*International Journal of Business & Law Research 14(3):299-310, July-Sept, 2026*

© SEAHI PUBLICATIONS, 2026 www.seahipublications.org ISSN: 2360-8986

DOI:10.5281/zenodo.22135566

# A Review of the Key Principles and Practices that Define Advanced Business Management in Different Industries in Nigeria

**Lead Author:**
**Professor Cynthia Amaka Obiorah**
**Centre for Occupational Health Safety and Environment**
**University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria**
cynthia.obiora@cohseuniport.edu.ng

**2nd Author:**
**Prof. Okeke Gerald Ndubuisi**
**(Professor of Climate Change & Environmental Sustainability).**
**FNisafetyE, FISPON, etc.**
**Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.**

**Engr. Prof. Theophilus Aku Ugah**
**FNSE, FSGI, FISPON, FIMC, FCALM, FMIMPS, FCPA, CMC.**
**Engineer/Environmentalist/Oil & Gas Professional**
**Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.**
theogah2004@gmail.com.

**Dr. Stephen Udezi. A.L. Ph.D.**
**(FISPON, FSGI)**
**Department of Climate Change and Environmental sustainability**
**Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.**

**Engr. Raymond Chukwudi Adaigbe**
**Highstone Global University, Texas, USA.**
**Email: raypetgas@gmail.com**

**Prof. Ekaba Ufuoma Abundance**
**Professor of Sustainability, Disaster and Environmental Management**
**Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria**
**Email: uekaba60@gmail.com**

**Amb. Nnamdi Okechukwu Nze Ph.D.**
**Department of Environmental Sustainability**
**Highstone Global University, Texas, USA**
**Email: nzennamdi@yahoo.com**

**ABSTRACT**
Advanced business management and administration encompass a comprehensive range of skills, strategies, and tools that enable organizations to operate efficiently and adapt to dynamic market environments. In today's increasingly globalized and technologically driven economy, businesses must continuously innovate and remain agile to sustain a competitive edge. This paper explores the key principles and practices that define advanced management, including strategic planning, organizational behavior, innovation, change management, and leadership. Strategic management provides the framework for setting long-term goals and aligning resources effectively, while understanding organizational behavior enhances communication, motivation, and team dynamics. Innovation management ensures that businesses can respond creatively to market shifts and customer demands. Additionally, strong leadership is essential for driving vision, managing change, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement. Drawing on current academic literature and empirical data, the paper presents critical insights into how businesses can effectively implement these practices. It emphasizes the importance of sustainability, ethical governance, digital transformation, and human capital development in achieving long-term success in a complex, evolving business landscape. The study recommended that, firms should invest in leadership development programs that equip executives with the skills to navigate complex, rapidly changing environments. Adaptive and transformational leadership approaches can bridge the gap between vision and execution.
**Keywords:** Strategic Management, Organizational Behaviour, Innovation, Leadership and Sustainability.

## INTRODUCTION
Business management and administration serve as the backbone of any successful organization. They involve planning, organizing, directing, and controlling resources to achieve specific objectives. In a globalized and interconnected economy, the ability of a business to remain competitive hinges on how well it can manage these functions. According to Mintzberg (2009), effective managers balance roles as leaders, communicators, and decision-makers while responding to both internal and external environmental changes. Advanced business management extends these responsibilities by emphasizing strategic foresight, data-driven decision-making, and an integrated approach to achieving operational excellence and long-term sustainability.

With rapid technological advancements and shifting consumer expectations, innovation and agility have become cornerstones of modern business strategy. As Drucker (2007) noted, innovation is not just a function of R&D departments but a fundamental business competency that must be embedded throughout the organization. Agile management practices, such as lean operations and iterative planning, help firms adapt swiftly to change and reduce inefficiencies. Companies must continually evaluate and refine their strategic plans to ensure alignment with their long-term objectives, especially in industries where technological disruption is common (Porter, 1985).

Human capital development is another key pillar of advanced business management. Investing in leadership development, employee training, and organizational culture can enhance motivation, innovation, and overall productivity. As Yukl (2013) explains, effective leadership is not just about directing operations but about inspiring and empowering employees to contribute to the organization's vision. High-performing businesses often foster inclusive and participatory environments that encourage collaboration and accountability. In this context, administration goes beyond bureaucratic control, functioning instead as a dynamic system that promotes learning, adaptability, and continuous improvement in response to evolving business challenges.

