inefficiencies have, at times, slowed down military response and limited the reach of operations in remote areas. This indicates that funding challenges are not only about the quantum of resources but also about the systems in place for managing and deploying those resources effectively. A persistent challenge in Nigeria's counterterrorism effort is inadequate and inconsistent funding of the security sector. Limited budgetary allocations affect procurement of modern weapons, surveillance equipment, and welfare packages for troops. Reports by this indicate that underfunding has contributed to delays in equipment supply and reduced operational efficiency among frontline soldiers. Similarly, this has emphasized that weak public financial management affects security sector performance in fragile contexts (World Bank, 2020). From a strategic management standpoint, insufficient funding undermines planning, implementation, and sustainability of counterterrorism operations.

Poor Intelligence Gathering and Coordination Gaps

Effective counterterrorism operations depend fundamentally on the quality of intelligence available to security agencies and the degree of coordination among them. In the context of Nigeria's prolonged conflict with intelligence gathering and inter-agency collaboration have remained critical yet problematic components of the national security framework. Despite notable military successes in reclaiming territory and disrupting insurgent networks, recurring attacks in both rural and urban areas suggest persistent weaknesses in intelligence systems and coordination mechanisms. Intelligence in counterinsurgency involves the systematic collection, analysis, and dissemination of information about insurgent movements, recruitment patterns, financing, and operational tactics. In Nigeria, however, this process has often been hindered by institutional fragmentation. Multiple agencies including the military, police, and intelligence services operate with overlapping mandates, sometimes resulting in duplication of efforts and limited information sharing. According to the gaps in coordination and rivalry among security institutions have, at various times, undermined the effectiveness of counterterrorism operations, allowing insurgents to exploit these weaknesses. Another dimension of the intelligence challenge relates to the limited integration of local knowledge into formal security structures. Communities in the North-East often possess valuable information about suspicious activities, unfamiliar movements, or recruitment efforts by insurgents. However, mistrust between civilians and security forces, as well as fear of reprisals, has restricted the flow of such information. Reports from indicate that in some affected communities, residents are reluctant to cooperate fully with security agencies due to concerns about safety and inadequate protection mechanisms. This situation weakens early warning systems and reduces the ability of authorities to prevent attacks before they occur. According to the, lapses in intelligence sharing between military and civilian agencies have enabled insurgents to carry out attacks despite security presence (International Crisis Group, 2021). This reflects a breakdown in **information management systems**, a key pillar of strategic decision-making in security operations.

Corruption and Mismanagement in the Security Sector

Corruption has significantly undermined Nigeria's war against terrorism. Cases of diversion of funds meant for arms procurement and logistics have been widely reported. Investigations reported by the highlighted allegations of misappropriation of billions of dollars allocated for arms purchases, weakening the military's operational capacity (BBC, 2015). Strategically, corruption distorts resource allocation, reduces accountability, and erodes institutional effectiveness.

Difficult Terrain and Porous Borders

The geography of northeastern Nigeria—particularly the Sambisa Forest and the Lake Chad Basin—provides natural cover for insurgents. In addition, Nigeria's porous borders with neighboring countries allow insurgents to move freely, evade capture, and regroup. Reports by note that Boko Haram fighters often exploit weak border controls to launch cross-border attacks and retreat safely (Al Jazeera, 2022).

This challenge reflects environmental and structural constraints that complicate strategic military planning and territorial control.

Inadequate Training and Low Morale of Security Personnel

Another major issue is the inadequate training and welfare of troops engaged in counterinsurgency operations. Soldiers often face harsh working conditions, delayed salaries, and insufficient equipment. Coverage by has documented complaints from troops regarding poor welfare and lack of motivation, which negatively affect combat readiness (Daily Trust, 2023). From a management perspective, low morale reduces productivity, commitment, and effectiveness in high-risk operations.

