International Journal of Innovative Language, Literature & Art Studies 14(4):1-5, Oct.-Dec., 2026
© SEAHI PUBLICATIONS, 2026 www.seahipublications.org ISSN: 2467-8511

DOI:10.5281/zenodo.23118323

Obligatory Transformations in English Syntax

Muhammad Abubakar Abdullahi[1]; Aisha Y Abubakar[2] & Rabiu Makama[3]

[1,2] College of General Studies (English Unit)
Umaru Ali Shinkafi Polytechnic, Sokoto, Nigeria
[1]Email: malamabu79@gmail.com
[2]Email: Yasmeenabu3@gmail.com

[3]Department of English
School of Secondary Education (Languages)
Jigawa State College of Education Gumel, Nigeria
Email: rabiumakama2015@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
Obligatory transformations in Syntax are rule-governed operations that must apply in every derivation of a grammatical sentence; failure to apply them yields ungrammaticality, originating in Chomsky's early transformational grammar. This paper presents the importance, types, advantages and disadvantages of Obligatory transformations in English Syntax.
Keywords: English Syntax, grammar, Obligatory transformations

INTRODUCTION

Syntax is the branch of linguistics that studies the structure of sentences and the rules that govern how words are combined to form meaningful sentences. Herley, H, and Tubino Blanco, M. (2023). However, one of the most influential theories of syntax is obligatory transformations which are mandatory in syntactic rules and must be applied in certain grammatical contexts within a language's syntactic system. Unlike optional transformations, which speakers can choose to apply or ignore based on stylistics preferences or emphasis, obligatory transformations are non- negotiable and cannot be avoided without creating ungrammatical, in compressible or socially unacceptable sentences.

Meanwhile, obligatory transformations operate as fundamental constraints on sentence structure, governing how words, phrases and clauses must be arranged to produce well-formed utterances. They represent the core grammatical machinery that distinguishes human language from other communication systems; reflecting deep-scaled principle of how the human mind organizes and processes linguistic information.

The concept stems form generative grammar theory, particularly the work of Noam Chomsky who proposed that all languages share universal grammatical principles while varying in their specific parametric settings. Obligatory transformations thus serve as windows into both language specific rules and universal cognitive constraints on human linguistic capacity.

THE EXAMPLES OF OBLIGATORY TRANSFORMATIONS IN SYNTAX ARE:

1. Question formation (wh-movement)

-Basic wh-questions: base structure "you saw what at the store" obligatory: what did you see at the store?

-Base structure. She lives where in the city" Obligatory "where does she live in the city"

Base structure:

-They arrived when yesterday" obligatory: "when did they arrive yesterday.?

2. Subject auxiliary inversion in yes/no questions Declarative " she can swim" interrogative "can she swim?

-Declarative they have arrived"- interrogative "Have they arrived?

3. Passive voice constructions

Active " someone stole the car last night.

Passive: The car was stolen by someone last night.

Active: The husband slapped the wife

Passive: The wife was slapped by the husband.

Active: He broke the table.

Passive: the table was broken by him.

The Importance of Obligatory Transformations in Syntax are Stated Below:

- They guarantee grammatical correctness.
- They are part of the universal grammar that all speakers intuitively know.
- They provide insights into the universal principles underlying human language.
- They support the idea that syntax is not random but rule-governed and predictable.
- They formalize universal requirements such as subject-verb agreement, tense marking and auxiliary ordering.
- Encoding of morphosyntactic constraints
- Support for a derivational models
- They reveal how language users internalized and apply grammatical rules automatically, without conscious awareness.
- They reveal the rule-governed nature of language. Collins, C. and Stabler, E. (2024).

TYPES OF OBLIGATORY TRANSFORMATIONS

1. Affix hopping (tense and agreement attachment) this transformation moves tense and agreement markers from the auxiliary position (in deep) structured into the main verb.

Example

Deep structure: John +past walk after affix hopping John walked (inflectional morpheme) this transformation is obligatory because leaving tense as a separate morpheme would result in an ungrammatical sentence.

2. Movement transformation: Wh-movement in question.

-simple Wh-questions "what are you reading""?

-what is your favourite food?

3. Wh-movement (question formation) moves the wh-word (who, what why how where, when,) to the beginning of the sentence.

Example:

-Deep structure: "You will go where"

After transformation: where will you go?

This movement is obligatory because English requires wh-elements to appear at the beginning of interrogative sentences. (Chomsky, 2023)

4. Number (Agreement) Transformations; Ensures Subject-Verb agreement e.g.

a. The teacher explains (grammatically correct)
b. The teacher explain (grammatically incorrect)

ADVANTAGES OF OBLIGATORY TRANSFORMATIONS

1. **Ensures grammaticality**

- Obligatory transformation guarantee that sentences follow the rules of a language, producing well-formed and acceptable structures.

2. **Reveals deep structure and meaning**

- They help linguists to understand the relationship between deep structure (underlying meaning and surface structure (spoken form)

3. **Provides predictability**

- -They make language use predictable which aids language learning and comprehension.

4. Support universal grammar (UG) theory

- Obligatory transformations are evidence for universal principles that apply across languages. Supporting chomsky's theory of innate linguistic knowledge.

5. grammaticality prediction

They are mandatory, obligatory transformations clearly explain why certain strings are ill-informed when the rules are not applied (example, agreement errors)

6. Systematicity and economy

They capture recurring morphosyntactic requirements (tense, agreement, auxiliary placement) with a small set of general rules rather than item-by-item stipulations.

DISADVANTAGES OF OBLIGATORY TRANSFORMATIONS

1. **Complexity in analysis**

- The rules can be technically complex and may confuse beginners learning syntax.

2. **Language variation issues**

-some obligatory transformations differ across dialects or languages, making them less universal.

Example: subject auxiliary inversion is obligatory in English but not in all languages such as Arabic language.

3. **Over-generation problem**

-Early transformational grammar sometimes predicted sentences that native speakers never actually use, suggesting the theory could over-generate.

4. Requires deep linguistics knowledge

-speakers apply these as transformations unconsciously, but formally describing them requires technical expertise in linguistics.

CONCLUSION

Obligatory transformations play a crucial role in syntax because they ensure that sentences are grammatically well-formed and semantically meaningful. They bridge the gap between deep structure and surface structure, showing how abstract representations of sentences are systematically converted into the forms we actually speak or write. Through operations such as affix hoping do-support, subject auxiliary inversion, wh-movement, and NP movement, it reveals the underlying rule-governed nature of human language and provides important insights into language learning, grammaticality as well as universal grammar (founded by the father of modern linguistics “Noam Chomsky”

REFERENCES

Chomsky, N. (1957). Suyntactic structures. The Hague: Mputon.

Chomsky, N. “(1965). Aspects of the theory of syntax. Cambridge, MA:MIT Press.

Chomsky, N., Gallego, A.J.,&OTT, D. (2023). Generative procedures and the faculty of language. Journal of Linguistics. 59(4),712-750.

Collins, C.,& Stabler, E. (2024). Merge and obligatory structure building. Journal of Linguistics, 60(1),89-124.

Citko, B. (2024). Obligatory wh-movement in multiple interrogatives. Journal of Linguistics, 60(2),201-238.

Polinsky, M.,& Preminger, O. (2024) Ergativity and obligatory case transformations. Annual review of linguistic, 10, 234-259.

Harley, H.,& Tubino Blanco, M. (2023). Obligatory head movement in the verbal domain. Studia linguistica, 77(3). 345-389.