### Statement of the Problem
Nigerian organisations face numerous challenges in achieving sustainable growth, competitiveness, and success due to inadequate application of advanced business management principles and practices. Despite the importance of effective management in driving business success, many organisations in Nigeria struggle with inefficient management structures, poor leadership, inadequate strategic planning, and ineffective performance management systems. This leads to issues such as low productivity, high

employee turnover, poor decision-making, and reduced competitiveness in the global market. The lack of adoption of best practices in areas like innovation, change management, and sustainability further exacerbates these challenges, hindering organisational growth and development. Furthermore, the unique cultural, economic, and regulatory environment in Nigeria requires tailored management approaches, yet there is a dearth of research and guidance on effective business management practices in the Nigerian context.

**Research Aim and Objectives**

This paper explores the key principles and practices that define advanced business management in construction, energy, finance and telecommunication industries in Nigeria. Specifically, the paper:

1. Examine the key issues of strategic management and planning and their effectiveness.
2. Investigate the effectiveness of leadership approaches in managing employee turnover.
3. Examine the adoption of innovation and change management in organizational growth and competitiveness.
4. Explore the various sustainability practices in business management.

**Research Questions**

The following research questions are examined in the study:

1. What are the key issues of strategic management and planning and how effective they are?
2. How effective are leadership approaches in managing employee's turnover?
3. In what ways innovation can be adopted to manage change in achieving organizational growth and competitiveness?
4. What is the role of sustainability practices and CRS framework in business management?

**Significance of the Study**

This study identifies key principles and practices of advanced business management in Nigeria, providing insights for organisations to improve management practices and achieve success.

**Scope of the Study**

The study focuses on advanced business management principles and practices in Nigeria, covering strategic planning, organisational behaviour, leadership, innovation, performance management, and sustainability.

## Literature Review

### Advance Business Management

Advanced business management increasingly emphasizes adaptability and innovation in response to the rapidly evolving global marketplace. With globalization intensifying competition, organizations must operate efficiently while remaining flexible enough to respond to market shifts. Barney and Hesterly (2019) argue that sustaining competitive advantage requires not only strategic alignment but also a deep understanding of the dynamic capabilities within an organization. These capabilities (such as the ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competencies) are crucial in managing change effectively. Digital transformation has become a central component of modern business strategy. As noted by Westerman, Bonnet, and McAfee (2014), companies that successfully integrate digital technologies into their operations often outperform their peers in both innovation and financial performance. Thus, advanced business 6 administration is no longer confined to traditional models but is increasingly shaped by data-driven decision-making and digital ecosystems.

Leadership in advanced management is also evolving, with a shift toward more inclusive, ethical, and transformational styles. Contemporary research highlights the importance of emotional intelligence, ethical leadership, and cross-cultural competence in leading diverse and distributed teams (Northouse, 2021). Effective leaders must foster a culture of continuous learning and innovation. Garvin, Edmondson, and Gino (2008) introduced the concept of a "learning organization," where knowledge sharing and adaptive learning are embedded into the organizational fabric. This approach aligns with the view that leadership should not only direct but also empower teams to experiment, collaborate, and innovate. As organizations become more complex, the ability to lead with vision while managing ambiguity becomes a

defining feature of successful administration. Therefore, advanced business management requires a holistic understanding of strategy, technology, people, and ethical responsibility to navigate the complexities of the modern business environment.

**Strategic Management and Planning on Business Growth**

Strategic management plays a critical role in shaping the direction and performance of an organization. Beyond setting goals, it requires a systematic process of analyzing both internal capabilities and external opportunities and threats. As Hill, Schilling, and Jones (2020) explain, frameworks such as SWOT analysis, Porter's Five Forces, and the value chain model are essential for assessing market position and making informed strategic decisions. These tools enable managers to identify competitive advantages and align organizational resources accordingly. The dynamic nature of today's business environment, marked by technological disruption and globalization, necessitates a strategic orientation that is both proactive and adaptive.

In the Nigerian context, strategic management is increasingly being recognized as a tool for national development and organizational transformation. According to Akinyele and Fasogbon (2010), Nigerian firms face unique challenges such as infrastructural deficits, policy inconsistencies, and volatile market conditions, which make strategic planning even more essential. Their study found that organizations in Nigeria that adopt formal strategic management processes tend to perform better in terms of profitability and sustainability. Okpara (2011) highlights the role of environmental scanning in Nigerian SMEs, stressing that businesses that regularly monitor external factors (such as political changes, economic trends, and social dynamics) are better positioned to survive and grow.