Socio-Economic Conditions and Local Support for Insurgency

Widespread poverty, unemployment, and illiteracy in parts of northern Nigeria create fertile ground for recruitment into terrorist groups. The reports that many recruits join extremist groups due to economic deprivation and lack of opportunities rather than purely ideological reasons (UNDP, 2017). This highlights the limitation of purely military strategies and underscores the need for **integrated socio-economic and developmental approaches** in counterterrorism.

Technological limitations

Technological limitations also contribute to intelligence gaps. Modern counterterrorism increasingly relies on advanced surveillance tools such as drones, satellite imaging, and real-time data analytics. While Nigeria has made efforts to acquire some of these capabilities, experts argue that coverage remains insufficient, particularly in remote and densely forested areas like Sambisa. Without comprehensive surveillance infrastructure, insurgent groups are able to move, regroup, and plan operations with limited detection. This highlights the gap between global best practices in intelligence-driven security management and the realities on the ground in Nigeria.

4.1 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study demonstrates that Nigeria's counterterrorism operations have increasingly incorporated strategic management principles in response to the complex and evolving nature of insurgency. The adoption of structured planning mechanisms, inter-agency coordination frameworks, and diversified execution strategies reflects a gradual shift toward more organized and system-driven security operations. Initiatives such as joint task forces, intelligence-led operations, and regional collaborations have contributed to notable successes, including the disruption of insurgent networks and the recovery of previously occupied territories. However, the persistence of terrorist activities indicates that these strategies have not fully achieved their intended outcomes. Structural weaknesses—particularly in funding, intelligence coordination, and institutional accountability—continue to limit operational effectiveness. In addition, external factors such as difficult terrain, porous borders, and adverse socio-economic conditions complicate the implementation of strategic plans. The findings suggest that while military efforts remain essential, they are insufficient on their own to address the root causes of terrorism. Based on the findings, the study recommends the following:

1. Security agencies should institutionalize data-driven planning processes that integrate intelligence, risk assessment, and scenario forecasting to improve preparedness and responsiveness.
2. The government should establish more robust coordination frameworks with clear communication channels and unified command structures to reduce duplication of efforts and improve synergy among security agencies.
3. Adequate and timely funding should be ensured for the security sector, alongside transparent financial management systems to prevent misallocation and corruption.
4. Greater investment is needed in modern surveillance technologies, including drones, satellite systems, and data analytics, to enhance intelligence gathering and operational efficiency.
5. Continuous training, improved welfare packages, and psychological support should be provided to security personnel to boost morale and operational effectiveness.
6. The government should complement military strategies with socio-economic interventions such as job creation, education, and community development programmes to address the root causes of insurgency.

7. Nigeria should deepen its collaboration with neighboring countries and international partners to address the transnational dimensions of terrorism and improve border security.

REFERENCES

Associated Press. (2026). Nigerian army kills Boko Haram commander in raid. *AP News*.

African Development University Journal. (2024). Interrogating the effectiveness of Nigeria's counterterrorism efforts.

Arete Group. (2023). *The Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB) and terrorism in Nigeria*.

Britannica. (2026). *Boko Haram: History, meaning, insurgency, and facts*.

Council on Foreign Relations. (2018). *Nigeria's battle with Boko Haram*.

FactCheck Africa. (2024). *Are Niger Delta militants the first terrorists in Nigeria?*

Falode, J. A. (2016). The nature of Nigeria's Boko Haram war, 2010–2015. *Journal of Defense Studies*.

Felter, C. (2018). Nigeria's battle with Boko Haram. *Council on Foreign Relations*.

Iorliam, A., Dugeri, R. U., Akumba, B. O., Otor, S., & Shehu, Y. I. (2021). *An investigation and insight into terrorism in Nigeria*.

Premium Times / VOA reports on Operation Hadin Kai and counterinsurgency campaigns.

Saferworld. (2016). *Remote warfare and the Boko Haram insurgency*.

Statista. (2023). *Terrorism in Nigeria: Statistics and facts*.

Time Magazine. (2016). Regional military operations against Boko Haram.

Zango, A. S. (2024). Human security implications of Nigeria's counter-insurgency strategy against Boko Haram. *Kashere Journal of Politics and International Relations*.