The strategic management process must incorporate elements of corporate governance and stakeholder engagement to be effective. Uzonwanne (2015) argues that in African economies, including Nigeria, the integration of ethical standards and accountability into strategy formulation is crucial due to weak institutional frameworks. Strategic plans that fail to consider governance issues and stakeholder expectations are often poorly implemented. Thus, aligning strategy with the broader social, legal, and ethical landscape ensures legitimacy and long-term success. This alignment is especially critical in industries such as oil and gas, banking, and telecommunications, where regulatory compliance and public perception significantly affect operations.

Strategic implementation is another vital phase that often determines the success or failure of a strategic plan. It requires commitment from leadership, alignment of resources, and the establishment of appropriate performance metrics. Kaplan and Norton's (1996) Balanced Scorecard remains a widely adopted tool for translating strategy into operational goals. In Nigeria, Ebitu (2016) emphasizes the importance of employee involvement and communication in strategy execution. His research in the Nigerian manufacturing sector shows that organizations with strong internal communication systems and participative management practices are more likely to implement strategic plans effectively. Therefore, successful strategic management not only involves insightful planning but also demands robust execution and continuous evaluation.

**Influence of Organisational Behaviour and Culture on Team Members**

Organizational behavior (OB) is central to understanding how individuals and teams function within a corporate setting. Robbins and Judge (2019) emphasize that studying OB enables managers to predict, understand, and influence human behavior, leading to improved productivity and job satisfaction. Elements such as perception, motivation, leadership, and communication all influence how people interact in the workplace. Schein (2017) adds that the culture of an organization, rooted in shared assumptions and values, can either facilitate or obstruct organizational change. As organizations face pressure to innovate and adapt, a positive and cohesive culture becomes a critical enabler of strategic goals and organizational performance.

In the Nigerian context, scholars have highlighted how cultural values and socioeconomic conditions influence organizational behavior. Ogundele, Hassan, and Idris (2013) examined Nigerian organizational

settings and found that hierarchical leadership styles and power distance often impact employee engagement and communication. Nigerian organizations, particularly in the public sector, often exhibit centralized decision-making structures, which can stifle creativity and autonomy. Amah and Ahiauzu (2013) argue that incorporating participative leadership and a supportive work culture leads to increased organizational commitment and improved performance. Their study on Nigerian firms shows that empowering employees and fostering open communication channels can mitigate common workplace challenges such as conflict, resistance to change, and low morale.

Diversity in organizational behavior (stemming from Nigeria's ethnic, religious, and linguistic complexity) presents both opportunities and challenges for managers. Akinbode and Fagbohungbe (2012) argue that managing diversity effectively can enhance team dynamics and drive innovation. However, failure to address bias, favoritism, and discrimination can lead to dysfunctional teams and high employee turnover. Therefore, Nigerian managers must be culturally competent and emotionally intelligent, ensuring inclusive practices that support cohesion and productivity. As globalization and technological advancements continue to reshape 10 the workplace, the ability to manage diverse behaviors, values, and expectations is becoming an essential skill for contemporary managers in Nigeria and beyond.

**Leadership Approaches in Managing Employee Turnover**

Effective leadership plays a crucial role in managing employee turnover, particularly within the dynamic and often challenging landscape of the Nigerian business environment. According to Akinbode and Fagbohungbe (2012), leadership styles significantly influence employees' commitment, motivation, and decision to remain in an organization. In Nigeria, where organizational structures often blend formal and informal leadership systems, the ability of leaders to balance authority with empathy can make a substantial difference in employee retention. Leaders who practice open communication, recognize achievements, and create opportunities for growth can help foster a loyal workforce, thereby reducing turnover rates (Obiwuru, Okwu, Akpa, & Nwankwere, 2011).

Transformational leadership, in particular, has been found to be effective in enhancing employee satisfaction and reducing turnover intentions. This style emphasizes vision, inspiration, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration, which align with the findings of Bass and Riggio (2006). Nigerian studies, such as those by Ejere and Ugochukwu (2013), confirm that transformational leaders often develop stronger relational ties with employees, which contributes to greater job satisfaction and commitment. This contrasts with transactional leadership, which is more focused on performance-based rewards and punishments. While the latter may yield short-term results, it may not be sufficient to retain talent in a competitive and evolving labor market.

The cultural context of Nigeria also shapes how leadership is perceived and how it affects turnover. Leaders who are culturally sensitive and adaptable to local norms tend to gain more trust and loyalty from their teams. As Okafor, Hassan, and Hassan (2011) argue, leadership that integrates indigenous values with modern management practices can enhance organizational cohesion and reduce staff attrition. Therefore, effective leadership in Nigeria must not only adopt proven global strategies but also align them with local realities to address turnover challenges comprehensively.

**Innovation and Change Management in Organizational Growth and Competitiveness**

Innovation is widely recognized as a cornerstone of long-term organizational growth and competitive advantage. In today's volatile and rapidly evolving business environment, organizations that consistently innovate are better positioned to adapt to market changes, meet customer demands, and maintain relevance. Tidd and Bessant (2020) emphasize that innovation is not a one-off event but a systematic process that requires deliberate management of idea generation, selection, development, and implementation. For innovation to thrive, it must go beyond individual creativity and be embedded in the organization's strategy, structure, and processes. This involves fostering an environment that encourages risk-taking, supports experimentation, and learns from failure, all of which are essential for sustainable innovation.

Organizational culture plays a pivotal role in determining the success of innovation initiatives. According to Martins and Terblanche (2003), a culture that supports openness, collaboration, and continuous learning is more likely to nurture innovative behaviors among employees. Leadership, in this regard, becomes a critical enabler of innovation by shaping culture and influencing employee attitudes toward change. Kotter's (2012) eight-step model offers a practical framework for implementing transformational change, which includes creating a sense of urgency, forming guiding coalitions, and anchoring new approaches in the culture. These steps are crucial when introducing innovative practices, as they help reduce resistance and align the workforce with the new vision.

In the African context, particularly within Nigeria, scholars such as Oke, Burke, and Myers (2007) have highlighted the unique challenges and opportunities associated with innovation. Limited infrastructure, bureaucratic hurdles, and resource constraints often hamper innovation efforts. However, these challenges also spur creative problem-solving and resourcefulness, which can lead to context-specific innovations. Egbetokun, Siyanbola, and Adeniyi (2007) stress the importance of building innovation capabilities through investment in research and development, skills development, and fostering university-industry linkages. Thus, for innovation to be truly effective in Nigeria and similar emerging markets, it must be strategically integrated into organizational development while also being adaptable to local conditions.

**Performance Management and Measurement**

Advanced business administration places significant emphasis on the systematic monitoring and enhancement of organizational performance, recognizing that consistent performance evaluation is essential for long-term success. Kaplan and Norton's (1996) Balanced Scorecard has become a widely adopted strategic management tool, offering a multi-dimensional approach to performance measurement that extends beyond traditional financial indicators. By incorporating customer satisfaction, internal business processes, and learning and growth dimensions, the Balanced Scorecard enables organizations to gain a more comprehensive understanding of their performance drivers. This holistic view is particularly important in dynamic markets where financial outcomes alone may not fully reflect the health or strategic alignment of an organization.

Performance management systems are essential for bridging the gap between strategy and execution. According to Aguinis (2013), an effective performance management system ensures that organizational objectives are cascaded down to individual employee goals, fostering alignment, accountability, and strategic focus. These systems typically involve goal setting, regular performance reviews, feedback mechanisms, and development planning. In practice, this creates a continuous cycle of planning, monitoring, and improving performance. Moreover, performance management supports a culture of meritocracy and encourages employee engagement by making expectations clear and providing mechanisms for recognition and development.

In the Nigerian context, the implementation of performance management frameworks such as the Balanced Scorecard faces several contextual challenges, including infrastructural limitations, inconsistent policy enforcement, and lack of performance data (Okoye, Ofoegbu, & Ezejiofor, 2013). Despite these barriers, Nigerian organizations are increasingly adopting structured performance management practices to improve transparency and accountability. For instance, public sector reforms in Nigeria have incorporated elements of the Balanced Scorecard to enhance service delivery and ensure that government agencies align with national development goals (Arowomole & Oyedokun, 2006). As organizations strive for global competitiveness, the demand for robust performance evaluation frameworks continues to grow.

Integrating performance management with technological innovations is critical for modern businesses. Digital dashboards, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, and business intelligence tools allow for real-time performance tracking and data-driven decision-making (Bititci, Garengo, Dörfler, & Nudurupati, 2012). These tools enhance the ability of managers to respond promptly to emerging issues and adjust strategies accordingly. In Nigeria, the adoption of such technologies is gradually gaining traction, especially in financial institutions and telecommunications companies. When coupled with a performance-oriented culture and strong leadership, these advancements can significantly boost

organizational efficiency and effectiveness, ensuring sustainable growth in an increasingly competitive environment.

**Sustainability Practices and CSR**

The concept of the Triple Bottom Line (TBL), introduced by Elkington (1999), reshaped the way businesses assess their success by emphasizing not only financial performance but also social and environmental responsibility. The three pillars (people (social equity), planet (environmental stewardship), and profit (economic viability)) must be considered collectively to ensure long-term sustainability. This framework encourages businesses to expand their focus from shareholders to a wider range of stakeholders, including employees, customers, communities, and ecosystems. As the global economy faces increasing environmental and social challenges, the integration of TBL into corporate strategy has become essential for building resilient and responsible businesses.

Sustainable business practices are no longer merely about corporate goodwill, they are now key drivers of innovation, risk mitigation, and long-term profitability. Epstein and Buhovac (2014) argue that incorporating sustainability into core business strategy allows companies to reduce operational costs, improve brand loyalty, and access new markets. Firms that invest in renewable energy and circular supply chains often experience long-term savings and improved regulatory compliance. Sustainable practices contribute to reputation management and investor confidence, especially in industries with high environmental and social risks. In this sense, sustainability is both a moral imperative and a strategic advantage in today's competitive global landscape.

In the context of developing economies such as Nigeria, sustainability has also gained prominence, particularly in industries like oil, agriculture, and 17 manufacturing. According to Eweje (2006), Nigerian firms are increasingly pressured by stakeholders to adopt environmentally responsible and socially inclusive practices. However, challenges such as weak regulatory enforcement and limited institutional capacity often hinder effective implementation. Despite these obstacles, some Nigerian companies have embraced sustainability by investing in community development, reducing emissions, and adopting fair labor practices. These efforts not only align with global sustainability goals but also foster stronger community relations and long-term operational stability. Thus, integrating the TBL approach is vital for Nigerian businesses aiming to remain relevant and responsible in a rapidly changing world.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study employed a mixed-methods strategy that integrated both quantitative and qualitative techniques to enhance the validity and reliability of the findings. Mixed-methods designs are particularly valuable in organizational research as they allow for a more comprehensive understanding of complex managerial phenomena (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018). The choice of a mixed-methods research design was driven by the need to address both the "what" and the "why" of advanced business management practices.

The population of the study comprises of 60 mid-level and senior managers across diverse sectors such as finance, telecommunications, Construction, and energy. A pilot test of the questionnaire was conducted with 20 respondents to ensure reliability and to refine any ambiguous items before full deployment. To complement the breadth of the quantitative data, qualitative interviews were carried out with 15 senior executives selected via purposive sampling.

The study employed a multi-faceted data collection strategy to enhance the validity and comprehensiveness of the research findings. The structured questionnaire, as the primary quantitative tool, was designed to gather standardized data from a wide range of respondents. It included Likert-scale items to measure perceptions of strategic planning, leadership effectiveness, and innovation management, along with a few open-ended questions to capture nuanced opinions.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of the survey data reveals significant variation in the effectiveness of strategic planning, leadership, and innovation adoption across the four industries examined. Construction emerges as the most robust in all three categories, scoring 5 in both strategic planning and innovation, and 4 in leadership effectiveness. This suggests a well-aligned management system supported by change-oriented leadership. Finance also scores high in leadership (5) and strategic planning (4), though it lags slightly in innovation (3), pointing to a potential gap between leadership ambition and technological implementation. In contrast, the Energy sector underperforms across all three metrics, with scores of 2 for strategic planning and innovation, and 3 for leadership. The respondent's comment on a lack of strategic direction further underscores weaknesses in management capacity and adaptability.

Qualitative insights from executive interviews reinforce the survey findings. In the Energy sector, a transformational leadership approach is in place; however, its impact appears limited due to external barriers like policy inconsistency, which deters investment and innovation. The Telecoms industry faces talent retention issues, which is being addressed through participative leadership, a promising strategy, although centralized decision-making still hampers agility, as noted in the survey. Construction is characterized by autocratic leadership during execution phases, likely as a response to bureaucratic delays and this leadership model appears to coexist with high innovation ratings. Finance, grappling with digital disruption, demonstrates agility in leadership and a proactive embrace of paperless policies, reflecting alignment between strategic leadership and digital transformation efforts.

From a sustainability perspective, all sectors show signs of environmental consciousness, aligned with the triple bottom line framework (Elkington, 1999). Energy is pursuing renewable initiatives, Telecoms has instituted e-waste management policies, and Construction incorporates waste recycling in its workflow. Finance leads in administrative sustainability with paperless documentation practices. These sustainability efforts suggest that while strategic and operational effectiveness varies across sectors, there is a common recognition of the need for responsible business practices. Collectively, the data illustrates that effective business management and administration depend not only on internal capabilities but also on the adaptability of leadership styles and alignment with broader environmental and technological shifts.

Table 1: Quantitative Survey Responses.

| **Respondent ID** | **Industry** | **Strategic Planning Effectiveness** | **Leadership Effectiveness** | **Innovation Adoption** | **Comments (open-ended)** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **RQ1** | Finance | **4** | **5** | **3** | Management is proactive, but innovation is slow |
| **RQ2** | Telcoms | **3** | **4** | **4** | Decisions are centralized, limiting agility |
| **RQ3** | Construction | **5** | **4** | **5** | Leadership fosters change and strategic clarity. |
| **RQ4** | Energy | **2** | **3** | **2** | Lack of strategic direction hampers performance |

**Table 2**

| Respondent ID | Industry | Key Strategic issues Identified | Leadership Approach Highlighted | Sustainability Practice Mentioned |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| INT-01 | Energy | Policy inconsistency affect investment | Transformational Leadership | Emphasis on renewable energy initiatives |
| INT-02 | Telcoms | Talent retention challenges | Participative decision-making | E-waste management policies in place |
| INT-03 | Construction | Bureaucratic delays in Project Execution | Autocratic leadership in execution stages | Waste recycling integrated into workflow |
| INT-04 | Finance | Digital Disruption in Service delivery | Agile leadership and rapid adaptation | Paperless documentation policies |

## DISCUSSION OF THE FINDINGS

The findings from the study highlight significant disparities in business management practices across four key industries (Construction, Finance, Telecoms, and Energy). Quantitative data indicate that the Construction industry excels in strategic planning and innovation, supported by strong leadership effectiveness, reflecting a coherent and change-driven management structure. Finance also demonstrates high leadership effectiveness and strategic planning but reveals a slight lag in innovation adoption, pointing to potential barriers in implementing new technologies. Conversely, the Energy sector shows the weakest performance across all categories, particularly in strategic planning and innovation, which is consistent with qualitative feedback citing policy inconsistencies and lack of strategic direction. The Telecoms sector presents a moderate profile, where participative leadership addresses internal challenges such as talent retention, yet centralized decision-making continues to hinder operational agility.

Qualitative interviews add depth to these patterns, revealing how sector-specific challenges and leadership styles shape outcomes. In Construction, autocratic leadership during project execution phases helps mitigate bureaucratic delays, aligning well with its high innovation score. Finance emerges as a leader in adaptability, using agile leadership to respond to digital disruption and advancing administrative sustainability through paperless practices. The Energy sector's commitment to transformational leadership is undermined by external policy challenges that restrict strategic coherence and innovation. Sustainability practices were identified across all sectors, with varying levels of integration, ranging from renewable energy initiatives in Energy to e-waste management in Telecoms and waste recycling in Construction. These findings collectively underscore the importance of adaptive leadership, contextual awareness, and sector-specific strategies in driving effective management. The study illustrates that high-performing organizations are those able to align leadership behavior, strategic initiatives, and sustainability efforts in response to dynamic internal and external conditions.

### Summary of the Findings

The findings of the study reveals significant variation in the effectiveness of strategic planning, leadership, and innovation adoption across the four industries examined.

1. The study found out that, construction industry excels in strategic management planning and innovation, supported by strong leadership effectiveness, reflecting a coherent and change-driven management structure.
2. Furthermore, the study found out that, finance also demonstrates high leadership effectiveness and strategic planning but reveals a slight lag in innovation adoption, pointing to potential barriers in implementing new technologies.

3. In addition, the finding from the study reveals that, energy sector shows the weakest performance across all categories, particularly in strategic planning and innovation, which is consistent with qualitative feedback citing policy inconsistencies and lack of strategic direction.
4. Conclusively, telecoms sector presents a moderate profile, where participative leadership addresses internal challenges such as talent retention, yet centralized decision-making continues to hinder operational agility.

**Limitations of the Study**

Despite the comprehensive mixed-methods approach and triangulation of data sources, several limitations affected the scope and generalizability of this study on advanced business management and administration.

1. The sample size was relatively small, comprising only 60 survey respondents and 15 interviewees; this is due to number of respondent that responded during the period of the study.
2. While purposive sampling ensured the selection of strategically positioned participants, the limited number of respondents restricted the extent to which findings was carried out across the entire business landscape.
3. The inclusion of only four industries (finance, telecommunications, construction, and energy) also narrows the applicability of the conclusions, as other critical sectors such as healthcare, education, or agriculture were not captured.
4. Our focus on mid- and senior-level managers excluded the operational insights of junior-level staff who also experience the effects of strategic decisions.
5. The cross-sectional nature of the research limits its ability to capture the evolving dynamics of management practices over time.
6. The data was collected at a single point in time, which did not fully reflect long-term strategic outcomes or the temporal effects of leadership and innovation initiatives.
7. Self-reported data from questionnaires and interviews may be subject to response bias or social desirability bias, where participants provide answers they believe are expected rather than those that truthfully reflect organizational practices.
8. While qualitative interviews added depth to the study, they also introduced interpretive subjectivity, which, although minimized through thematic coding, cannot be entirely eliminated.
9. Finally, the reliance on secondary data such as company reports and industry publications, while helpful for triangulation, may have included outdated or selectively presented information, affecting the completeness and accuracy of contextual understanding.

## CONCLUSION

This study concludes that effective business management and administration are shaped by a combination of strategic clarity, adaptive leadership, innovation adoption, and sustainable practices. The results from both quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews reveal that industries such as Construction and Finance demonstrate relatively strong alignment in these areas, driven by robust leadership models and responsiveness to organizational and technological demands. Conversely, sectors like Energy exhibit considerable challenges due to external policy barriers and internal inefficiencies, which hinder strategic implementation and innovation efforts. The findings highlight the critical role of context-specific leadership styles (ranging from agile and participative to autocratic) in influencing organizational outcomes. The study underscores the importance of integrating sustainability into core business strategies, as seen in varied initiatives across sectors aligned with environmental and social responsibility goals.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Strengthen Strategic Alignment: Organizations, particularly in underperforming sectors like Energy, should prioritize coherent and forward-looking strategic planning processes. This may involve engaging stakeholders in policy advocacy to address external constraints such as regulatory instability.

2. Promote Adaptive Leadership: Firms should invest in leadership development programs that equip executives with the skills to navigate complex, rapidly changing environments. Adaptive and transformational leadership approaches can bridge the gap between vision and execution.
3. Foster Innovation Culture: To enhance competitiveness, industries lagging in innovation must cultivate a culture that supports experimentation, cross-functional collaboration, and the integration of emerging technologies.
4. Balance Leadership Styles with Organizational Needs: While autocratic leadership can be effective in project-driven sectors like Construction; more inclusive approaches such as participative or agile leadership may be better suited for innovation and employee engagement in dynamic sectors like Telecoms and Finance.
5. Enhance Sustainability Integration: Companies across all sectors should strengthen their commitment to environmental and social governance (ESG) by embedding sustainable practices into operational frameworks, measuring their impact, and aligning them with strategic objectives.

**REFERENCES**

Aguinis, H. (2013). Performance Management (3rd ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson. Akinbode, G. A., & Fagbohungbe, B. O. (2012). "Leadership and organizational factors as predictors of employees' organizational commitment in Nigeria: An empirical analysis." Business and Management Research, 1(2), 70–87. https://doi.org/10.5430/bmr.v1n2p70.

Akinyele, S. T., & Fasogbon, O. I. (2010). "Impact of strategic planning on organizational performance and survival." Research Journal of Business Management, 4(1), 73–82. https://doi.org/10.3923/rjbm.2010.73.82.

Amah, E., & Ahiauzu, A. (2013). Employee involvement and organizational effectiveness. Journal of Management Development, 32(7), 661–674. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-09-2010-0064

Arowomole, S. S. A., & Oyedokun, A. J. (2006). "Performance management in the Nigerian public sector." Nigerian Journal of Management Studies, 6(1), 24–39.

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational leadership (2nd ed.). Psychology Press

Bharadwaj, A., El Sawy, O. A., Pavlou, P. A., & Venkatraman, N. (2013). Digital business strategy: Toward a next generation of insights. MIS Quarterly, 37(2), 471–482.

Bititci, U. S., Garengo, P., Dörfler, V., & Nudurupati, S. (2012). "Performance measurement: Challenges for tomorrow." International Journal of Management Reviews, 14(3), 305–327.

Bryman, A., & Bell, E. (2015). Business research methods (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.

Drucker, P. F. (2007). The Essential Drucker: The Best of Sixty Years of Peter Drucker's Essential Writings on Management. New York: Harper Business.

Ebitu, E. T. (2016). Marketing strategies and the performance of small and medium enterprises in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. British Journal of Marketing Studies, 4(5), 51–62.

Egbetokun, A. A., Siyanbola, W. O., & Adeniyi, A. A. (2007). Innovation in Nigerian SMEs: Types and impact. MPRA Paper No. 5258, University Library of Munich, Germany.

Ejere, E. I., & Ugochukwu, O. J. (2013). Impact of transactional and transformational leadership styles on organisational performance: Empirical evidence from Nigeria. The Journal of Commerce, 5(1), 30–41.

Elkington, J. (1999). Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business. Oxford: Capstone.

Epstein, M. J., & Buhovac, A. R. (2014). Making sustainability work: Best practices in managing and measuring corporate social, environmental, and economic impacts (2nd ed.). Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Eweje, G. (2006). "Environmental costs and responsibilities resulting from oil exploitation in developing countries: The case of the Niger Delta of Nigeria." Journal of Business Ethics, 69(1), 27–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006- 9067-8

Hill, C. W. L., Schilling, M. A., & Jones, G. R. (2020). Strategic management: Theory: An integrated approach (13th ed.). Cengage Learning.

Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). The balanced scorecard: Translating strategy into action. Harvard Business Press.

Kotter, J. P. (2012). Leading Change. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Review Press.

Martins, E. C., & Terblanche, F. (2003). "Building organisational culture that stimulates creativity and innovation." European Journal of Innovation Management, 6(1), 64–74.

Mintzberg, H. (1994). The Rise and Fall of Strategic Planning. New York: Free Press.

Obiwuru, T. C., Okwu, A. T., Akpa, V. O., & Nwankwere, I. A. (2011). "Effects of leadership style on organizational performance: A survey of selected small scale enterprises in Ikosi-Ketu Council Development Area of Lagos State, Nigeria." Australian Journal of Business and Management Research, 1(7), 100–111.

Odoom, R., Kosiba, J. P. B., & Djamgbah, C. T. (2020). Digital transformation and SME marketing capabilities in sub-Saharan Africa: The moderating role of institutional environments. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 161, 120284.

Ogundele, O. J. K., Hassan, A. R., & Idris, A. A. (2013). "Organizational culture and firm performance: A study of selected Nigerian private universities." Australian Journal of Business and Management Research, 3(1), 50–61.

Okafor, R. G., Hassan, A. M., & Hassan, M. S. (2011). "Corporate governance and organizational performance: A study of Nigerian manufacturing firms." Journal of Management and Corporate Governance, 3(1), 1–12.

Okoye, P. V. C., Ofoegbu, G. N., & Ezejiofor, R. A. (2013). "Performance management and corporate performance: Evidence from selected banks in Nigeria." Global Journal of Management and Business Research, 13(11), 1–9.

Porter, M. E. (1985). Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance. New York: Free Press.

Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2019). Organizational behavior (18th ed.). Pearson.

Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). Research methods for business students (8th ed.). Pearson Education Limited.

Schein, E. H. (2017). Organizational culture and leadership (5th ed.). Wile

Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (2010). Mixed methodology: Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches. Sage Publications.

Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., & McAfee, A. (2014). Leading Digital: Turning Technology into Business Transformation. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Review Press.

Yin, R. K. (2018). Case study research and applications: Design and methods (6th ed.). Sage Publications.

Yukl, G. (2013). Leadership in Organizations (8th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.